

OROPHARYNGEAL CANCER TREATMENT

Effective Date: February 2019

www.albertahealthservices.ca



Copyright © (2019) Alberta Health Services

This material is protected by Canadian and other international copyright laws. All rights reserved. This material may not be copied, published, distributed or reproduced in any way in whole or in part without the express written permission of Alberta Health Services (please contact the Guideline Resource Unit Manager at CancerControl Alberta at <u>guru@ahs.ca</u>). This material is intended for general information only and is provided on an "as is", "where is" basis. Although reasonable efforts were made to confirm the accuracy of the information, Alberta Health Services does not make any representation or warranty, express, implied or statutory, as to the accuracy, reliability, completeness, applicability or fitness for a particular purpose of such information. This material is not a substitute for the advice of a qualified health professional. Alberta Health Services expressly disclaims all liability for the use of these materials, and for any claims, actions, demands or suits arising from such use.

The recommendations contained in this guideline are a consensus of the Alberta Provincial Head and Neck Tumour Team and are a synthesis of currently accepted approaches to management, derived from a review of relevant scientific literature. Clinicians applying these guidelines should, in consultation with the patient, use independent medical judgment in the context of individual clinical circumstances to direct care. The Tumour Team recognizes that the treatment of oropharyngeal cancer is rapidly evolving and as such there will be some variation in the nuances of treatment.

All cancer drugs described in the guidelines are funded in accordance with the Outpatient Cancer Drug Benefit Program, at no charge, to eligible residents of Alberta, unless otherwise explicitly stated. For a complete list of funded drugs, specific indications, and approved prescribers, please refer to the Outpatient Cancer Drug Benefit Program Master List.

Participation of members of the Alberta Provincial Head and Neck Tumour Team in the development of this guideline has been voluntary and the authors have not been remunerated for their contributions. There was no direct industry involvement in the development or dissemination of this guideline. CancerControl Alberta recognizes that although industry support of research, education and other areas is necessary in order to advance patient care, such support may lead to potential conflicts of interest. Some members of the Alberta Provincial Head and Neck Tumour Team are involved in research funded by industry or have other such potential conflicts of interest. However the developers of this guideline are satisfied it was developed in an unbiased manner.



BACKGROUND

The impact of oropharyngeal cancer on population health in Canada is difficult to assess because incidence rates are largely described in combination with other head and neck subsites. The oropharynx is the part of the pharynx that is posterior to the oral cavity, between the nasopharynx and the hypopharynx. The subsites of the oropharynx are the base of tongue, tonsillar region, soft palate, and pharyngeal wall. Squamous cell carcinomas account for the vast majority (>90%) of oropharyngeal cancers.¹ Patients with oropharyngeal cancer are often asymptomatic until the tumour reaches a significant size or metastasizes to a lymph node in the neck. Approximately 70% of patients present to cancer specialists at an advanced staged (stage III or IV).² Similar to other cancers of the head and neck, patients with a history of overusing tobacco and/or alcohol are at increased risk for the development of oropharyngeal cancer. Human papillomavirus (HPV) is also a well-recognized risk factor and likely underlies the worldwide increase in the incidence of oropharyngeal cancer. In Alberta, we have also experienced an increasing incidence of HPV-related oropharyngeal cancer.³ HPV16 accounts for 90-95% of HPV-positive oropharyngeal cancers.⁴ Although improved survival rates have been documented in HPV-positive compared with HPVnegative patients,⁵ current treatment approaches are similar. The treatment options for oropharyngeal cancer include surgery, radiation, and chemotherapy. Depending on the tumour stage, subsite, and patient performance status, these treatments can be offered as single modality or combined-modality. Molecular-targeted therapies are emerging as well and will be incorporated into the treatment guidelines as evidence becomes available.

The purpose of this guideline is to outline treatment recommendations for patients with oropharyngeal cancer in Alberta. These guidelines should be applied in the context of the recommendations outlined in Alberta Health Services, CancerControl Alberta guideline, <u>The Organization and Delivery of Healthcare</u> <u>Services for Head and Neck Cancer Patients (HN-001)</u>.

GUIDELINE QUESTIONS

- 1. What diagnostic investigations and baseline assessments are recommended for patients with suspected or confirmed oropharyngeal cancer?
- 2. What are the recommended treatment options for early (stage I and II) oropharyngeal cancer?
- 3. What are the recommended treatment options for locally advanced (stage III and IV) oropharyngeal cancer?
- 4. What are the recommended treatment options for metastatic and recurrent oropharyngeal cancer?
- 5. What are the recommended rehabilitation and follow-up strategies post-treatment for oropharyngeal cancer?

DEVELOPMENT AND REVISION HISTORY

The Alberta Provincial Head and Neck Tumour Team used the following steps to develop this guideline:

- 1. The Alberta Provincial Head and Neck Tumour Team Executive members individually reviewed the results of an environmental scan of published clinical practice guidelines (CPGs) related to the treatment of oropharyngeal cancer.
- 2. Based on this review, the Executive supported adapting the National Comprehensive Cancer Care (NCCN) guidelines.⁶

- 3. At a face-to-face meeting in September 2014 the Executive reviewed NCCN's recommendations for the treatment of oropharyngeal cancer and made revisions based on consideration of context to ensure relevance for local practice. Subsequent Executive teleconferences were needed to fine tune the recommendations.
- 4. The draft recommendations were then sent out to all members of the Provincial Head and Neck Tumour Team (approximately 150 individuals from multiple disciplines) using a web-based survey tool. Respondents were asked to review the recommendations, indicate their level of agreement with each recommendation, and provide comments on the usefulness of the recommendations, and suggestions for improvement. All responses were anonymous. Response rate was 34%.
- 5. The Executive individually reviewed the survey results and then discussed the proposed revisions to the recommendations during a teleconference in June 2015.
- 6. The guideline was reviewed by the Executive in August 2018, at which time minor edits were incorporated and approved by the Tumour Team. The Tumour Team recognizes that the treatment of oropharyngeal cancer is rapidly evolving, and a comprehensive update of this guideline will be necessary over the next 1-2 years.

SEARCH STRATEGY

Alberta Health

Services

The National Guideline Clearinghouse (NGC, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, <u>www.guideline.gov</u>) was searched for clinical practice guidelines published between 2012 and 2015 related to the treatment of oropharyngeal cancer, and the webpages of well-recognized cancer guideline developers was hand-searched to ensure no clinical practice guidelines had been missed. A review of the primary literature was conducted using PubMed and the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews; the full literature search strategy and results are included in Appendix A.

TARGET POPULATION

The recommendations outlined in this guideline are intended for adults over the age of 18 years with oropharyngeal cancer. Different principles may apply to pediatric patients.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Caring for patients with oropharyngeal cancer is complex and requires the expertise of many specialists as outlined in the guideline, <u>HN-001</u>. Whenever possible, patients with oropharyngeal cancer should be considered for eligibility in ongoing clinical trials, and every case should be presented at a multidisciplinary tumour board. Tumour board review utilizes the expertise of a variety of specialists who draw on their own experiences with similar patient cases and current treatment approaches based on the literature.

With no large randomized control trials comparing surgical versus non-surgical treatments for patients with oropharyngeal cancer, there is a lack of high level evidence as to the optimum management strategy. However, there is agreement that the goals of treatment include maximizing loco-regional control, survival, functional outcomes, cosmesis, quality of life, and cost-effectiveness. Questions about cost-effectiveness and comparative value of treatments are beyond the scope of this clinical practice guideline.



Diagnostic Investigations and Baseline Assessments

- 1. The following diagnostic investigations are recommended for patients with suspected oropharyngeal cancer:
 - Complete head and neck examination,
 - Neck and chest computed tomography (CT), or, depending on the clinical scenario, positron emission tomography (PET)/CT,
 - Biopsy,
 - Examination under anesthesia with endoscopy, if indicated, and
 - HPV status using immunohistochemcial (IHC) staining for p16.7-10
- 2. The following baseline assessments are recommended for patients with confirmed oropharyngeal cancer to establish a clear supportive care plan for the patient's treatment journey:
 - Nutrition, speech and swallowing evaluation should be conducted by a registered dietician and a speech-language/swallowing therapist as described in <u>HN-001</u>; indicated for patients with significant weight loss (more than 10% body weight), and/or difficulty with speech/swallowing, and/or for patients whose treatment is likely to affect speech/swallowing, and¹¹⁻¹⁸
 - Dental/prosthodontic evaluation, including dental and jaw imaging.^{19,20}

Treatment Options

Patient participation in clinical trials is recommended. All cases should be presented and discussed at a multidisciplinary tumour board to decide the best treatment option for each patient. Treatment should begin within four weeks of being ready to treat. Staging is based on the AJCC 7th Edition TNM staging system. With the publication of the AJCC 8th Edition TNM staging system the Alberta Head and Neck Cancer Provincial Tumour team is the in the process of updating the current treatment guidelines with current best available evidence.

Early-Stage (T1-2, N0-1)

- 3. Single modality treatment with either surgery or radiotherapy (RT) is recommended for most patients with T1-2, N0-1 disease.²¹⁻²⁴ A decision regarding the most appropriate treatment should be guided by an evaluation of potential functional deficits post-treatment, as well as patient preference, patient's physical condition, potential morbidity including impact on functional outcomes and cosmesis, patient's quality of life, and length of hospital stay.
- 4. Treatment of the neck is recommended for all patients with early-stage disease.^{25,26} Bilateral neck treatment is required for tumours involving midline structures with bilateral lymphatic drainage.

Surgery:

- For patients with no adverse risk features on pathology, no further treatment is required.
- Surgery to remove the primary tumour could be via an open approach or a transoral approach as deemed appropriate by the treating surgeon(s), and based on local expertise and resources.
- For patients with positive surgical margins and/or extracapsular spread, chemoRT is indicated ≤6 weeks after surgery.
- For patients with positive surgical margins alone, re-resection is the preferred treatment. If unresectable, RT or chemoRT is recommended.

• If other adverse risk features are present patients may be managed with RT. Clinical judgment should be used if consideration of adding chemotherapy to RT exists.

Radiotherapy:

- The recommended RT dose is 66–70 Gy.
- In the case of residual disease, treatment with salvage surgery is recommended.

Radiotherapy plus Systemic Therapy:

Alberta Health

Services

• In the case of residual disease, treatment with salvage surgery is recommended.

Please click here to view the early-stage (T1-2, N0-1) treatment algorithm.

Locally Advanced Stage (T3-4a, N0-1) Treatment Options

5. Multimodality treatment with either surgery followed by RT or chemoRT, or concurrent chemoRT is recommended for patients with T3-4a, N0-1 disease. A decision regarding the most appropriate treatment should be guided by an evaluation of potential functional deficits post-treatment, as well as patient preference, patient's physical condition, potential morbidity including impact on functional outcomes and cosmesis, patient's quality of life, and length of hospital stay.

Surgery Followed by Radiotherapy or ChemoRT:

- Most patients with pT3-4a, N0-1 disease without additional adverse risk factors require post-op RT alone.
- For patients with positive margins and/or extracapsular spread, chemoRT is indicated ≤6 weeks after surgery.
- Patients with good performance status, especially in the presence of other risk factors (e.g., high grade, pT3 or pT4 primary, N2 or N3 nodal disease, nodal disease in levels IV or V, perineural invasion, and lymphvascular invasion) may be considered for chemoRT.
- The recommended postoperative RT dose is 60–66 Gy.
- The recommended postoperative chemoRT regimen is concurrent single-agent cisplatin at 100 mg/m² every 3 weeks.²⁷⁻³⁰

Concurrent ChemoRT:

- The recommended RT dose is 66–70 Gy.
- Single-agent cisplatin is the preferred chemotherapeutic agent.^{31,32} Weekly cetuximab is a reasonable alternative to use with concurrent radiation in patients deemed cisplatin-intolerant.³³
- Patients with a complete clinical response may be observed. All patients with an incomplete response to treatment should be re-reviewed by the multidisciplinary tumour board to discuss indications for salvage surgery.

Please click here to view the locally advanced (T3-4a, N0-1) stage treatment algorithm.

Locally Advanced Stage (Any T, N2-3) Treatment Options

Multimodality treatment with either surgery followed by RT or chemoRT, or concurrent chemoRT is
recommended for patients with any T, N2-3 disease. A decision regarding the most appropriate
treatment should be guided by an evaluation of potential functional deficits post-treatment, as well as



patient preference, patient's physical condition, potential morbidity including impact on functional outcomes and cosmesis, patient's quality of life, and length of hospital stay.

Surgery Followed by Radiotherapy or ChemoRT:

- For patients with positive margins and/or extracapsular spread and with a good performance status, chemoRT is indicated ≤6 weeks after surgery.
- RT is indicated for patients with other risk factors, including high grade, pT3 or pT4 primary, N2 or N3 nodal disease, nodal disease in levels IV or V, perineural invasion, and lymphvascular invasion.
- The recommended postoperative RT dose is 60–66 Gy.
- The recommended postoperative chemoRT regimen is concurrent single-agent cisplatin at 100 mg/m² every 3 weeks.²⁷⁻³⁰

Concurrent ChemoRT:

- The recommended RT dose is 66–70 Gy.
- Single-agent cisplatin is the preferred chemotherapeutic agent.^{31,32} Weekly cetuximab is a reasonable alternative to use with concurrent radiation in patients deemed cisplatin-intolerant.³³
- Patients with a complete clinical response at the primary site, as well as the neck should be seen in clinic for an assessment 4-8 weeks post treatment. Consider CT and/or MRI with contrast, or PET/CT scan to assess extent of the disease or distant metastases if persistent disease or progression is suspected. If diagnosis is confirmed or progression is evident, proceed with neck dissection.
- Patients with residual disease at the primary site should be presented at multidisciplinary tumour board to discuss salvage treatment options.
- Neck dissection is also recommended for patients with a complete clinical response at the primary site, but an incomplete response in the neck.

Please click here to view the locally advanced (Any T, N2-3) stage treatment algorithm.

Very Advanced Stage (T4b, and N, or unresectable nodal disease, or unfit for surgery) Treatment Options

7. Patients should be managed on an individual basis with input from members of the multidisciplinary tumour board.

Rehabilitation and Follow-up Strategies

- 8. The subsequent follow-up schedules are recommended post-treatment to detect recurrences, distant metastases, second primary malignancies, or treatment complications:^{34,35}
 - Head and neck examination (note that the ranges are based on risk of relapse, second primaries, treatment sequelae, and toxicities):
 - Year 1, every 1–3 months
 - Year 2, every 2–6 months
 - Year 3–5, every 4–8 months
 - >5 years, annually, as clinically indicated
 - Annual thyroid-stimulating hormone screening up to 5 years; indicated for patients that receive RT to the neck³⁶⁻³⁸
 - Routine dental evaluations:^{19,20}



- Half-way through treatment
- At the end of treatment
- o 6 weeks post-treatment
- o 3 months post-treatment
- 6 months post-treatment*
- 1 year post-treatment
- Annually, according to clinical situation
- * At 6 months, patients can begin to see community dentist for non-cancer related issues
- 9. The following rehabilitation strategies are recommended post-treatment to aid the patient in achieving a stable functional baseline:
 - Prior to hospital discharge, all patients should be assessed for speech/swallowing deficits and post-discharge needs, and additional and appropriate early intervention provided,¹⁶⁻¹⁸
 - The first speech/swallowing review should occur at 6 months, then again at 12 months postdischarge; additional assessment and rehabilitation, as clinically indicated by a speechlanguage/swallowing therapist,
 - Follow-up with a registered dietician to evaluate nutritional status and until the patient achieves a nutritionally stable baseline, and
 - Physiotherapy for 3–6 months post-treatment; indicated for surgical patients.



TREATMENT ALGORITHMS

Patient participation in clinical trials is recommended. For standard treatment, all cases should be presented and discussed at a multidisciplinary tumour board to decide the best treatment option for each patient.



www.albertahealthservices.ca

Patient participation in clinical trials is recommended. For standard treatment, all cases should be presented and discussed at a multidisciplinary tumour board to decide the best treatment option for each patient.

Alberta Health

Services



CLINICAL PRACTICE GUIDELINE HN-004 Version 1 Patient participation in clinical trials is recommended. For standard treatment, all cases should be presented and discussed at a multidisciplinary tumour board to decide the best treatment option for each patient.

Alberta Health

Services



Physiotherapy for 3-6 months post-treatment; indicated for surgical patients

www.albertahealthservices.ca

CLINICAL PRACTICE GUIDELINE HN-004 Version 1

GLOSSARY OF ABBREVIATIONS

Acronym	Description
CPG	clinical practice guideline
CT	computed tomography
HPV	human papillomavirus
IHC	immunohistochemistry
NCCN	National Comprehensive Cancer Care
PET	positron emission tomography
NGC	National Guideline Clearinghouse
RT	radiotherapy

DISSEMINATION

- Present the guideline at the local and provincial tumour team meetings and weekly rounds.
- Post the guideline on the Alberta Health Services website.
- Send an electronic notification of the new guideline to all members of CancerControl Alberta.

MAINTENANCE

A formal review of the guideline will be conducted at the Annual Provincial Meeting in 2020. If critical new evidence is brought forward before that time, however, the guideline working group members will revise and update the document accordingly.

REFERENCES

- Choi WH, Hu KS, Culliney B, Sessions RB, Harrison LB. Cancer of the oropharynx. In: Harrison LB, Sessions R.B. H, W.K., editors. Head and Neck Cancer: A Multidisciplinary Approach. 3rd ed. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott, William & Wilkins; 2009. p. 285.
- Odell MJ, Walz BJ, Reimers H-, Varvares MA. Carcinoma of the Oropharynx. In: Genden EM, Varvares MA, editors. Head and Neck Cancer. An Evidence-Based Team Appraoch. New York, NY: Thieme Medical Publishers, Inc; 2008. p. 24.
- 3. Shack L, Lau HY, Huang L, Doll C, Hao D. Trends in the incidence of human papillomavirus-related noncervical and cervical cancers in Alberta, Canada: a population-based study. CMAJ Open 2014;2(3):E127.
- 4. Liu SZ, Zandberg DP, Schumaker LM, Papadimitriou JC, Cullen KJ. Correlation of p16 expression and HPV type with survival in oropharyngeal squamous cell cancer. Oral Oncol 2015.
- 5. Chaturvedi AK, Engels EA, Pfeiffer RM, Hernandez BY, Xiao W, Kim E, et al. Human Papillomavirus and Rising Oropharyngeal Cancer Incidence in the United States. J Clin Oncol 2011;29(32):4294-4301.
- 6. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines). Head and Neck Cancers. 2015; Available at: NCCN.org. Accessed 07/30, 2015.
- Jordan RC, Lingen MW, Perez-Ordonez B, He X, Pickard R, Koluder M, et al. Validation of methods for oropharyngeal cancer HPV status determination in US cooperative group trials. Am J Surg Pathol 2012 Jul;36(7):945-954 PubMed ID 22743284.
- Lassen P, Eriksen JG, Hamilton-Dutoit S, Tramm T, Alsner J, Overgaard J. Effect of HPV-associated p16INK4A expression on response to radiotherapy and survival in squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. J Clin Oncol 2009 Apr 20;27(12):1992-1998 PubMed ID 19289615.
- Rischin D, Young RJ, Fisher R, Fox SB, Le QT, Peters LJ, et al. Prognostic significance of p16INK4A and human papillomavirus in patients with oropharyngeal cancer treated on TROG 02.02 phase III trial. J Clin Oncol 2010 Sep 20;28(27):4142-4148 PubMed ID 20697079.

Alberta Health Services

- 10. Ang KK, Harris J, Wheeler R, Weber R, Rosenthal DI, Nguyen-Tan PF, et al. Human papillomavirus and survival of patients with oropharyngeal cancer. N Engl J Med 2010 Jul 1;363(1):24-35 PubMed ID 20530316.
- 11. Colasanto JM, Prasad P, Nash MA, Decker RH, Wilson LD. Nutritional support of patients undergoing radiation therapy for head and neck cancer. Oncology (Williston Park) 2005 Mar;19(3):371-9; discussion 380-2, 387 PubMed ID 15828552.
- 12. Langius JA, van Dijk AM, Doornaert P, Kruizenga HM, Langendijk JA, Leemans CR, et al. More than 10% weight loss in head and neck cancer patients during radiotherapy is independently associated with deterioration in quality of life. Nutr Cancer 2013;65(1):76-83 PubMed ID 23368916.
- August DA, Huhmann MB, American Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition (A.S.P.E.N.) Board of Directors. A.S.P.E.N. clinical guidelines: nutrition support therapy during adult anticancer treatment and in hematopoietic cell transplantation. JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr 2009 Sep-Oct;33(5):472-500 PubMed ID 19713551.
- 14. Garg S, Yoo J, Winquist E. Nutritional support for head and neck cancer patients receiving radiotherapy: a systematic review. Support Care Cancer 2010 Jun;18(6):667-677 PubMed ID 19582484.
- 15. Rabeneck L, McCullough LB, Wray NP. Ethically justified, clinically comprehensive guidelines for percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy tube placement. Lancet 1997 Feb 15;349(9050):496-498 PubMed ID 9040591.
- 16. Roe JW, Carding PN, Rhys-Evans PH, Newbold KL, Harrington KJ, Nutting CM. Assessment and management of dysphagia in patients with head and neck cancer who receive radiotherapy in the United Kingdom a webbased survey. Oral Oncol 2012 Apr;48(4):343-348 PubMed ID 22130454.
- 17. Russi EG, Corvo R, Merlotti A, Alterio D, Franco P, Pergolizzi S, et al. Swallowing dysfunction in head and neck cancer patients treated by radiotherapy: review and recommendations of the supportive task group of the Italian Association of Radiation Oncology. Cancer Treat Rev 2012 Dec;38(8):1033-1049 PubMed ID 22542950.
- Cnossen IC, de Bree R, Rinkel RN, Eerenstein SE, Rietveld DH, Doornaert P, et al. Computerized monitoring of patient-reported speech and swallowing problems in head and neck cancer patients in clinical practice. Support Care Cancer 2012 Nov;20(11):2925-2931 PubMed ID 22395211.
- 19. Murdoch-Kinch CA, Zwetchkenbaum S. Dental management of the head and neck cancer patient treated with radiation therapy. J Mich Dent Assoc 2011 Jul;93(7):28-37 PubMed ID 21888251.
- Epstein JB, Thariat J, Bensadoun RJ, Barasch A, Murphy BA, Kolnick L, et al. Oral complications of cancer and cancer therapy: from cancer treatment to survivorship. CA Cancer J Clin 2012 Nov-Dec;62(6):400-422 PubMed ID 22972543.
- 21. Hinni ML, Zarka MA, Hoxworth JM. Margin mapping in transoral surgery for head and neck cancer. Laryngoscope 2013 May;123(5):1190-1198 PubMed ID 23382042.
- Adelstein DJ, Ridge JA, Brizel DM, Holsinger FC, Haughey BH, O'Sullivan B, et al. Transoral resection of pharyngeal cancer: summary of a National Cancer Institute Head and Neck Cancer Steering Committee Clinical Trials Planning Meeting, November 6-7, 2011, Arlington, Virginia. Head Neck 2012 Dec;34(12):1681-1703 PubMed ID 23015475.
- 23. Li RJ, Richmon JD. Transoral endoscopic surgery: new surgical techniques for oropharyngeal cancer. Otolaryngol Clin North Am 2012 Aug;45(4):823-844 PubMed ID 22793855.
- 24. Genden EM, Kotz T, Tong CC, Smith C, Sikora AG, Teng MS, et al. Transoral robotic resection and reconstruction for head and neck cancer. Laryngoscope 2011 Aug;121(8):1668-1674 PubMed ID 21792953.
- 25. Candela FC, Kothari K, Shah JP. Patterns of cervical node metastases from squamous carcinoma of the oropharynx and hypopharynx. Head Neck 1990 May-Jun;12(3):197-203 PubMed ID 2358329.
- Lim YC, Koo BS, Lee JS, Lim JY, Choi EC. Distributions of cervical lymph node metastases in oropharyngeal carcinoma: therapeutic implications for the N0 neck. Laryngoscope 2006 Jul;116(7):1148-1152 PubMed ID 16826050.
- Bernier J, Domenge C, Ozsahin M, Matuszewska K, Lefebvre JL, Greiner RH, et al. Postoperative irradiation with or without concomitant chemotherapy for locally advanced head and neck cancer. N Engl J Med 2004 May 6;350(19):1945-1952 PubMed ID 15128894.
- 28. Bernier J, Cooper JS, Pajak TF, van Glabbeke M, Bourhis J, Forastiere A, et al. Defining risk levels in locally advanced head and neck cancers: a comparative analysis of concurrent postoperative radiation plus chemotherapy trials of the EORTC (#22931) and RTOG (# 9501). Head Neck 2005 Oct;27(10):843-850 PubMed ID 16161069.

Alberta Health Services

- Cooper JS, Pajak TF, Forastiere AA, Jacobs J, Campbell BH, Saxman SB, et al. Postoperative concurrent radiotherapy and chemotherapy for high-risk squamous-cell carcinoma of the head and neck. N Engl J Med 2004 May 6;350(19):1937-1944 PubMed ID 15128893.
- Cooper JS, Zhang Q, Pajak TF, Forastiere AA, Jacobs J, Saxman SB, et al. Long-term follow-up of the RTOG 9501/intergroup phase III trial: postoperative concurrent radiation therapy and chemotherapy in high-risk squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2012 Dec 1;84(5):1198-1205 PubMed ID 22749632.
- 31. Forastiere AA, Zhang Q, Weber RS, Maor MH, Goepfert H, Pajak TF, et al. Long-term results of RTOG 91-11: a comparison of three nonsurgical treatment strategies to preserve the larynx in patients with locally advanced larynx cancer. J Clin Oncol 2013 Mar 1;31(7):845-852 PubMed ID 23182993.
- 32. Adelstein DJ, Li Y, Adams GL, Wagner H, Jr, Kish JA, Ensley JF, et al. An intergroup phase III comparison of standard radiation therapy and two schedules of concurrent chemoradiotherapy in patients with unresectable squamous cell head and neck cancer. J Clin Oncol 2003 Jan 1;21(1):92-98 PubMed ID 12506176.
- 33. Bonner JA, Harari PM, Giralt J, Cohen RB, Jones CU, Sur RK, et al. Radiotherapy plus cetuximab for locoregionally advanced head and neck cancer: 5-year survival data from a phase 3 randomised trial, and relation between cetuximab-induced rash and survival. Lancet Oncol 2010 Jan;11(1):21-28 PubMed ID 19897418.
- 34. Chaukar DA, Walvekar RR, Das AK, Deshpande MS, Pai PS, Chaturvedi P, et al. Quality of life in head and neck cancer survivors: a cross-sectional survey. Am J Otolaryngol 2009 May-Jun;30(3):176-180 PubMed ID 19410123.
- So WK, Chan RJ, Chan DN, Hughes BG, Chair SY, Choi KC, et al. Quality-of-life among head and neck cancer survivors at one year after treatment--a systematic review. Eur J Cancer 2012 Oct;48(15):2391-2408 PubMed ID 22579456.
- Colevas AD, Read R, Thornhill J, Adak S, Tishler R, Busse P, et al. Hypothyroidism incidence after multimodality treatment for stage III and IV squamous cell carcinomas of the head and neck. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2001 Nov 1;51(3):599-604 PubMed ID 11597798.
- Tell R, Lundell G, Nilsson B, Sjodin H, Lewin F, Lewensohn R. Long-term incidence of hypothyroidism after radiotherapy in patients with head-and-neck cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2004 Oct 1;60(2):395-400 PubMed ID 15380571.
- Posner MR, Ervin TJ, Miller D, Fabian RL, Norris CM, Jr, Weichselbaum RR, et al. Incidence of hypothyroidism following multimodality treatment for advanced squamous cell cancer of the head and neck. Laryngoscope 1984 Apr;94(4):451-454 PubMed ID 6708688.



APPENDIX A: Literature Search Strategy

Date of Literature Review: March 26, 2015

Published Guidelines:

- To identify existing treatment guidelines, the National Guideline Clearinghouse directory was searched in addition to prominent guideline developer websites.
- Limits: January 1, 2012 to March 26, 2015.
- Results: 3 published guidelines from the NCCN (2014), NICE (2014), and Cancer Care Ontario (2013) were identified.

PubMed:

- Search strategy: ("oropharyngeal neoplasms"[MeSH Terms] OR ("oropharyngeal"[All Fields] AND "neoplasms"[All Fields]) OR "oropharyngeal neoplasms"[All Fields] OR ("oropharyngeal"[All Fields] AND "cancer"[All Fields]) OR "oropharyngeal cancer"[All Fields]) AND ((Clinical Trial[ptyp] OR Clinical Trial, Phase I[ptyp] OR Clinical Trial, Phase II[ptyp] OR Clinical Trial, Phase III[ptyp] OR Clinical Trial, Phase IV[ptyp] OR Controlled Clinical Trial[ptyp] OR Multicenter Study[ptyp] OR Randomized Controlled Trial[ptyp]) AND "humans"[MeSH Terms] AND English[lang] AND "adult"[MeSH Terms])
- Results: 387 articles identified; 35 articles selected where >50% of patients w primary tumour of oropharyngeal cancer AND ≥50 patients
- Reference lists of selected articles were scanned for relevant literature

Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

- Search: oropharyngeal cancer
- Limits: none
- Results: 9 reviews identified, 4 selected