Palliative Radiotherapy: Bleeding and Gastrointestinal Obstruction
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BACKGROUND

This guideline is targeted at palliative oncology patients with low grade/ low volume/ malignancy related bleeding. Acute and/or large volume bleeds may be managed urgently with radiological intervention or surgery if clinically appropriate.

Clinically significant bleeding occurs in approximately six to ten percent of patients with advanced cancer\(^1\). Bleeding can be caused by many different underlying processes, and is associated with a variety of clinical presentations, ranging from chronic, low-volume bleeding to acute episodes of major hemorrhage\(^1,2\). Bleeding (hematemesis, hematuria, vaginal or rectal bleeding) is amenable to control with external-beam radiotherapy\(^1,2\). Other management strategies for malignancy-associated bleeding may involve local measures (i.e., packing, hemostatic agents, dressings), systemic agents, endoscopy, interventional radiology, and/or surgery; the choice of interventions will depend on the underlying causes, PS, and the patient’s life expectancy\(^2,3\). Hemoptysis and other thoracic symptoms are discussed in a separate CPG (link).

The global prevalence of malignant bowel obstruction is estimated to range between 3-15% of cancer patients, but reaches as high as 20-50% in those with ovarian and 10-29% of those with colon\(^4-6\). In patients with advanced disease causing malignant bowel obstruction, the six-month survival rate is 50% in patients who are candidates for surgery, and 8% in patients who are not\(^7-9\).

Dysphagia is the most common symptom of malignancy-associated gastrointestinal obstruction or compression. Over 70 percent of patients with esophageal or gastro-esophageal junction cancers will experience dysphagia at some point in their disease trajectory\(^10,11\).

Recognizing that patients with bleeding or gastrointestinal obstruction, compression or invasion may benefit from a multidisciplinary palliative/supportive care team (including physiotherapy therapy/ occupational therapy/ psychosocial support/ speech-language pathology etc.), the focus of this guideline is to detail radiotherapy options. This guideline will discuss the evidence supporting palliative radiotherapy for symptoms associated with gastrointestinal obstruction, compression or invasion. Whenever possible, participation in a clinical trial is strongly encouraged.

GUIDELINE QUESTIONS

Limited info exists to guide palliative symptom control measures in patients with advanced cancer: This guideline will focus on:

- What are the recommended strategies for the management of adult patients with malignant gastrointestinal compression or obstruction?
- What are the recommended strategies for the management of adult patients with malignancy-associated urogenital or gastrointestinal bleeding?

DEVELOPMENT AND REVISION HISTORY

The original guideline was developed in 2008 by the clinical leaders of the Fast Track Palliative Radiotherapy Clinic for Bone Metastases in Calgary and the Palliative Radiation Oncology program (originally called the Rapid Access Palliative Radiotherapy Program) in Edmonton, with input from
provincial radiation oncologists. For the 2010 updates, evidence was selected and reviewed by a working group comprised of radiation oncologists from Alberta Health Services – CancerControl Alberta and a Knowledge Management Specialist from the Guideline Resource Unit. In 2012, a literature review was conducted by no modifications to the guideline were made. In 2014 the larger guideline was converted into several smaller guidelines. A detailed description of the methodology followed during the guideline development process can be found in the Guideline Resource Unit Handbook.

SEARCH STRATEGY

For the 2015 update, the National Library of Medicine’s PubMed database was searched (January, 2012 to September 2014) using 5 independent searches (Full details in Appendix A). In brief, articles were excluded if they: were not written or translated into English, were case studies involving less than 10 patients, or if the study involved pediatric patients. The references cited in articles identified through the formal searches were also scanned for additional sources. In total, 12 articles were identified and reviewed in detail for possible inclusion in the guideline based on a title/abstract screen.

TARGET POPULATION

- Patients who are at least 18 years of age with gastrointestinal obstruction, compression, or invasion.
- Patients who are at least 18 years of age with malignancy-associated urogenital or gastrointestinal bleeding.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Summary of Recommendations:

Gastrointestinal Obstruction

- For palliation of dysphagia in esophageal cancer, treatment options include external beam radiotherapy (EBRT) or brachytherapy with or without prior stent placement, or in select situations surgical bypass.

Bleeding

- EBRT is recommended for advanced prostate or bladder cancer associated with hematuria. It also effectively palliates bleeding caused by gynecologic malignancies.
- In advanced GI malignancies, bleeding, dysphagia, and pain can be controlled with radiotherapy.

I. GASTROINTESTINAL OBSTRUCTION OR COMPRESSION

Recommendations:

1. For palliation of dysphagia secondary to esophageal cancer, EBRT with 30Gy/10 fractions or 35Gy/15 fractions are reasonable options.12,13.

2. Stent placement or brachytherapy are also options in appropriately selected patients.10 A randomized controlled trial (RCT) comparing outcomes of brachytherapy (12Gy/1) and stent placement in patients with dysphagia from inoperable esophageal or gastro-esophageal junction carcinoma reported faster symptom relief and better QoL after stent insertion.14 Although long-term relief of dysphagia was
better with brachytherapy, no survival difference was noted. Stent insertion was associated with more complications, mainly due to late hemorrhage. Combining stent insertion, EBRT and photodynamic therapy (PDT) may also be effective in relieving malignant esophageal obstruction. PDT involves the use of an intravenous photosensitising agent which is activated at the target location using a low-power laser, resulting in the generation of high-energy oxygen, which causes necrosis through a photochemical effect.

3. Surgical bypass may be considered in select patients with advanced cancer and malignancy-associated gastrointestinal obstruction or compression, who are not amenable to other palliative interventions.

4. Outside of a clinical trial, concurrent chemoradiotherapy is not considered standard of care at present. An international RCT investigating the addition of concurrent cisplatin and 5FU to EBRT for patients with advanced esophageal cancer has reported, in abstract form, that chemoradiotherapy slightly improved dysphagia and median survival; however, bowel toxicity was worse compared to those who received RT alone.

II. BLEEDING

Recommendations for Urogenital Bleeding:

1. EBRT improves hematuria in up to 60 percent of patients with advanced bladder cancer and in 80 percent of patients with advanced prostate cancer at six weeks. In patients with a life expectancy of less than six months, EBRT with 8Gy/1 fraction could be delivered. Otherwise, a short course multi-fraction schedule could be considered.

2. In patients with vaginal bleeding who are unsuitable for radical treatment, EBRT is effective in resolving bleeding secondary to endometrial or cervical cancer. There is insufficient evidence to recommend a specific dose and fractionation schedule. Radiotherapy may also be used to control bleeding and palliate pain and mass effect in advanced ovarian cancer.

Recommendations for Gastrointestinal Bleeding:

3. Palliative radiotherapy either alone or with chemotherapy provides reasonable control of bleeding, dysphagia, and pain associated with gastrointestinal malignancies. Insufficient information exists to recommend a specific fractionation schedule, although one recent retrospective analysis noted a response rate of 68 percent in patients with unresectable gastric cancer after a median total dose of 40Gy. 30Gy in 10 fractions has also been reported as adequate for control of bleeding secondary to gastric cancer; concurrent chemotherapy yielded significantly lower rebleeding rates than RT alone (albeit with higher toxicity).

4. For refractory GI bleeds non-radiotherapy options would require a review of available options with GI services.
GLOSSARY OF ABBREVIATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EBRT</td>
<td>External beam radiotherapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDT</td>
<td>Photodynamic therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QoL</td>
<td>Quality of Life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT</td>
<td>Radiotherapy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISSEMINATION

- Post the guideline on the Alberta Health Services website.
- Send an electronic notification of the new guideline to all members of CancerControl Alberta.

MAINTENANCE

This guideline will be reviewed annually for required updates; however, if critical new evidence is brought forward before that time, however, the guideline working group members will revise and update the document accordingly.
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APPENDIX A SEARCH STRATEGY

For the 2015 update, the National Library of Medicine's PubMed database was searched (January, 2012 to September 2014) using the following search terms (4 independent searches): (1) palliative[All Fields] AND ("radiotherapy"[Subheading] OR "radiotherapy"[All Fields] OR "radiotherapy"[MeSH Terms]) AND gastrointestinal[All Fields] AND gi[All Fields] AND esophageal[All Fields] AND obstruction[All Fields]; palliative[All Fields] AND ("radiotherapy"[MeSH Terms] OR "radiotherapy"[All Fields] OR ("rt"[All Fields] AND "radiotherapy"[All Fields]) OR "rt radiotherapy"[All Fields]) AND obstruction[All Fields] (2) ("urogenital system"[MeSH Terms] OR ("urogenital"[All Fields] AND "system"[All Fields]) OR "urogenital system"[All Fields] OR "urogenital"[All Fields]) AND ("hemorrhage"[MeSH Terms] OR "hemorrhage"[All Fields] OR "bleeding"[All Fields]) AND palliative[All Fields] AND ("radiotherapy"[Subheading] OR "radiotherapy"[All Fields] OR "radiotherapy"[MeSH Terms]); ("hemorrhage"[MeSH Terms] OR "hemorrhage"[All Fields] OR "bleeding"[All Fields]) AND palliative[All Fields] (3) ("gastrointestinal hemorrhage"[MeSH Terms] OR ("gastrointestinal"[All Fields] AND "hemorrhage"[All Fields]) OR "gastrointestinal hemorrhage"[All Fields] OR ("gastrointestinal"[All Fields] AND "bleeding"[All Fields]) OR "gastrointestinal bleeding"[All Fields]) AND palliative[All Fields] AND ("radiotherapy"[Subheading] OR "radiotherapy"[All Fields] OR "radiotherapy"[MeSH Terms]) (4) ("haemoptysis"[All Fields] OR "hemoptysis"[MeSH Terms] OR "hemoptysis"[All Fields]) AND palliative[All Fields] AND ("radiotherapy"[Subheading] OR "radiotherapy"[All Fields] OR "radiotherapy"[MeSH Terms]). Articles were excluded if they: were not written or translated into English, were case studies involving less than 10 patients, or involved pediatric patients. The references cited in articles identified through the formal searches were also scanned for additional sources. In total, 2566 articles were identified, of which 12 were reviewed in detail based on a title/abstract screen.