

Locally Advanced/Metastatic Bladder Cancer

(T4bNxM0, TxN2-3M0, TxNxM1)

Effective Date: April 2023



Background

Urinary bladder cancer is the fourth most common cancer among men and accounts for 8% of all new male cancer cases. Urinary bladder cancer is less common among women (ranked 11th) and accounts for less than 3% of all new female cancer cases. Statistics Canada estimates that in 2022 there will be approximately 13,300 new cases of bladder cancer and 2,500 deaths associated with bladder cancer in Canada.¹ Smoking is estimated to account for between 34% and 50% of all bladder cancers.^{2, 3}

There are several histological types of bladder cancer. Urothelial carcinoma (also known as transitional cell carcinoma, henceforth referred to as urothelial) is the most common subtype, accounting for more than 90% of all cases in North America. Other histologic variants include squamous differentiation, glandular differentiation, nested pattern, microcystic, micropapillary, lymphoepithelioma-like, plasmacytoid and lymphoma-like, sarcomatoid/carcinosarcoma, giant cell, trophoblastic differentiation, clear cell, lipid cell, and undifferentiated.⁴ Other important histologic variants include adenocarcinoma (urachal and non-urachal) and small cell carcinoma. Less commonly, urothelial cancers can arise in other parts of the urinary tract including the renal pelvis, ureter and urethra.

Staging of bladder cancer is currently based on the eighth edition (2017) of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) Cancer Staging Manual.⁵ One of the major updates from the seventh edition (2010) is that lymph node involvement limited to the true pelvis and/or common iliac lymph nodes (N1-N3) in combination with a T1-T4a primary tumour now constitutes stage III disease (previously stage IV). A detailed description of the staging system can be found in the Appendix.

The objective of this guideline is to provide physicians with the latest, evidence-based management strategies for locally advanced/metastatic bladder cancer in Alberta. Guidelines for non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer, muscle-invasive bladder cancer, and upper tract urothelial cancer are available separately.

Guideline Questions

1. What work-up is required for locally advanced/metastatic bladder cancer?
2. What is the appropriate stage-specific treatment (i.e., surgery, systemic therapy, radiotherapy) for patients with locally advanced/metastatic bladder cancer?
3. Following treatment for locally advanced/metastatic bladder cancer, what is the appropriate follow-up?

Search Strategy

The pubmed database was searched from 1, Jan. 2018 to 1, Mar. 2020 using the following search criteria: (("muscles"[MeSH Terms] OR "muscles"[All Fields] OR "muscle"[All Fields]) AND invasive[All Fields] AND ("urinary bladder neoplasms"[MeSH Terms] OR ("urinary"[All Fields] AND "bladder"[All Fields] AND "neoplasms"[All Fields]) OR "urinary bladder neoplasms"[All Fields] OR ("bladder"[All Fields] AND "cancer"[All Fields]) OR "bladder cancer"[All Fields])) AND (Clinical Trial, Phase III[ptyp] AND ("2018/01/01"[PDAT] : "2020/12/31"[PDAT])).

Target Population

The target population for this guideline is adult patients (18 years of age or older) with a diagnosis of locally advanced/metastatic bladder cancer (i.e. T4bNxM0, TxN2-3M0, TxNxM1).

Recommendations

Management of Locally Advanced Disease (Stages T4bNxM0, TxN2-3M0)

Indications include pre-operatively identified direct pelvic/abdominal wall invasion (cT4b), multiple regional lymph node metastases in the true pelvis (cN2), or lymph node metastases to common iliac lymph nodes (cN3). For post-operatively identified pT4b or pN1-3 disease, please see Muscle-Invasive Bladder Cancer AHS Guidelines for recommendations re: adjuvant therapy.

1. Staging

- A. CT chest abdomen and pelvis
- B. Alkaline phosphatase
- C. Bone scan if elevated alkaline phosphatase or symptoms.
- D. Brain imaging reserved for patients with clinical suspicion of cerebral metastases.
- E. CBC and diff, electrolytes, creatinine, calcium, magnesium, liver panel within 2 weeks of starting chemotherapy. All patients starting systemic chemotherapy should be considered for HBV screening.

2. Primary Therapy

- A. Patients with pre-operatively identified locally advanced disease should initially receive 4-6 cycles of systemic platinum-based chemotherapy.
- B. In cisplatin-eligible patients, cisplatin containing regimens (e.g. cisplatin-gemcitabine, dose-dense MVAC) are preferred. A standard dosing option for each regimen is provided below:
 - Cisplatin-gemcitabine (21-day cycle): cisplatin 70 mg/m² day 1, gemcitabine 1250 mg/m² days 1 and 8
 - ddMVAC (14-day cycle): methotrexate 30 mg/m² day 1, cisplatin 70 mg/m² day 2, vinblastine 3 mg/m² day 2, doxorubicin 30 mg/m² day 2, plus GCSF support

C. For cisplatin-ineligible patients (CrCl <60 ml/min, PS ≥ 2, NYHA ≥ 3 heart failure, ≥ Grade 2 peripheral neuropathy, ≥ Grade 2 hearing loss) recommended first-line chemotherapy regimens include: carboplatin-gemcitabine, gemcitabine-paclitaxel, single-agent gemcitabine, single-agent paclitaxel. A standard dosing option for carboplatin-gemcitabine is provided below:

- Carboplatin-gemcitabine (21-day cycle): carboplatin AUC5 day 1, gemcitabine 1000 mg/m² days 1 and 8

D. For patients who have a favourable response to first-line chemotherapy, consideration of consolidative definitive loco-regional treatment (i.e. cystectomy with pelvic lymphadenectomy or bladder-preserving trimodality therapy) should be considered in the multidisciplinary setting.

E. Patients who do not have a favourable response to first-line chemotherapy and/or are not candidates for local definitive consolidative therapy should be managed as per recommendations for metastatic disease (see below).

F. Patients who are ineligible for aggressive multi-agent chemotherapy may be treated with single modality therapy, i.e. single-agent chemotherapy or radiotherapy for palliation and/or survival prolongation.

3. Palliative local therapies

A. Palliative local therapies (i.e. TURBT, cystectomy, radiation) may be considered for patients experiencing intolerable pain/voiding symptoms or recurrent/refractory hematuria.

4. Follow-up

A. In patients with favourable response to first-line chemotherapy who undergo subsequent consolidative curative-intent locoregional therapy (i.e. surgical resection versus bladder-preserving trimodality therapy), follow up should be performed as outlined in the Muscle-Invasive Bladder Cancer AHS Guidelines.

B. In patients who do not undergo curative intent locoregional therapy, follow up should be performed as outlined for Metastatic Bladder Cancer (see below).

Management of Metastatic Disease (TxNxM1)

Indications include the development of recurrent/metastatic disease post radical therapy or presentation with de novo metastatic disease.

1. Staging

A. CT chest abdomen and pelvis

B. Alkaline phosphatase

C. Bone scan if elevated alkaline phosphatase or symptoms.

D. Brain imaging reserved for patients with clinical suspicion of cerebral metastases.

E. CBC and diff, electrolytes, creatinine, calcium, magnesium, liver panel within 2 weeks of starting chemotherapy. All patients starting systemic chemotherapy should be considered for HBV screening.

2. First-line Therapy

A. Clinical trials should be considered for patients across all lines of therapy.

B. Consideration should be given to send patient samples for FGFR mutation testing, based on test availability and patient status. At time of writing, testing is not publically funded or available. This will help plan for future lines of therapy.

C. In patients who present with de novo metastatic disease or for those that develop recurrent/metastatic disease after a definitive local therapy, the mainstay of treatment is systemic chemotherapy.

D. First-line standard of care treatment is determined based on eligibility to receive cisplatin or carboplatin-based treatments. Patients may be categorized into one of the following three categories: I) cisplatin-eligible, II) cisplatin-ineligible but carboplatin-eligible, III) chemotherapy-ineligible. See below for treatment recommendations for each group.

E. The recommended treatment duration is 4-6 cycles of platinum-based chemotherapy with interval restaging every 2-3 cycles.

F. In cisplatin-eligible patients, cisplatin containing regimens (e.g. cisplatin-gemcitabine, dose-dense MVAC) are preferred. A standard dosing option for each regimen is provided below:

i. Cisplatin-gemcitabine (21-day cycle): cisplatin 70 mg/m² day 1, gemcitabine 1250 mg/m² days 1 and 8

ii. ddMVAC (14-day cycle): methotrexate 30 mg/m² day 1, cisplatin 70 mg/m² day 2, vinblastine 3 mg/m² day 2, doxorubicin 30 mg/m² day 2, plus GCSF support

G. For cisplatin-ineligible patients (CrCl <60 ml/min, PS ≥ 2, NYHA ≥ 3 heart failure, ≥ Grade 2 peripheral neuropathy, ≥ Grade 2 hearing loss) who are eligible for carboplatin-based combination chemotherapy, the recommended first-line chemotherapy is carboplatin-gemcitabine. A standard dosing option is provided below:

i. Carboplatin-gemcitabine (21-day cycle): carboplatin AUC5 day 1, gemcitabine 1000 mg/m² days 1 and 8

H. For patients who are ineligible for any of the above systemic treatment options, alternative regimens that may be considered include: gemcitabine-paclitaxel, single-agent gemcitabine, single-agent paclitaxel.

I. For patients who are chemotherapy-ineligible, best supportive care is recommended.

3. Maintenance therapy:

A. For patients who do not progress (ie: have stable disease, a partial response, or complete response) after 4-6 cycles of platinum-based 1st line chemotherapy, maintenance immunotherapy with avelumab is recommended. Standard dosing is provided below:

- i. Avelumab (14-day cycle): The recommended dose is 10 mg/kg body weight administered IV over 60 minutes every 2 weeks.
- ii. Avelumab is to be started 4-10 weeks after the last dose of chemotherapy.
- iii. Avelumab is continued until disease progression or unacceptable toxicity.

4. Second-line therapy:

A. In eligible patients, pembrolizumab should be considered for patients who progress on or after 1st line platinum-based chemotherapy, or in those who have recurred/progressed within 12 months of receiving platinum-based chemotherapy in the (neo)adjuvant setting.⁶ Standard dosing of pembrolizumab is as follows:

- i. Pembrolizumab (21-day cycle): 2mg/kg up to maximum of 200 mg IV day 1

B. Retreatment with platinum-based regimens can be considered if initial progression-free interval to platinum was > 12 months.

C. Pembrolizumab is NOT indicated for patients who have progressed on avelumab maintenance therapy.

D. For patients who received immunotherapy alone in the first-line setting as part of a clinical trial, platinum-based chemotherapy is recommended as per first-line therapy above if the patient is eligible and has not previously received platinum-based treatment. If prior platinum-based therapy has been received (with <12 month treatment-free interval) and/or the patient is ineligible, second-line options are as per third-line therapy and beyond (see below).

5. Third-line therapy:

A. Enfortumab Vedotin is a nectin-4 directed antibody microtubule inhibitor drug conjugate. It is recommended for eligible patients who have progressed after platinum-based 1st line chemotherapy and immunotherapy (maintenance or 2nd line). Standard dosing is provided below:

- i. Enfortumab vedotin (28-day cycle): 1.25mg/kg IV day 1, day 8, day 15
- ii. Enfortumab vedotin is continued until disease progression or unacceptable toxicity
- iii. Enfortumab vedotin is pending Health Canada approval and provincial funding. At time of writing, it is currently available via special access program. This agent has novel toxicities which require monitoring and evaluation. Please refer to the product monograph. [Product monograph link here.](#)

B. Erdafitinib is a treatment option in patients with selected FGFR mutations and fusions. The phase II BLC 2001 study enrolled patients with advanced urothelial carcinoma with prespecified FGFR alterations demonstrating a ORR of 40%. Patients had all been previously treated with platinum-based chemotherapy and some with immunotherapy. Erdafitinib is currently Health Canada approved and is available via a patient access program, pending provincial approval and funding

- i. This agent has novel toxicities which require monitoring and evaluation. For dosing and toxicity information, please refer to the product monograph. [Product monograph link here.](#)

ii. Currently there is no level I evidence to guide sequencing of therapies after 1st-line chemotherapy in patients with FGFR mutations and fusions.

6. Fourth-line therapy and beyond:

A. Taxane-based chemotherapy can be considered post-progression on platinum-based chemotherapy and immunotherapy and enfortumab vedotin. Commonly used agents are single-agent paclitaxel or docetaxel.

7. Palliative local therapies

A. Palliative local therapies (i.e. TURBT, cystectomy, radiation) may be considered for patients experiencing intolerable pain/voiding symptoms or recurrent/refractory hematuria.

B. RT or surgery should be considered in patients with symptomatic sites of bony metastases and/or with impending fracture/complication.

8. Follow-up

A. Post-chemotherapy: clinical evaluation and imaging (e.g. CT chest, abdomen, pelvis) to evaluate tumour response and then as indicated (q3months is a reasonable interval for most patients).

B. Consider early palliative care referral as indicated.

9. Special situations

A. Non-urothelial histology

i. All pathology reported to have variant histology should be reviewed by an expert GU pathologist.

ii. All patients with pure non-urothelial histology should be discussed in a multidisciplinary setting.

iii. Generally, platinum-based chemotherapy as recommended for metastatic urothelial carcinomas are felt to be less effective for pure non-urothelial histologies. However, there are no high-quality data to recommend alternate systemic therapy options for this population.

iv. For urachal or non-urachal bladder adenocarcinoma, it is reasonable to consider systemic therapy options similar to those used in the management of metastatic colorectal cancer (e.g. FOLFOX).^{7,8} Cisplatin-5FU is another reasonable option.

v. For metastatic squamous cell carcinoma of the bladder, standard urothelial cisplatin-based regimens are appropriate. It is also reasonable to consider 5-FU or taxane-based chemotherapy.

vi. For metastatic small cell carcinoma of the bladder, first-line treatment should consist of platinum-etoposide combination chemotherapy in all eligible patients. Guidelines for management of metastatic small cell carcinoma of the lung should be followed.

Discussion

Management of Locally Advanced Disease (T4b and/or N2-3, M0)

In patients with locally advanced disease (T4b and/or N2-3, M0), recommended first-line therapy is systemic platinum-based chemotherapy for those that are eligible. Subsequent disease management depends on the response to primary systemic chemotherapy.

A retrospective study of 659 patients with node-positive urothelial bladder cancer assessed the effectiveness of induction chemotherapy for downstaging.⁶ Amongst patients with cN2-3 disease, the rate of pathologic complete downstaging was 27% in those receiving induction treatment. Patients who exhibit substantial downstaging should be considered for consolidation definitive loco-regional therapy. 3-year OS rates for patients receiving induction chemotherapy followed by radical cystectomy have been reported to be 43% in patients with cN2-3 disease, with 3-year OS rates >80% in those achieving pathologic complete downstaging prior to surgery.

Patients who do not have a favourable response to first-line chemotherapy and/or are not candidates for loco-regional definitive consolidative therapy should be managed as per recommendations for metastatic disease (see below).

Management of Recurrent or Metastatic Disease (Tx, Nx, M1)

Recurrent or metastatic disease should be treated primarily with systemic chemotherapy. A cisplatin-based regimen is the preferred initial therapy for patients with metastatic urothelial cancer for patients who are eligible. Eligible patients are defined as those who do not have one of the following criteria: CrCl <60 ml/min, PS ≥ 2, NYHA ≥ 3 heart failure, ≥ Grade 2 peripheral neuropathy, ≥ Grade 2 hearing loss.⁷ All patients with impaired renal function with a potential reversible cause (e.g. obstructive uropathy due to tumour), should be considered for treatment measures to improve renal function prior to initiation of platinum-based therapy.

Recommended cisplatin-based first-line therapies include cisplatin-gemcitabine and dose-dense MVAC. A randomized, phase III RCT compared cisplatin-gemcitabine to conventionally dosed MVAC in 405 patients and demonstrated no significant differences in response rate (49% vs 46%) or overall survival (HR 1.04, p=0.75). However, cisplatin-gemcitabine was better tolerated with lower rates of side effects including neutropenia, febrile neutropenia, and mucositis.⁸ Dose-dense MVAC was also shown to have similar efficacy as conventionally dosed MVAC, with a reduction in toxicity (N=263).⁹ Given the data from these 2 landmark trials, conventionally dosed MVAC is no longer recommended.

In general, triplet combination chemotherapy with paclitaxel, gemcitabine and cisplatin is not recommended due to an increase in toxicity with no significant differences in PFS or OS.¹⁰

For patients who are not candidates for cisplatin, carboplatin may be substituted in the recurrent/metastatic setting.¹¹⁻¹⁴

For platinum-ineligible patients, first-line immunotherapy with pembrolizumab is Health Canada approved. This is based on the KEYNOTE-052 single-arm phase II study that demonstrated a response rate of 29% (7% CR, 22% PR) with pembrolizumab as first-line therapy in platinum-ineligible patients.¹⁵ Patients may be able to access pembrolizumab for this indication through patient support programs.

Maintenance avelumab is recommended for patients who have stable disease or a response to 1st-line platinum based chemotherapy. In a randomized phase III trial (JAVELIN Bladder 100),¹⁶ 700 patients with locally advanced unresectable or metastatic urothelial bladder cancer who experienced either an objective response (complete or partial response) or stable disease after four to six cycles of gemcitabine plus platinum-based chemotherapy were randomly assigned to either maintenance avelumab and best supportive care (BSC) or BSC alone. At median follow-up of approximately 19 months, the addition of avelumab to BSC improved OS in the entire study population (median 21 versus 14 months, HR 0.69, 95% CI 0.56-0.86) and in those with PD-L1 positive tumors (median not reached versus 17 months, HR 0.56, 95% CI 0.40-0.79). Avelumab also improved median PFS over BSC in both groups (3.7 versus 2.0 months, HR 0.62, 95% CI 0.52-0.75 in the entire study population; 5.7 versus 2.1 months, HR 0.56, 95% CI 0.43-0.73 in those with PD-L1 positive tumors). Objective response rates to maintenance avelumab in the overall population and those with PD-L1 positive tumors were 10 and 14 percent, respectively.

Recommended second-line therapy for patients progressing on first-line platinum-based chemotherapy is pembrolizumab. This is based on the KEYNOTE-045 phase III RCT which demonstrated higher response rates (21% vs 11%) and improved OS (10.1 vs 7.3 months, HR 0.70, p=0.002) with pembrolizumab versus chemotherapy in the second-line.¹⁷

Erdafitinib is currently under investigation in the phase III setting (NCT03390504), however, phase II data has shown great promise in locally advanced and unresectable or metastatic urothelial carcinoma patients with prespecified FGFR alterations. In the trial all patients had disease progression after at least one course of chemotherapy or within 12 months after neoadjuvant or adjuvant chemotherapy (prior immunotherapy was allowed). A total of n=99 patients were evaluated in an open-label, phase 2 design. The rate of confirmed response to erdafitinib therapy was 40% (3% complete response, 37% partial response). Among n=22 patients who underwent previous immunotherapy, the confirmed response rate was 59%. The median duration for progression-free survival was 5.5 months, and the median duration for overall survival was 13.8 months. Grade 3 or higher adverse events were managed mainly by dose adjustments, and 13% of patients discontinued treatment because of adverse event.¹⁸

Enfortumab Vedotin was evaluated in a phase III clinical trial (EV-301)¹⁹ of 608 patients with locally advanced unresectable or metastatic urothelial carcinoma (including those with squamous differentiation or mixed cell types) previously treated with platinum-based chemotherapy and PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor. Patients were randomly assigned to either enfortumab vedotin or investigator's choice of chemotherapy (docetaxel, paclitaxel, or vinflunine). At median follow-up of approximately 11 months, compared with chemotherapy, enfortumab vedotin improved OS (median 13 versus 9 months, HR 0.70, 95% CI 0.56-0.89), PFS (median 6 versus 4 months, HR 0.62, 95% CI 0.51-0.75) and overall response rates (41 versus 18 percent). Grade ≥ 3 toxicity rates for any adverse event were similar between the two treatment arms (51 versus 50 percent). Grade ≥ 3 toxicities specifically associated with enfortumab vedotin included rash (15 percent), peripheral neuropathy (5 percent), and hyperglycemia (4 percent). Ocular toxicities, pneumonitis (eg, interstitial lung disease), and severe cutaneous adverse reactions, including cases of Steven-Johnson syndrome (SJS) and toxic epidermal necrolysis (TEN), have also been reported with this agent. This is currently pending Health Canada review and is currently available via special access program.

References

1. Brenner D, Poirier A, Woods R, Ellison L, Billette J, Demers A, et al. Projected estimates of cancer in Canada in 2022. *CMAJ : Canadian Medical Association journal = journal de l'Association medicale canadienne*. 05/02/2022 2022;194(17)
2. Park S, Jee S, Shin H, Park E, Shin A, Jung K, et al. Attributable fraction of tobacco smoking on cancer using population-based nationwide cancer incidence and mortality data in Korea. *BMC cancer*. 06/06/2014 2014;14
3. Freedman N, Silverman D, Hollenbeck A, Schatzkin A, Abnet C. Association between smoking and risk of bladder cancer among men and women. *JAMA*. 08/17/2011 2011;306(7)
4. Chalasani V, Chin J, Izawa J. Histologic variants of urothelial bladder cancer and nonurothelial histology in bladder cancer. *Canadian Urological Association journal = Journal de l'Association des urologues du Canada*. 2009 Dec 2009;3(6 Suppl 4)
5. AJCC. AJCC Cancer Staging Manual, Eighth Edition. 2017.
6. Hermans T, Fransen van de Putte E, Horenblas S, Meijer R, Boormans J, Aben K, et al. Pathological downstaging and survival after induction chemotherapy and radical cystectomy for clinically node-positive bladder cancer- Results of a nationwide population-based study. *European journal of cancer (Oxford, England : 1990)*. 2016 Dec 2016;69
7. Galsky M, Hahn N, Rosenberg J, Sonpavde G, Hutson T, Oh W, et al. A consensus definition of patients with metastatic urothelial carcinoma who are unfit for cisplatin-based chemotherapy. *The Lancet Oncology*. 2011 Mar 2011;12(3)
8. von der Maase H, Hansen S, Roberts J, Dogliotti L, Oliver T, Moore M, et al. Gemcitabine and cisplatin versus methotrexate, vinblastine, doxorubicin, and cisplatin in advanced or metastatic bladder cancer: results of a large, randomized, multinational, multicenter, phase III study. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology*. 2000 Sep 2000;18(17)
9. Sternberg C, de Mulder P, Schornagel J, Théodore C, Fossa S, van Oosterom A, et al. Randomized phase III trial of high-dose-intensity methotrexate, vinblastine, doxorubicin, and cisplatin (MVAC) chemotherapy and recombinant human granulocyte colony-stimulating factor versus classic MVAC in advanced urothelial tract tumors: European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Protocol no. 30924. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology*. 05/15/2001 2001;19(10)
10. Bellmunt J, von der Maase H, Mead G. Randomized phase III study comparing avelumab/cisplatin/gemcitabine (PCG) and gemcitabine/cisplatin (GC) in patients with locally advanced (LA) or metastatic (M) urothelial cancer without prior systemic therapy. *J Clin Oncol*; 2007:LBA5030.
11. Carteni G, Dogliotti L, Crucitta A. Phase II randomised trial of gemcitabine plus cisplatin (GP) and gemcitabine plus carboplatin (GC) in patients (pts) with advanced or metastatic transitional cell carcinoma of the urothelium (TCCU). *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol*; 2003:abstract 1543.
12. Bamias A, Mouloupoulos L, Koutras A, Aravantinos G, Fountzilias G, Pectasides D, et al. The combination of gemcitabine and carboplatin as first-line treatment in patients with advanced urothelial carcinoma. A Phase II study of the Hellenic Cooperative Oncology Group. *Cancer*. 01/15/2006 2006;106(2)
13. Nogué-Aliguer M, Carles J, Arrivi A, Juan O, Alonso L, Font A, et al. Gemcitabine and carboplatin in advanced transitional cell carcinoma of the urinary tract: an alternative therapy. *Cancer*. 05/01/2003 2003;97(9)
14. De Santis M, Bellmunt J, Mead G, Kerst J, Leahy M, Maroto P, et al. Randomized phase II/III trial assessing gemcitabine/carboplatin and methotrexate/carboplatin/vinblastine in patients with advanced urothelial cancer who are unfit for cisplatin-based chemotherapy: EORTC study 30986. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology*. 01/10/2012 2012;30(2)
15. Balar A, Castellano D, O'Donnell P, Grivas P, Vuky J, Powles T, et al. First-line pembrolizumab in cisplatin-ineligible patients with locally advanced and unresectable or metastatic urothelial cancer (KEYNOTE-052): a multicentre, single-arm, phase 2 study. *The Lancet Oncology*. 2017 Nov 2017;18(11)
16. Powles T, Park S, Voog E, Caserta C, Valderrama B, Gurney H, et al. Avelumab Maintenance Therapy for Advanced or Metastatic Urothelial Carcinoma. *The New England journal of medicine*. 09/24/2020 2020;383(13)
17. Bellmunt J, de Wit R, Vaughn D, Fradet Y, Lee J, Fong L, et al. Pembrolizumab as Second-Line Therapy for Advanced Urothelial Carcinoma. *The New England journal of medicine*. 03/16/2017 2017;376(11)
18. Loriot Y, Necchi A, Park S, Garcia-Donas J, Huddart R, Burgess E, et al. Erdafitinib in Locally Advanced or Metastatic Urothelial Carcinoma. *The New England journal of medicine*. 07/25/2019 2019;381(4)

19. Powles T, Rosenberg J, Sonpavde G, Loriot Y, Durán I, Lee J, et al. Enfortumab Vedotin in Previously Treated Advanced Urothelial Carcinoma. *The New England journal of medicine*. 03/25/2021 2021;384(12)

Appendix A: Cancer Staging

Table 1. TNM staging of Bladder Cancer (AJCC/UICC TNM classification of malignant tumours, 8th edition)

PRIMARY TUMOUR (T)			
TX	Primary tumour cannot be assessed		
T0	No evidence of primary tumour		
TA	Non-invasive papillary carcinoma		
TIS	Urothelial carcinoma <i>in situ</i> : “Flat tumor”		
T1	Tumour invades lamina propria (subepithelial connective tissue)		
T2	Tumour invades muscularis propria		
PT2A	Tumour invades superficial muscularis propria (inner half)		
PT2B	Tumour invades deep muscularis propria (outer half)		
T3	Tumour invades perivesical soft tissue		
PT3A	Microscopically		
PT3B	Macroscopically (extravesical mass)		
T4	Extravesical tumour directly invades any of the following: prostatic stroma, seminal vesicles, uterus, vagina, pelvic wall, abdominal wall		
T4A	Extravesical tumour invades directly into prostatic stroma, seminal vesicles, uterus, vagina		
T4B	Extravesical tumour invades pelvic wall, abdominal wall		
REGIONAL LYMPH NODES (N)			
NX	Lymph nodes cannot be assessed		
N0	No lymph node metastasis		
N1	Single regional lymph node metastasis in the true pelvis (perivesical, obturator, internal and external iliac, or sacral lymph node)		
N2	Multiple regional lymph node metastases in the true pelvis (perivesical, obturator, internal and external iliac, or sacral lymph node metastases)		
N3	Lymph node metastasis to the common iliac lymph nodes		
DISTANT METASTASIS (M)			
M0	No distant metastasis		
M1	Distant metastasis		
M1A	Distant metastasis limited to lymph nodes beyond the common iliacs		
M1B	Non-lymph node distant metastases		
PROGNOSTIC STAGE GROUPS			
WHEN T IS...	And N is...	And M is...	Then the stage group is...
TA	N0	M0	0a
TIS	N0	M0	0is
T1	N0	M0	I
T2A-T2B	N0	M0	II
T3A, T3B, T4A	N0	M0	IIIA
T1-T4A	N1	M0	IIIA
T1-T4A	N2, N3	M0	IIIB
T4B	Any N	M0	IVA
ANY T	Any N	M1a	IVA
ANY T	Any N	M1b	IVB

Development and Revision History

This guideline was developed by a multidisciplinary working group comprised of members from the Alberta Provincial GU Tumour Team, external participants identified by the Working Group Lead, and a methodologist from the Guideline Resource Unit. The draft guideline was externally reviewed and endorsed by members of the Alberta Provincial GU Tumour Team who were not involved in the guideline's development, including surgical oncologists, radiation oncologists, medical oncologists, urologists, nurses, pathologists, and pharmacists. A detailed description of the methodology followed during the guideline development process can be found in the [Guideline Resource Unit Handbook](#).

This guideline was originally developed in 2020.

Maintenance

A formal review of the guideline will be conducted in 2023. If critical new evidence is brought forward before that time, however, the guideline working group members will revise and update the document accordingly.

Abbreviations

AHS, Alberta Health Services; AJCC, American Joint Committee on Cancer; CCA, Cancer Care Alberta; MVAC, Methotrexate, vinblastine sulfate, doxorubicin hydrochloride; TURBT, Transurethral resection of a bladder tumor.

Disclaimer

The recommendations contained in this guideline are a consensus of the Alberta Provincial GU Tumour Team and are a synthesis of currently accepted approaches to management, derived from a review of relevant scientific literature. Clinicians applying these guidelines should, in consultation with the patient, use independent medical judgment in the context of individual clinical circumstances to direct care.

Copyright © (2023) Alberta Health Services

This copyright work is licensed under the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivative 4.0 International license](#). You are free to copy and distribute the work including in other media and formats for non-commercial purposes, as long as you attribute the work to Alberta Health Services, do not adapt the work, and abide by the other license terms. To view a copy of this license, see <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

The license does not apply to AHS trademarks, logos or content for which Alberta Health Services is not the copyright owner.

Funding Source

Financial support for the development of Cancer Care Alberta's evidence-based clinical practice guidelines and supporting materials comes from the Cancer Care Alberta operating budget; no outside commercial funding was received to support the development of this document.

All cancer drugs described in the guidelines are funded in accordance with the Outpatient Cancer Drug Benefit Program, at no charge, to eligible residents of Alberta, unless otherwise

explicitly stated. For a complete list of funded drugs, specific indications, and approved prescribers, please refer to the [Outpatient Cancer Drug Benefit Program Master List](#).

Conflict of Interest Statements

***Dr. Nimira Alimohamed** reports receiving grants from Astellas, AstraZeneca, Janssen, Merck & Pfizer.

Dr. Shaan Dudani has nothing to disclose.

Derek Tilley has nothing to disclose.

Citation

Alimohamed N (lead), Dudani S, Tilley D. Cancer Care Alberta, Alberta Health Services (2023). Clinical Practice Guideline on Advanced/Metastatic Bladder Cancer v3. Accessed [Month, Year]. Available from: www.ahs.ca/guru