

# Muscle-Invasive Bladder Cancer

(T2a-T4a, N0-1, M0)

Effective Date: April 2023



## Background

Urinary bladder cancer is the fourth most common cancer among men and accounts for 8% of all new male cancer cases. Urinary bladder cancer is less common among women (ranked 11th) and accounts for less than 3% of all new female cancer cases. Statistics Canada estimates that in 2020 there were approximately 12,200 new cases of bladder cancer and 2,600 deaths associated with bladder cancer in Canada.<sup>1</sup> Smoking is estimated to account for between 34% and 50% of all bladder cancers.<sup>2, 3</sup>

There are several histological types of bladder cancer. Urothelial carcinoma (also known as transitional cell carcinoma, henceforth referred to as urothelial) is the most common subtype, accounting for more than 90% of all cases in North America. Other histologic variants include squamous differentiation, glandular differentiation, nested pattern, microcystic, micropapillary, lymphoepithelioma-like, plasmacytoid and lymphoma-like, sarcomatoid/carcinosarcoma, giant cell, trophoblastic differentiation, clear cell, lipid cell, and undifferentiated.<sup>4</sup> Other important histologic variants include adenocarcinoma (urachal and non-urachal) and small cell carcinoma. Less commonly, urothelial cancers can arise in other parts of the urinary tract including the renal pelvis, ureter and urethra.

Staging of bladder cancer is currently based on the eighth edition (2017) of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) Cancer Staging Manual.<sup>5</sup> One of the major updates from the seventh edition (2010) is that lymph node involvement limited to the true pelvis and/or common iliac lymph nodes (N1-N3) in combination with a T1-T4a primary tumour now constitutes stage III disease (previously stage IV). A detailed description of the staging system can be found in the Appendix.

The objective of this guideline is to provide physicians with the latest, evidence-based management strategies for muscle-invasive bladder cancer in Alberta. Guidelines for non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer, locally advanced/metastatic bladder cancer, and upper tract urothelial cancer are available separately.

## Guideline Questions

1. What work-up is required for muscle invasive bladder cancer?
2. What is the appropriate stage-specific treatment for patients with muscle invasive bladder cancer?

Following treatment for muscle invasive bladder cancer, what is the appropriate follow-up?

## Search Strategy

The pubmed database was searched from 1, Jan. 2018 to 1, Mar. 2020 using the following search criteria: (("muscles"[MeSH Terms] OR "muscles"[All Fields] OR "muscle"[All Fields]) AND invasive[All Fields] AND ("urinary bladder neoplasms"[MeSH Terms] OR ("urinary"[All Fields] AND "bladder"[All Fields] AND "neoplasms"[All Fields]) OR "urinary bladder neoplasms"[All Fields] OR ("bladder"[All

Fields] AND "cancer"[All Fields]) OR "bladder cancer"[All Fields])) AND (Clinical Trial, Phase III[ptyp] AND ("2018/01/01"[PDAT] : "2020/12/31"[PDAT])).

## Target Population

Adult patients (age  $\geq 18$  years) with a diagnosis of non-metastatic, muscle-invasive bladder cancer, including patients with a single regional lymph node metastasis in the true pelvis (T2a-T4a, N0-1, M0).

## Recommendations

### 1. Staging:

- A.** Cystoscopy with transurethral resection of bladder tumour (TURBT) to establish if disease is muscle-invasive.
- B.** CT of chest, abdomen, and pelvis.
- C.** MRI abdomen/pelvis as clinically indicated.
- D.** Alkaline phosphatase.
- E.** Bone scan if elevated alkaline phosphatase or symptoms.
- F.** Where available and if clinically indicated, PET/CT can provide valuable staging information.
- G.** Additional blood work (e.g. CBC, LFTs, creatinine, HBV screening) may be required if neoadjuvant chemotherapy is being considered, but should be done at the time of medical oncologist consult.

### 2. Therapy with curative intent:

- All patients should be discussed in a multidisciplinary setting, and be made aware of their curative intent treatment options.
- Either a surgical (radical cystectomy with bilateral and extended lymph node dissection with urinary diversion or neobladder reconstruction<sup>6-12</sup> OR trimodality bladder-preserving approach can be considered.<sup>13-18</sup>
- In patients unable to tolerate either a surgical or bladder-preserving approach due to medical comorbidities, poor performance status, or patient choice, consider TURBT +/- radiotherapy or chemotherapy, TURBT alone, radiotherapy alone, or chemotherapy alone, although the odds of cure are low.

#### A. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy

- i.** Neoadjuvant chemotherapy is considered standard of care prior to radical cystectomy in patients with muscle-invasive urothelial bladder cancer. It may also be considered on a case-by-case basis for patients who are planned to receive a bladder preservation / combined modality approach.
- ii.** Where possible, neoadjuvant chemotherapy is strongly preferred over adjuvant chemotherapy.

- iii. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy should be cisplatin-based combination therapy. Options include cisplatin-gemcitabine or dose-dense MVAC.<sup>19, 20</sup> A total of 4 cycles should be planned.<sup>21, 22</sup> A standard dosing option for each regimen is provided below:
- a. Cisplatin-gemcitabine (21-day cycle): cisplatin 70 mg/m<sup>2</sup> day 1, gemcitabine 1250 mg/m<sup>2</sup> days 1 and 8 (total dose per cycle = 2500 mg/m<sup>2</sup>)
  - b. ddMVAC (14-day cycle): methotrexate 30 mg/m<sup>2</sup> day 1, cisplatin 70 mg/m<sup>2</sup> day 2, vinblastine 3 mg/m<sup>2</sup> day 2, doxorubicin 30 mg/m<sup>2</sup> day 2, plus GCSF support
- iv. Consideration for split-dose cisplatin (cisplatin dose divided over 2 separate days) can be considered for patients who are otherwise good candidates for neoadjuvant chemotherapy but have a creatinine clearance between 45-60 mL/min.<sup>23, 24</sup> Standard dosing options for split-dose regimens are provided below:
- a. Split-dose cisplatin-gemcitabine (21-day cycle): cisplatin 35 mg/m<sup>2</sup> days 1 and 8, gemcitabine 1250 mg/m<sup>2</sup> days 1 and 8 (total dose per cycle = 2500 mg/m<sup>2</sup>)
  - b. Split-dose ddMVAC (14-day cycle): methotrexate 30 mg/m<sup>2</sup> day 1, cisplatin 35 mg/m<sup>2</sup> days 1 and 2, vinblastine 3 mg/m<sup>2</sup> day 2, doxorubicin 30 mg/m<sup>2</sup> day 2, plus GCSF support
- v. Patients with contraindications to cisplatin should proceed directly to definitive locoregional therapy – routine use of carboplatin-based neoadjuvant combinations is not advised.
- vi. For patients receiving cisplatin-gemcitabine, a CT scan of abdomen and pelvis should be performed after 2 cycles to exclude progression. If disease progression has occurred, then neoadjuvant chemotherapy should be abandoned and the patient should be taken to surgery if feasible.

## **B. Radical cystectomy with bilateral pelvic lymph node dissection**

- i. Radical cystectomy with bilateral pelvic lymph node dissection (PLND), followed by urinary diversion. Options for urinary diversion include continent reservoir and conduit diversion. There are insufficient data to recommend one procedure over another.
- ii. Ileal neobladder reconstruction can be considered in carefully selected patients with bladder-confined, node-negative urothelial carcinoma with good kidney and liver function.<sup>25, 26</sup>
- iii. Extended template PLND can include the presacral and common iliac lymph nodes to the aortic bifurcation.<sup>27-31</sup>
- iv. Non-urothelial histologies should be considered on a case-by-case basis and discussed in multi-disciplinary tumor boards/rounds.
- v. All patients who are eligible for cisplatin-based chemotherapy should have the opportunity to discuss neoadjuvant therapy with a medical oncologist before surgery, as this has been shown to result in improved survival versus surgery alone<sup>32, 33</sup> (see section A above).

vi. In cases where neoadjuvant chemotherapy was not received and/or completed, adjuvant chemotherapy can be considered on a case-by-case basis pending post-surgical pathology (see section D below).

### **C. Bladder preservation / combined modality approach**

- i. Bladder preservation therapy is best suited for those with a solitary lesion which has been maximally resected with TURBT and the following features: no CIS, no evidence of hydronephrosis, urothelial histology, adequate bladder volume, clinically negative lymph nodes, and absence of significant lower urinary tract irritative symptoms.<sup>21, 22, 34</sup>
- ii. Optimal bladder preservation strategies consist of radiotherapy combined with concurrent chemotherapy following a maximal TURBT.<sup>35</sup>
- iii. Prior to bladder preservation there should be a complete resection of the bladder tumour; if more than eight weeks have elapsed since TURBT, or symptoms are recurrent, consider repeat cystoscopy/ TURBT prior to initiation of concurrent chemoradiation if safely possible.
- viii. Prior to definitive chemoradiation, neoadjuvant chemotherapy may be considered on a case-by-case basis, although there is no level I evidence to support this (see section A above).
- iv. Radiotherapy should be delivered to the whole bladder (+/- regional nodes to at least 40 Gy), followed by a bladder/ tumour boost to at least 60 Gy in conventional fractionation; altered fractionation regimens, such as 50-52.5 Gy in 20 fractions may also be considered.
- v. In cystectomy candidates, second-look cystoscopy +/- biopsy and urine cytology is recommended after 40-50 Gy to ensure response. If no response, bladder preservation approach should be abandoned and salvage cystectomy should be considered.
- vi. Commonly used concurrent chemotherapy regimens include: weekly cisplatin, 5-FU plus Mitomycin C.<sup>36, 37</sup> Standard dosing options are provided below:
  - a. Weekly cisplatin (7-day cycle): cisplatin 40 mg/m<sup>2</sup> day 1
  - b. 5-FU + MMC: MMC 12 mg/m<sup>2</sup> day 1, 5-fluorouracil 500 mg/m<sup>2</sup>/day days 1-5 and 16-20
- vii. If patients are ineligible for weekly cisplatin or 5FU+ MMC, or the patient refuses, weekly gemcitabine can be considered.
- viii. Salvage cystectomy should be performed in patients with residual disease post completion of combined modality therapy.

### **D. Adjuvant chemotherapy**

- i. Referral to medical oncology should be considered for consideration of adjuvant therapy if neoadjuvant treatment was not received.

- ii. Adjuvant chemotherapy should be considered for patients with resected urothelial carcinoma with either pT3-T4 or pN1-3 disease on pathology review of the surgical specimen.
- iii. Adjuvant chemotherapy with a cisplatin-based regimen is recommended.<sup>38, 39</sup> For cisplatin-ineligible patients (CrCl <60 ml/min, PS ≥ 2, NYHA ≥ 3 heart failure, ≥ Grade 2 peripheral neuropathy, ≥ Grade 2 hearing loss), adjuvant chemotherapy is not recommended.<sup>40</sup>

### **E. Adjuvant immunotherapy**

- i. Adjuvant immunotherapy can be considered for high-risk patients. The Phase III Checkmate 274 trial demonstrated an improvement in disease-free survival in patients with urothelial carcinoma with high-risk muscle-invasive disease after surgery with one-year of adjuvant nivolumab compared to placebo.<sup>39</sup> Eligibility criteria included:
  - Patients with ypT2-ypT4a or ypN+ MIUC who had neoadjuvant cisplatin chemotherapy OR
  - Patients with pT3-4a or pN+ MIUC without prior neoadjuvant cisplatin chemotherapy and not eligible/refuse adjuvant cisplatin chemotherapy
  - Radical surgery within the past 120 days
  - Disease-free status within 4 weeks of dosing
- ii. Nivolumab was delivered as 240mg IV q2weekly x 1 year in the Checkmate 274 study
- iii. Nivolumab is Health Canada approved for this indication and is currently available. Dosing via the program can be q2weekly or q4weekly.

### **F. Adjuvant radiotherapy**

- i. Adjuvant radiotherapy following cystectomy is generally not recommended.

## **3. Follow-up**

### **A. Surgical approach**

- i. A stage-specific follow-up strategy has been endorsed by a consensus group from the Canadian Urologic Oncology Group, Canadian Urological Association and Bladder Cancer Canada.<sup>41</sup> Follow-up is recommended according to these guidelines.

**Table 1. Recommended stage-specific surveillance protocol after radical cystectomy (adapted from Yafi et al<sup>262</sup>)**

	≤pT2 N0													
Months	3	6	9	12	15	18	21	24	30	36	42	48	54	60
Office visit	X	X		X				X		X		X		X
Chest X-ray				X				X		X		X		X
Lab studies	X	X		X				X		X		X		X
Triphasic CT abdomen/pelvis				X				X		X				X
Urine cytology*				X				X		X		X		X
	pT3-4 N0													
Months	3	6	9	12	15	18	21	24	30	36	42	48	54	60
Office visit	X	X		X		X		X		X		X		X
Chest X-ray		X		X		X		X		X		X		X
Lab studies	X	X		X		X		X		X		X		X
Triphasic CT abdomen/pelvis		X		X				X		X		X		X
Urine cytology*				X				X		X		X		X
	pTx N+													
Months	3	6	9	12	15	18	21	24	30	36	42	48	54	60
Office visit	X	X	X	X		X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Chest X-ray	X	X		X		X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Lab studies	X	X	X	X		X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Triphasic CT abdomen/pelvis	X	X		X		X		X		X		X		X
Urine cytology*				X				X		X		X		X

\*Urine washings/cytology once a year is optional. Vitamin B12 is recommended when clinically indicated and upper tract imaging to assess the uretero-ileal anastomosis at 6–8 weeks from time of RC is recommended in all groups. Baseline CT abdomen/pelvis at 3–6 months following radical cystectomy may be considered for all patients. CT: computed tomography.

(Adapted from Kassouf et al. 2016<sup>41</sup>)

## B. Bladder preservation approach

i. Patients undergoing a bladder preservation, combined modality approach should be followed in similar fashion to patients treated with a surgical approach, with the addition of regular, lifelong cystoscopic surveillance as per the following schedule:

a. Cystoscopy +/- biopsy and cytology q3 months for first 2 years, then every 6 months for the next 2 years, then annually thereafter.

ii. Superficial recurrences of bladder cancer may be treated as per other non–muscle-invasive bladder cancers (see separate guidelines) or with salvage cystectomy with bilateral pelvic lymphadenectomy.

iii. Muscle-invasive recurrences are recommended to be treated with salvage cystectomy and bilateral pelvic lymphadenectomy (see section 2B above).

## 4. Special situations

### A. Non-urothelial histology

i. All pathology reported to have variant histology should be reviewed by an expert GU pathologist.

ii. All patients with pure non-urothelial histology should be discussed in a multidisciplinary setting.

iii. Given that pure non-urothelial histologies are generally less sensitive to chemotherapy, (neo)adjuvant treatment is generally not recommended. The major exceptions to this are small cell carcinoma (see below) and micropapillary histology.

**iv.** Radical cystectomy is strongly preferred over bladder-preserving, combined modality approaches for pure non-urothelial histologies.

## **B. Urachal adenocarcinomas**

**i.** Partial cystectomy may be considered as an alternative to radical cystectomy, provided that adequate margins can be maintained.

**ii.** Cystectomy should be performed with en bloc resection of the urachal ligament and umbilicus. Bilateral pelvic lymphadenectomy should also be performed.

**iii.** As with other non-urothelial histologies, neoadjuvant chemotherapy and bladder-preserving, combined modality approaches are not recommended.

**iv.** It is recommended that these patients are discussed at multidisciplinary rounds post-operatively and are referred to medical oncology for consideration of adjuvant chemotherapy, though there is no level I evidence to support the use of adjuvant chemotherapy.

## **C. Small cell carcinoma of the bladder**

**i.** Small cell carcinoma (including any percentage small cell histology, and early stage (i.e T1) disease) of the bladder is recommended to be managed as follows:

**a)** All patients should be discussed in multidisciplinary rounds. Treatment recommendations include neoadjuvant chemotherapy with platinum etoposide followed by definitive surgical resection or combined modality therapy analogous to pulmonary small cell carcinoma.<sup>42, 43</sup>

**b)** For patients who did not receive neoadjuvant chemotherapy prior to definitive local therapy, adjuvant chemotherapy with 4 cycles of platinum-etoposide is recommended.

**c)** Baseline staging brain imaging can be considered in asymptomatic patients given the higher risk of brain metastases versus conventional urothelial bladder cancer. It is recommended in patients with higher-risk disease (e.g. T3+, N+ or M+).

**d)** The role of prophylactic cranial irradiation (PCI) following definitive therapy is unclear. In the absence of data demonstrating clear benefit, PCI is generally not recommended in this setting.

**e)** For patients who develop metastatic disease, guidelines for management of metastatic small cell carcinoma of the lung should be followed.



## Discussion

For muscle-invasive bladder cancer (T2-T4a, N0-1, M0), therapy with curative intent typically includes either a radical cystectomy with full bilateral pelvic LN dissection, followed by urinary diversion or neobladder reconstruction<sup>6-12</sup> or a bladder preservation approach (i.e. complete tumour resection followed by radiotherapy and concurrent chemotherapy).<sup>13-18</sup> There are no modern-era randomized trials to support one approach over the other; however, some patients (e.g. those with adequate renal function, no hydronephrosis, urothelial histology, T2 tumour <5 cm, no CIS, pT0 after a second TURBT, and with a proper bladder capacity and function)<sup>44</sup> may be better suited for bladder preservation while others (i.e. not meeting criteria above) are better suited for radical cystectomy. For patients unable to tolerate or unwilling to undergo either approach, options include: TURBT ± radiotherapy or chemotherapy, TURBT alone, radiotherapy alone, or chemotherapy alone.

Pelvic LN dissection should include the presacral and common iliac lymph nodes to the aortic bifurcation (i.e., extended template). There are data to show that the lymph node metastasis detection rate is higher with extended template pelvic LN dissection than with limited or standard pelvic LN dissection: Heidenreich, et. al. reported 27% detection for extended vs. 12% for standard;<sup>27</sup> Bader, et. al. reported 24% for extended;<sup>28</sup> Allaf, et. al. reported 3% for extended vs. 1% for limited;<sup>29</sup> and Dhar, et. al. reported 26% for extended vs. 13% for limited.<sup>30</sup> The 5-year recurrence-free survival rate for extended is higher than that of limited (71% vs. 63% for pT2pN0-2 and 49% vs. 19% for pT3pN0-2;  $p<.0001$ ).<sup>30</sup> These results were confirmed in a meta-analysis of 2,824 patients which showed a significantly better recurrence-free survival in extended pelvic LN dissection vs. non-extended pelvic LN dissection (HR 0.65;  $p<.001$ ).<sup>45</sup>

In patients for whom the surgical approach is appropriate, and who are eligible for cisplatin-based combination chemotherapy, the option of neoadjuvant chemotherapy should be discussed; if chemotherapy in the neoadjuvant setting is deemed inappropriate, adjuvant administration should instead be considered (see below).

In patients for whom the bladder-preserving approach is recommended, TURBT should be performed prior to the initiation of concurrent chemoradiation. A prospective study among patients with urothelial carcinoma (T2-T3, Nx, M0; n=33) who underwent maximum TURBT followed by three cycles of adjuvant chemotherapy (e.g. methotrexate, vinblastine, adriamycin and cisplatin; MVAC), followed by radical radiotherapy, demonstrated a response rate of 46.4% overall (39.3% complete; 7.1% partial) and disease free and overall survival rates of 39.3% and 64.3%, respectively, after 12 months of follow-up. Response and survival were positively associated with a lower tumour stage ( $P=.001$ ) and completeness of TURBT ( $P=.001$ ).<sup>46</sup> Similar results were reported in another study among patients with T2-T4 bladder cancer (n=74) who underwent either: three cycles of neoadjuvant methotrexate, cisplatin, and vinblastine (MCV) chemotherapy followed by radiotherapy (60 Gy) or concurrent chemoradiotherapy (64.8 Gy with weekly cisplatin). With a mean follow-up of 54 months, the actuarial 5-year overall survival and overall survival with bladder preservation rates were 72% and 60%,

respectively; there were no significant differences in the incidence of superficial, muscle-invasive, or distant recurrences.<sup>47</sup> In patients (n=123) with muscle-invasive bladder cancer (T2-T4a), the addition of neoadjuvant chemotherapy (two cycles) with methotrexate, cisplatin, and vinblastine did not improve overall survival or distant metastases rates, as compared to pelvic irradiation (39.6 Gy) with concurrent cisplatin (two cycles q three weeks) alone. The actuarial 5-year overall survival rate was 48% for patients receiving neoadjuvant chemotherapy (versus 49% for those who didn't); the 5-year distant metastasis rate was 33% for those who received neoadjuvant chemotherapy (versus 39% for those who didn't).<sup>21</sup> Salvage cystectomy should be performed in patients with invasive residual disease or recurrence.<sup>48, 49</sup>

A multicenter, phase III trial among patients with muscle-invasive bladder cancer (N=360) compared radiotherapy alone to radiotherapy with concurrent chemotherapy (5-fluorouracil; 500 mg/m<sup>2</sup> during fractions 1-5 and 16-20 and mitomycin-C; 12 mg/m<sup>2</sup> on day 1). Two-year locoregional disease-free survival was 67% (95% CI 59-74) for chemoradiotherapy and 54% (95% CI 46-62) for radiotherapy alone. Five-year overall survival was 48% (95% CI 40-55) for chemoradiotherapy and 35% (95% CI 28-43) for radiotherapy alone (p=0.16).<sup>37</sup> A recent randomized controlled trial compared post-TURBT (maximal) whole-pelvis concurrent chemoradiotherapy with bladder-only concurrent chemoradiotherapy (45 Gy in 25 fractions plus 20 Gy boost, with weekly cisplatin 40 mg/m<sup>2</sup>), among patients with muscle-invasive, node-negative disease (n=230). The 5-year disease-free survival rates (47.1% vs. 46.9%; p=.5), 5-year overall survival rates (52.9% vs. 51.0%; p=.8), and bladder preservation rates (58.9% vs. 57.1%; p=.8) were not different between groups.<sup>13</sup> These data suggest that bladder-only concurrent chemoradiotherapy may be an option for patients with potentially lower morbidity than whole-pelvis chemoradiotherapy.

Neoadjuvant chemotherapy is cisplatin-based combination therapy (e.g. cisplatin-gemcitabine or dose-dense MVAC).

A trial among stage T2-T4a, N0 patients (n=307) who were treated with radical cystectomy alone or preceded by three cycles of neoadjuvant chemotherapy (e.g. methotrexate, vinblastine, doxorubicin, and cisplatin) showed that median survival was increased among patients who received neoadjuvant chemotherapy (77 vs. 46 months; P=.06); furthermore, the presence of residual disease was decreased significantly (15 vs. 38%; P<.001) among those who received neoadjuvant chemotherapy.<sup>32</sup> Another study in patients with T2-T4aNXM0 disease (n=309) showed that neoadjuvant chemotherapy with three courses of cisplatin and methotrexate also increased overall survival (53 vs. 46%) at a median follow-up of 5.3 years.<sup>50</sup> However, given that both cisplatin-gemcitabine and dose-dense MVAC have been shown to have improved tolerability with similar outcomes as compared to conventional MVAC in the advanced/metastatic setting for urothelial cancer,<sup>51, 52</sup> these regimens have been adopted as standard of care neoadjuvant regimens, despite the lack of level I evidence. Though there are no randomized trials comparing these chemotherapy regimens in the neoadjuvant setting, retrospective studies have not identified a substantial difference

between regimens.<sup>53, 54</sup> In addition, there are 2 single-arm phase II studies of ddMVAC which suggest this regimen is feasible and effective, with pathologic downstaging to non-muscle invasive disease in 49%-52% of patients.<sup>19, 20</sup> In summary, it is currently recommended that neoadjuvant chemotherapy consist of either 4 cycles of cisplatin-gemcitabine or 4 cycles of ddMVAC.

A meta-analysis of over 3000 T2-T4a patients in whom definitive therapy (surgery or radiotherapy) was given either by itself or with neoadjuvant chemotherapy demonstrated a survival advantage for neoadjuvant treatment. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy, regardless of the type of local treatment that followed, resulted in a 14% reduction in the risk of death and 5% absolute increase in overall survival at five years.<sup>33</sup>

Patients with contraindications to cisplatin should proceed directly to definitive therapy, as the use of carboplatin-based neoadjuvant combinations is not advised.<sup>32, 33, 55-69</sup> Pure non-urothelial histologies are generally less sensitive to chemotherapy and therefore neoadjuvant chemotherapy is generally avoided in this patient population. The major exception to this is micropapillary bladder cancer, which should be treated similarly to urothelial bladder cancer in terms of perioperative chemotherapy.

A CT scan of the abdomen and pelvis should precede cystectomy. In patients who have already undergone cystectomy, adjuvant cisplatin-based combination chemotherapy (using the same regimens as outlined for neoadjuvant chemotherapy above) should be offered. In cases where a cystectomy has already been performed, there is less rigorous evidence for adjuvant chemotherapy. A Canadian population-based outcome study demonstrated that use of adjuvant chemotherapy was associated with improved OS (HR 0.71, 95% CI 0.62-0.81) and cancer-specific survival (HR 0.73, 95% CI 0.64-0.84).<sup>70</sup> In addition, a Cochrane Collaboration meta-analysis of adjuvant chemotherapy for invasive bladder cancer reported a 25% relative reduction in the risk of death for chemotherapy compared to that on control;<sup>71</sup> however, power was limited in this study.

Adjuvant immunotherapy has been evaluated and further studies are ongoing. In a placebo-controlled phase III trial of over 700 patients with urothelial carcinoma (UC) and high-risk disease after radical cystectomy,<sup>40</sup> one year of adjuvant nivolumab improved disease-free survival (median 21 versus 11 months). Data on overall survival is pending. This study included patients with muscle-invasive or node-positive disease after receiving neoadjuvant chemotherapy, or those with extravesicular extension or node-positive disease who did not receive neoadjuvant chemotherapy and were ineligible for or refused adjuvant cisplatin-based chemotherapy. This has been Health Canada approved and is currently available by patient support program. Other studies in this setting have not demonstrated improvements in survival and further studies are ongoing.

## References

1. Brenner D, Weir H, Demers A, Ellison L, Louzado C, Shaw A, et al. Projected estimates of cancer in Canada in 2020. *CMAJ : Canadian Medical Association journal = journal de l'Association medicale canadienne*. 03/02/2020 2020;192(9)
2. Park S, Jee S, Shin H, Park E, Shin A, Jung K, et al. Attributable fraction of tobacco smoking on cancer using population-based nationwide cancer incidence and mortality data in Korea. *BMC cancer*. 06/06/2014 2014;14
3. Freedman N, Silverman D, Hollenbeck A, Schatzkin A, Abnet C. Association between smoking and risk of bladder cancer among men and women. *JAMA*. 08/17/2011 2011;306(7)
4. Chalasani V, Chin J, Izawa J. Histologic variants of urothelial bladder cancer and nonurothelial histology in bladder cancer. *Canadian Urological Association journal = Journal de l'Association des urologues du Canada*. 2009 Dec 2009;3(6 Suppl 4)
5. AJCC. AJCC Cancer Staging Manual, Eighth Edition. Springer International Publishing; 2017.
6. Porter M, Penson D. Health related quality of life after radical cystectomy and urinary diversion for bladder cancer: a systematic review and critical analysis of the literature. *The Journal of urology*. 2005 Apr 2005;173(4)
7. Stein J, Lieskovsky G, Cote R, Groshen S, Feng A, Boyd S, et al. Radical cystectomy in the treatment of invasive bladder cancer: long-term results in 1,054 patients. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology*. 02/01/2001 2001;19(3)
8. Tunuguntla H, Nieder A, Manoharan M. Neobladder reconstruction following radical cystoprostatectomy for invasive bladder cancer. *Minerva urologica e nefrologica = The Italian journal of urology and nephrology*. 2009 Mar 2009;61(1)
9. Yafi F, Aprikian A, Chin J, Fradet Y, Izawa J, Estey E, et al. Contemporary outcomes of 2287 patients with bladder cancer who were treated with radical cystectomy: a Canadian multicentre experience. *BJU international*. 2011 Aug 2011;108(4)
10. Rink M, Shariat S, Xylinas E, Fitzgerald J, Hansen J, Green D, et al. Does increasing the nodal yield improve outcomes in patients without nodal metastasis at radical cystectomy? *World journal of urology*. 2012 Dec 2012;30(6)
11. Meijer R, Nunnink C, Wassenaar A, Bex A, van der Poel H, van Rhijn B, et al. Standard lymph node dissection for bladder cancer: significant variability in the number of reported lymph nodes. *The Journal of urology*. 2012 Feb 2012;187(2)
12. Hautmann R, de Petriconi R, Pfeiffer C, Volkmer B. Radical cystectomy for urothelial carcinoma of the bladder without neoadjuvant or adjuvant therapy: long-term results in 1100 patients. *European urology*. 2012 May 2012;61(5)
13. Tunio M, Hashmi A, Qayyum A, Mohsin R, Zaeem A. Whole-pelvis or bladder-only chemoradiation for lymph node-negative invasive bladder cancer: single-institution experience. *International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics*. 03/01/2012 2012;82(3)
14. Solsona E, Iborra I, Collado A, Rubio-Briones J, Casanova J, Calatrava A. Feasibility of radical transurethral resection as monotherapy for selected patients with muscle invasive bladder cancer. *The Journal of urology*. 2010 Aug 2010;184(2)
15. Kaufman D, Winter K, Shipley W, Heney N, Wallace H, Toonkel L, et al. Phase I-II RTOG study (99-06) of patients with muscle-invasive bladder cancer undergoing transurethral surgery, paclitaxel, cisplatin, and twice-daily radiotherapy followed by selective bladder preservation or radical cystectomy and adjuvant chemotherapy. *Urology*. 2009 Apr 2009;73(4)
16. Solsona E, Climent M, Iborra I, Collado A, Rubio J, Ricós J, et al. Bladder preservation in selected patients with muscle-invasive bladder cancer by complete transurethral resection of the bladder plus systemic chemotherapy: long-term follow-up of a phase 2 nonrandomized comparative trial with radical cystectomy. *European urology*. 2009 Apr 2009;55(4)
17. Perdonà S, Autorino R, Damiano R, De Sio M, Morrìca B, Gallo L, et al. Bladder-sparing, combined-modality approach for muscle-invasive bladder cancer: a multi-institutional, long-term experience. *Cancer*. 01/01/2008 2008;112(1)
18. Danesi D, Arcangeli G, Cruciani E, Altavista P, Mecozzi A, Saracino B, et al. Conservative treatment of invasive bladder carcinoma by transurethral resection, protracted intravenous infusion chemotherapy, and hyperfractionated radiotherapy: long term results. *Cancer*. 12/01/2004 2004;101(11)
19. Plimack E, Hoffman-Censits J, Viterbo R, Trabulsi E, Ross E, Greenberg R, et al. Accelerated methotrexate, vinblastine, doxorubicin, and cisplatin is safe, effective, and efficient neoadjuvant treatment for muscle-invasive

- bladder cancer: results of a multicenter phase II study with molecular correlates of response and toxicity. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology*. 06/20/2014 2014;32(18)
20. Choueiri T, Jacobus S, Bellmunt J, Qu A, Appleman L, Tretter C, et al. Neoadjuvant dose-dense methotrexate, vinblastine, doxorubicin, and cisplatin with pegfilgrastim support in muscle-invasive urothelial cancer: pathologic, radiologic, and biomarker correlates. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology*. 06/20/2014 2014;32(18)
  21. Shipley W, Winter K, Kaufman D, Lee W, Heney N, Tester W, et al. Phase III trial of neoadjuvant chemotherapy in patients with invasive bladder cancer treated with selective bladder preservation by combined radiation therapy and chemotherapy: initial results of Radiation Therapy Oncology Group 89-03. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology*. 1998 Nov 1998;16(11)
  22. Rödel C, Grabenbauer G, Kühn R, Papadopoulos T, Dunst J, Meyer M, et al. Combined-modality treatment and selective organ preservation in invasive bladder cancer: long-term results. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology*. 07/15/2002 2002;20(14)
  23. Jiang D, Gupta S, Kitchlu A, Meraz-Munoz A, North S, Alimohamed N, et al. Defining cisplatin eligibility in patients with muscle-invasive bladder cancer. *Nature reviews Urology*. 2021 Feb 2021;18(2)
  24. Hussain S, Palmer D, Lloyd B, Collins S, Barton D, Ansari J, et al. A study of split-dose cisplatin-based neoadjuvant chemotherapy in muscle-invasive bladder cancer. *Oncology letters*. 04/01/2012 2012;3(4)
  25. Iwakiri J, Gill H, Anderson R, Freiha F. Functional and urodynamic characteristics of an ileal neobladder. *The Journal of urology*. 1993 May 1993;149(5)
  26. Stein J, Penson D, Lee C, Cai J, Miranda G, Skinner D. Long-term oncological outcomes in women undergoing radical cystectomy and orthotopic diversion for bladder cancer. *The Journal of urology*. 2009 May 2009;181(5)
  27. Heidenreich A, Varga Z, Von Knobloch R. Extended pelvic lymphadenectomy in patients undergoing radical prostatectomy: high incidence of lymph node metastasis. *The Journal of urology*. 2002 Apr 2002;167(4)
  28. Bader P, Burkhard F, Markwalder R, Studer U. Disease progression and survival of patients with positive lymph nodes after radical prostatectomy. Is there a chance of cure? *The Journal of urology*. 2003 Mar 2003;169(3)
  29. Allaf M, Palapattu G, Trock B, Carter H, Walsh P. Anatomical extent of lymph node dissection: impact on men with clinically localized prostate cancer. *The Journal of urology*. 2004 Nov 2004;172(5 Pt 1)
  30. Dhar N, Klein E, Reuther A, Thalmann G, Madersbacher S, Studer U. Outcome after radical cystectomy with limited or extended pelvic lymph node dissection. *The Journal of urology*. 2008 Mar 2008;179(3)
  31. Gschwend J, Heck M, Lehmann J, Rübber H, Albers P, Wolff J, et al. Extended Versus Limited Lymph Node Dissection in Bladder Cancer Patients Undergoing Radical Cystectomy: Survival Results from a Prospective, Randomized Trial. *European urology*. 2019 Apr 2019;75(4)
  32. Grossman H, Natale R, Tangen C, Speights V, Vogelzang N, Trump D, et al. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy plus cystectomy compared with cystectomy alone for locally advanced bladder cancer. *The New England journal of medicine*. 08/28/2003 2003;349(9)
  33. Collaborators ABCAM-A. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy in invasive bladder cancer: update of a systematic review and meta-analysis of individual patient data advanced bladder cancer (ABC) meta-analysis collaboration. *European urology*. 2005 Aug 2005;48(2)
  34. Ploussard G, Daneshmand S, Efstathiou J, Herr H, James N, Rödel C, et al. Critical analysis of bladder sparing with trimodal therapy in muscle-invasive bladder cancer: a systematic review. *European urology*. 2014 Jul 2014;66(1)
  35. Mak R, Hunt D, Shipley W, Efstathiou J, Tester W, Hagan M, et al. Long-term outcomes in patients with muscle-invasive bladder cancer after selective bladder-preserving combined-modality therapy: a pooled analysis of Radiation Therapy Oncology Group protocols 8802, 8903, 9506, 9706, 9906, and 0233. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology*. 12/01/2014 2014;32(34)
  36. Gogna N, Matthews J, Turner S, Mameghan H, Duchesne G, Spry N, et al. Efficacy and tolerability of concurrent weekly low dose cisplatin during radiation treatment of localised muscle invasive bladder transitional cell carcinoma: a report of two sequential Phase II studies from the Trans Tasman Radiation Oncology Group. *Radiotherapy and oncology : journal of the European Society for Therapeutic Radiology and Oncology*. 2006 Oct 2006;81(1)
  37. James N, Hussain S, Hall E, Jenkins P, Tremlett J, Rawlings C, et al. Radiotherapy with or without chemotherapy in muscle-invasive bladder cancer. *The New England journal of medicine*. 04/19/2012 2012;366(16)
  38. Leow J, Martin-Doyle W, Rajagopal P, Patel C, Anderson E, Rothman A, et al. Adjuvant chemotherapy for invasive bladder cancer: a 2013 updated systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials. *European urology*. 2014 Jul 2014;66(1)



39. Sternberg C, Skoneczna I, Kerst J, Albers P, Fossa S, Agerbaek M, et al. Immediate versus deferred chemotherapy after radical cystectomy in patients with pT3-pT4 or N+ M0 urothelial carcinoma of the bladder (EORTC 30994): an intergroup, open-label, randomised phase 3 trial. *The Lancet Oncology*. 2015 Jan 2015;16(1)
40. Bajorin D, Witjes J, Gschwend J, Schenker M, Valderrama B, Tomita Y, et al. Adjuvant Nivolumab versus Placebo in Muscle-Invasive Urothelial Carcinoma. *The New England journal of medicine*. 06/03/2021 2021;384(22)
41. Kassouf W, Aprikian A, Black P, Kulkarni G, Izawa J, Eapen L, et al. Recommendations for the improvement of bladder cancer quality of care in Canada: A consensus document reviewed and endorsed by Bladder Cancer Canada (BCC), Canadian Urologic Oncology Group (CUOG), and Canadian Urological Association (CUA), December 2015. *Canadian Urological Association journal = Journal de l'Association des urologues du Canada*. Jan-Feb 2016 2016;10(1-2)
42. Lynch S, Shen Y, Kamat A, Grossman H, Shah J, Millikan R, et al. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy in small cell urothelial cancer improves pathologic downstaging and long-term outcomes: results from a retrospective study at the MD Anderson Cancer Center. *European urology*. 2013 Aug 2013;64(2)
43. Moretto P, Wood L, Emmenegger U, Blais N, Mukherjee S, Winquist E, et al. Management of small cell carcinoma of the bladder: Consensus guidelines from the Canadian Association of Genitourinary Medical Oncologists (CAGMO). *Canadian Urological Association journal = Journal de l'Association des urologues du Canada*. Jan-Feb 2013 2013;7(1-2)
44. Bellmunt J, Orsola A, Leow J, Wiegel T, De Santis M, Horwich A. Bladder cancer: ESMO Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Annals of oncology : official journal of the European Society for Medical Oncology*. 2014 Sep 2014;25 Suppl 3
45. Bi L, Huang H, Fan X, Li K, Xu K, Jiang C, et al. Extended vs non-extended pelvic lymph node dissection and their influence on recurrence-free survival in patients undergoing radical cystectomy for bladder cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis of comparative studies. *BJU international*. 2014 May 2014;113(5b)
46. Maarouf A, Khalil S, Salem E, ElAdl M, Nawar N, Zaiton F. Bladder preservation multimodality therapy as an alternative to radical cystectomy for treatment of muscle invasive bladder cancer. *BJU international*. 2011 May 2011;107(10)
47. Zapatero A, Martin de Vidales C, Arellano R, Bocardo G, Pérez M, Ríos P. Updated results of bladder-sparing trimodality approach for invasive bladder cancer. *Urologic oncology*. Jul-Aug 2010 2010;28(4)
48. Manoharan M, Katkooori D, Kishore T, Kava B, Singal R, Soloway M. Outcome after radical cystectomy in patients with clinical T2 bladder cancer in whom neoadjuvant chemotherapy has failed. *BJU international*. 2009 Dec 2009;104(11)
49. Herr H, Faulkner J, Grossman H, Natale R, de Vere White R, Sarosdy M, et al. Surgical factors influence bladder cancer outcomes: a cooperative group report. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology*. 07/15/2004 2004;22(14)
50. Sherif A, Rintala E, Mestad O, Nilsson J, Holmberg L, Nilsson S, et al. Neoadjuvant cisplatin-methotrexate chemotherapy for invasive bladder cancer -- Nordic cystectomy trial 2. *Scandinavian journal of urology and nephrology*. 2002 2002;36(6)
51. von der Maase H, Hansen S, Roberts J, Dogliotti L, Oliver T, Moore M, et al. Gemcitabine and cisplatin versus methotrexate, vinblastine, doxorubicin, and cisplatin in advanced or metastatic bladder cancer: results of a large, randomized, multinational, multicenter, phase III study. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology*. 2000 Sep 2000;18(17)
52. Sternberg C, de Mulder P, Schornagel J, Théodore C, Fossa S, van Oosterom A, et al. Randomized phase III trial of high-dose-intensity methotrexate, vinblastine, doxorubicin, and cisplatin (MVAC) chemotherapy and recombinant human granulocyte colony-stimulating factor versus classic MVAC in advanced urothelial tract tumors: European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Protocol no. 30924. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology*. 05/15/2001 2001;19(10)
53. Zargar H, Espiritu P, Fairey A, Mertens L, Dinney C, Mir M, et al. Multicenter assessment of neoadjuvant chemotherapy for muscle-invasive bladder cancer. *European urology*. 2015 Feb 2015;67(2)
54. Galsky M, Pal S, Chowdhury S, Harshman L, Crabb S, Wong Y, et al. Comparative effectiveness of gemcitabine plus cisplatin versus methotrexate, vinblastine, doxorubicin, plus cisplatin as neoadjuvant therapy for muscle-invasive bladder cancer. *Cancer*. 08/01/2015 2015;121(15)
55. Wallace D, Raghavan D, Kelly K, Sandeman T, Conn I, Teriana N, et al. Neo-adjuvant (pre-emptive) cisplatin therapy in invasive transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder. *British journal of urology*. 1991 Jun 1991;67(6)
56. Martinez-Piñeiro J, Gonzalez Martin M, Arocena F, Flores N, Roncero C, Portillo J, et al. Neoadjuvant cisplatin chemotherapy before radical cystectomy in invasive transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder: a prospective randomized phase III study. *The Journal of urology*. 1995 Mar 1995;153(3 Pt 2)

57. Winquist E, Kirchner T, Segal R, Chin J, Lukka H. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy for transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Journal of urology*. 2004 Feb 2004;171(2 Pt 1)
58. Stöckle M, Wellek S, Meyenburg W, Voges G, Fischer U, Gertenbach U, et al. Radical cystectomy with or without adjuvant polychemotherapy for non-organ-confined transitional cell carcinoma of the urinary bladder: prognostic impact of lymph node involvement. *Urology*. 1996 Dec 1996;48(6)
59. Schultz P, Herr H, Zhang Z, Bajorin D, Seidman A, Sarkis A, et al. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy for invasive bladder cancer: prognostic factors for survival of patients treated with M-VAC with 5-year follow-up. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology*. 1994 Jul 1994;12(7)
60. Ico. Neoadjuvant cisplatin, methotrexate, and vinblastine chemotherapy for muscle-invasive bladder cancer: a randomised controlled trial. International collaboration of trialists. *Lancet (London, England)*. 08/14/1999 1999;354(9178)
61. Scher H, Yagoda A, Herr H, Sternberg C, Bosl G, Morse M, et al. Neoadjuvant M-VAC (methotrexate, vinblastine, doxorubicin and cisplatin) effect on the primary bladder lesion. *The Journal of urology*. 1988 Mar 1988;139(3)
62. Hall R. Updated results of a randomised controlled trial of neoadjuvant cisplatin, methotrexate, and vinblastine chemotherapy for muscle-invasive bladder cancer. 2002:178a.
63. Freiha F, Reese J, Torti F. A randomized trial of radical cystectomy versus radical cystectomy plus cisplatin, vinblastine and methotrexate chemotherapy for muscle invasive bladder cancer. *The Journal of urology*. 1996 Feb 1996;155(2)
64. Skinner D, Daniels J, Russell C, Lieskovsky G, Boyd S, Nichols P, et al. The role of adjuvant chemotherapy following cystectomy for invasive bladder cancer: a prospective comparative trial. *The Journal of urology*. 1991 Mar 1991;145(3)
65. Bajorin D. Plenary debate of randomized phase III trial of neoadjuvant MVAC plus cystectomy versus cystectomy alone in patients with locally advanced bladder cancer. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology*. 09/15/2001 2001;19(18 Suppl)
66. Cortesi E. Neoadjuvant treatment for locally advanced bladder cancer: A prospective randomized clinical trial. *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol*; 1995:17s-20s.
67. Millikan R, Dinney C, Swanson D, Sweeney P, Ro J, Smith T, et al. Integrated therapy for locally advanced bladder cancer: final report of a randomized trial of cystectomy plus adjuvant M-VAC versus cystectomy with both preoperative and postoperative M-VAC. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology*. 10/15/2001 2001;19(20)
68. Dotan Z, Bajorin D, Grossman B. Optimal combined modality treatment improves outcome of locally advanced bladder cancer: analysis of SWOG 8710. 2005:385s.
69. Malmström P, Rintala E, Wahlqvist R, Hellström P, Hellsten S, Hannisdal E. Five-year followup of a prospective trial of radical cystectomy and neoadjuvant chemotherapy: Nordic Cystectomy Trial I. The Nordic Cooperative Bladder Cancer Study Group. *The Journal of urology*. 1996 Jun 1996;155(6)
70. Booth C, Siemens D, Li G, Peng Y, Tannock I, Kong W, et al. Perioperative chemotherapy for muscle-invasive bladder cancer: A population-based outcomes study. *Cancer*. 06/01/2014 2014;120(11)
71. Collaboration ABCAM-a. Adjuvant chemotherapy for invasive bladder cancer (individual patient data). *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*. 04/19/2006 2006;(2)

## Appendix A: Cancer Staging Manual (American Joint Committee on Cancer, 2017)

TNM staging of Bladder Cancer (AJCC/UICC TNM classification of malignant tumours, 8<sup>th</sup> edition)<sup>72</sup>

Primary Tumour (T)			
TX	Primary tumour cannot be assessed		
T0	No evidence of primary tumour		
Ta	Non-invasive papillary carcinoma		
Tis	Urothelial carcinoma <i>in situ</i> : "Flat tumor"		
T1	Tumour invades lamina propria (subepithelial connective tissue)		
T2	Tumour invades muscularis propria		
pT2a	Tumour invades superficial muscularis propria (inner half)		
pT2b	Tumour invades deep muscularis propria (outer half)		
T3	Tumour invades perivesical soft tissue		
pT3a	Microscopically		
pT3b	Macroscopically (extravesical mass)		
T4	Extravesical tumour directly invades any of the following: prostatic stroma, seminal vesicles, uterus, vagina, pelvic wall, abdominal wall		
T4a	Extravesical tumour invades directly into prostatic stroma, seminal vesicles, uterus, vagina		
T4b	Extravesical tumour invades pelvic wall, abdominal wall		
Regional lymph nodes (N)			
NX	Lymph nodes cannot be assessed		
N0	No lymph node metastasis		
N1	Single regional lymph node metastasis in the true pelvis (perivesical, obturator, internal and external iliac, or sacral lymph node)		
N2	Multiple regional lymph node metastases in the true pelvis (perivesical, obturator, internal and external iliac, or sacral lymph node metastases)		
N3	Lymph node metastasis to the common iliac lymph nodes		
Distant metastasis (M)			
M0	No distant metastasis		
M1	Distant metastasis		
M1a	Distant metastasis limited to lymph nodes beyond the common iliacs		
M1b	Non-lymph node distant metastases		
Prognostic stage groups			
When T is...	And N is...	And M is...	Then the stage group is...
Ta	N0	M0	0a
Tis	N0	M0	0is
T1	N0	M0	I
T2a-T2b	N0	M0	II
T3a, T3b, T4a	N0	M0	IIIA
T1-T4a	N1	M0	IIIA
T1-T4a	N2, N3	M0	IIIB
T4b	Any N	M0	IVA
Any T	Any N	M1a	IVA
Any T	Any N	M1b	IVB



## Development and Revision History

This guideline was developed by a multidisciplinary working group comprised of members from the Alberta Provincial GU Tumour Team, external participants identified by the Working Group Lead, and a methodologist from the Guideline Resource Unit. The draft guideline was externally reviewed and endorsed by members of the Alberta Provincial GU Tumour Team who were not involved in the guideline's development, including surgical oncologists, radiation oncologists, medical oncologists, urologists, nurses, pathologists, and pharmacists. A detailed description of the methodology followed during the guideline development process can be found in the [Guideline Resource Unit Handbook](#).

This guideline was originally developed in 2020.

## Maintenance

A formal review of the guideline will be conducted in 2022. If critical new evidence is brought forward before that time, however, the guideline working group members will revise and update the document accordingly.

## Abbreviations

AHS, Alberta Health Services; AJCC, American Joint Committee on Cancer; CCA, Cancer Care Alberta; CBC, Complete blood count; CT, Computed tomography; HBV, Hepatitis B virus; LFT, Liver function test; MVAC, Methotrexate, vinblastine sulfate, doxorubicin, hydrochloride; PET, Positron emission tomography; PLND, Pelvic lymph node dissection; TURBT, Transurethral resection of bladder tumour

## Disclaimer

The recommendations contained in this guideline are a consensus of the Alberta Provincial GU Tumour Team and are a synthesis of currently accepted approaches to management, derived from a review of relevant scientific literature. Clinicians applying these guidelines should, in consultation with the patient, use independent medical judgment in the context of individual clinical circumstances to direct care.

## Copyright © (2022) Alberta Health Services

This copyright work is licensed under the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivative 4.0 International license](#). You are free to copy and distribute the work including in other media and formats for non-commercial purposes, as long as you attribute the work to Alberta Health Services, do not adapt the work, and abide by the other license terms. To view a copy of this license, see <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

The license does not apply to AHS trademarks, logos or content for which Alberta Health Services is not the copyright owner.

## Funding Source

Financial support for the development of Cancer Care Alberta's evidence-based clinical practice guidelines and supporting materials comes from the Cancer Care Alberta operating budget; no outside commercial funding was received to support the development of this document.

All cancer drugs described in the guidelines are funded in accordance with the Outpatient Cancer Drug Benefit Program,

at no charge, to eligible residents of Alberta, unless otherwise explicitly stated. For a complete list of funded drugs, specific indications, and approved prescribers, please refer to the [Outpatient Cancer Drug Benefit Program Master List](#).

## Conflict of Interest Statements

**Dr. Nimira Alimohamed** reports other from Astellas, other from Pfizer, other from Merck, other from AstraZeneca, other from Janssen, outside the submitted work.

**Dr. Shaan Dudani** has nothing to disclose.

**Derek Tilley** has nothing to disclose.

## Citation

Alimohamed N, (lead), Shaan D, Tilley D. Cancer Care Alberta, Alberta Health Services (2023). Clinical Practice Guideline on MIBC, Version 8. Accessed [Month, Year]. Available from: [www.ahs.ca/guru](http://www.ahs.ca/guru)