

Adolescent and Young Adult (AYA) Cancer

Effective Date: November 2024



Table of Contents

| | |
|--|----|
| Background..... | 3 |
| Guideline Questions..... | 4 |
| Search Strategy | 4 |
| Target Population..... | 4 |
| Target Audience..... | 4 |
| Recommendations | 5 |
| (1) AYA specific screening and assessment | 5 |
| (2) Clinical Trials..... | 6 |
| (3) Approach to Treatment | 6 |
| (4) Oncofertility | 7 |
| (5) Psychosocial | 9 |
| (6) Survivorship | 10 |
| (7) Palliative Care..... | 11 |
| (8) End-of-Life Care..... | 12 |
| References..... | 13 |
| Appendix A. Literature Search Strategies and Results | 18 |
| Appendix B. Fertility Risk Classification | 21 |
| Appendix C. Fertility Preservation Options | 25 |

Background

The Canadian Partnership for Cancer (CPAC) reports that approximately 7,600 Canadians aged 15 to 39 years are diagnosed with cancer every year.¹ Although the most frequent cancers in adolescent and young adults (AYAs) are thyroid cancer, breast cancer, Hodgkin lymphoma, non-Hodgkin lymphoma, testicular cancer and melanoma, all tumour groups present in young adults.¹ While there has been an increase in the age-standardized incidence rate of cancer for the AYA population, most AYAs diagnosed with cancer in Canada will survive their disease today.¹

AYAs diagnosed with cancer face unique challenges during and after their cancer diagnosis. Ferrari et al state that, “AYA patients seem to be in a sort of no-man’s land, halfway between the two different worlds of pediatric and adult medical oncology and bearing the brunt, in terms of inclusion in clinical trials and quality of professional care, of the lack of integration between these two worlds.”² Besides differences in tumour biology, limited progress in survival, lower clinical trial participation rates, and insufficient awareness of cancer symptoms among patients and professionals, AYA cancer patients have distinct psychosocial and supportive care needs compared with pediatric and adult cancer populations.³ Late adolescence and young adulthood is a developmentally complex period of life, during which individuals are working to establish their own identity, develop a positive body image and sexual identity, detach from their parents, increase their involvement with peers, find a life partner, make decisions about higher education and careers, and possibly have their own family.⁴ A cancer diagnosis can temporarily or permanently derail these plans.

In recognition of the lack of information about AYAs diagnosed with cancer in Canada and the obligation to address their unmet needs, a Canadian framework for the care and support of AYAs with cancer was jointly published by CPAC and the Adolescent and Young Adults with Cancer National Network in 2019.⁵ The purpose of this framework was to articulate a national vision for high quality care and survivorship support of AYAs diagnosed with cancer and to provide specific individual-, service-, and system-level key actions to achieve the vision. Thus, the framework inspired the development of this provincial guideline, which endeavors to align its recommendations with the frameworks four strategic priorities to:

- Integrate an AYA-centered experience throughout care and survivorship;
- Deliver interdisciplinary, integrated and comprehensive care and survivorship support that address the unique needs of AYAs with cancer;
- Increase access to cutting-edge approaches to care and survivorship support for AYAs with cancer; and,
- Drive evidence-based improvements for AYAs with cancer.

This guideline has been developed as a supportive care guideline and not as a treatment guideline. The purpose of the guideline is to increase awareness of unique issues in AYA oncology and to recommend standards of care and interventions unique to the AYA population. Fundamentally, this guideline describes the optimal safe and high-quality care for all Albertan AYAs with cancer. Specific

treatments or clinical procedures at the patient level are the domain of the Provincial Tumour Teams and treating teams. Operationalization of these guidelines is the domain of the AYA Oncology Steering Committee and treating teams and will likely look different across healthcare facilities.

Guideline Questions

In AYAs diagnosed with cancer:

1. What specific screening and assessment are needed?
2. What considerations should be made regarding clinical trials access and participation?
3. What strategies can be used to facilitate AYA centered approaches to treatment?
4. When and how should oncofertility be addressed?
5. How can we facilitate psychosocial care?
6. How can survivorship care and planning be optimized?
7. How can palliative care be integrated and optimized?
8. How can end-of-life care be integrated in a timely manner?

Search Strategy

PubMed database was searched for relevant studies, guidelines, and consensus documents. The specific search strategies, search terms, and search results, are presented in [Appendix A](#). Evidence tables are available upon request. Online resources from oncology-based health organizations and guideline developers were also systematically searched, and relevant frameworks, care manuals, and guidelines from the following organizations were considered in the development of our recommendations: Canadian Partnership Against Cancer (CPAC), CanTeen Australia, Clinical Oncology Society of Australia (COSA), and the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN).

Target Population

This guideline's recommendations are applicable to AYAs who have been diagnosed with cancer. We defined this population as persons aged 15 to 39 years, which is in line with The Canadian Framework for the Care and Support of Adolescents and Young Adults.⁵ However, we recognize that based on their development status, persons chronologically less than 15 and older than 39 years may be best served through the recommendations of this guideline.

Target Audience

This guideline is directed at healthcare professionals who are involved in the care of AYAs who have been diagnosed with cancer, as well as for AYAs with cancer and their families and caregivers.

Recommendations

(1) AYA specific screening and assessment

- a) At the time of diagnosis or intake into the cancer care program, a comprehensive multidimensional screening and assessment for AYA specific issues should be completed and documented.⁶ This assessment can be prioritized into parts and completed by members of the healthcare team as they initially work with the AYA rather than in one encounter as appropriate. This screen should explore the AYA's cancer story, a physical symptom review, the family and home situation, education and employment status, social history and activities, relationships, habits and substance use, religious and spiritual beliefs, mental health, and current stressors. *(Level of Evidence: V, Strength of Recommendation: B)*
- b) Throughout an AYA's cancer experience, AYA specific screening and reassessment should be documented regularly and especially at times of transition (e.g., change in treatment, end of treatment, relapse or recurrence, end of surveillance, end of life). *(Level of Evidence: V, Strength of Recommendation: B)*
- c) Cancer occurring in the AYA cohort may include pediatric cancers occurring at an unexpectedly older age, a cancer of adulthood presenting at an unexpectedly young age, suggestive of an inherited cancer predisposition syndrome, or certain cancer types that are strongly predictive of an underlying germline defect. All AYAs should have a thorough family history taken at least once during their cancer experience and if appropriate be referred for genetic and familial risk assessment/counseling based on clinical/family history and/or histologic diagnosis or appropriate genetic assessments be completed based on the diagnosis.^{6,7} *(Level of Evidence: IV, Strength of Recommendation: B)*
- d) Clinicians should hold discussions that encourage AYAs to express their personal needs and preferences. Particular attention should be paid to the complex needs of individuals in low-income, Indigenous, immigrant and rural groups, among others.⁵ Attention should be paid to facilitating family-centered care approaches for the family unit as defined by the AYA. *(Level of Evidence: V, Strength of Recommendation: B)*
- e) Confidentiality is an essential component of AYA-friendly cancer care. Confidentiality and its limits should be discussed and understood at the outset to ensure clear boundaries relating to the clinical relationship with the AYA and to alleviate any concerns of family members (i.e. as defined by the patient) related to confidentiality between the AYA, family and healthcare professional.^{8,9} *(Level of Evidence: V, Strength of Recommendation: B)*
- f) Healthcare professionals working with AYAs with cancer, particularly adolescents, need to consider at each encounter the extent to which the young person is able to participate in decision-

making and provide consent or assent.^{6,10} This will depend on the patient's maturity and understanding of his/her particular situation. (*Level of Evidence: V, Strength of Recommendation: B*)

(2) Clinical Trials

- a) Healthcare professionals providing care to AYAs should make themselves aware of research opportunities for AYAs, which includes collaborating and building connections with researchers inside and outside of their institution to optimize AYA participation in clinical trials. Research should focus on generating evidence for all aspects of best-practice AYA care (e.g., biology, treatment, survivorship). (*Level of Evidence: V, Strength of Recommendation: A*)
- b) Clinical trial or tumour banking enrollment should be considered for all AYAs with a diagnosis of cancer by the healthcare team at diagnosis and reviewed throughout the cancer experience. Healthcare professionals should be aware of clinical trials in both pediatric and adult settings to increase trial prospects. (*Level of Evidence: V, Strength of Recommendation: A*)
- c) Using a shared decision-making process, healthcare professionals should inform AYAs and discuss the potential risks and benefit for AYAs and their families to participate in clinical trials, as well as enrollment on tumour banking and biologic protocols.^{5,11,12} Discussions and decisions about participation in research and clinical trials should be documented in the patient care plan.^{5,11} (*Level of Evidence: V, Strength of Recommendation: A*)
 - Refer to [Alberta Cancer Clinical Trials](#) and [ClinicalTrials.gov](#) databases for a list of current clinical studies being conducted in Alberta and around the World.

(3) Approach to Treatment

- a) AYA's should receive treatment within the tumour team best matching their case. (*Level of Evidence: V, Strength of Recommendation: B*)
- b) Developmentally-appropriate care should be delivered and/or supported by a multidisciplinary team populated with age- and disease-specific medical and psychosocial experts able to effectively communicate and provide evidence-based care.^{5,13} (*Level of Evidence: V, Strength of Recommendation: B*)
- c) When developing and updating clinical practice guidelines, all members of the provincial Tumour Teams should consider the AYA population and add specific evidence-based recommendations as needed to guidelines (e.g., dose modification, treatment-related toxicity). This is particularly important for Tumour Teams that treat cancers with high prevalence rates in the AYA population.⁵ (*Level of Evidence: V, Strength of Recommendation: B*)

- d) Dosing and schedules should consider that AYA patients may tolerate more intensive therapies that may have been associated with improved outcomes.^{6,14-17} Growth factor support may be required, and reversible toxicities may not necessarily require dose reduction as is often required in older patients. (*Level of Evidence: II, Strength of Recommendation: B*)
- e) Treatment planning should always involve consideration of late effects.¹⁶ Recognizing the majority of AYA's survive their cancer and late effects may compromise long-term function and quality of life, the monitoring of cumulative dosages and screening for treatment-related toxicities are essential.^{6,18-23} Dose reductions should be based on avoiding severe irreversible organ damage due to therapy. Screening/monitoring should be based on treatment risk assessment and may include cardiac, renal, neurologic, endocrine, ophthalmologic, dental, neurocognitive, fertility and secondary malignancy surveillance. Clinicians caring for AYAs should review current screening recommendations as required. (*Level of Evidence: IV, Strength of Recommendation: B*)
- Refer to the Children's Oncology Group (COG)²⁴ and National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN)⁶ for published recommendations for late effect screening in AYA cancer survivors.
- f) Treating clinicians should work with patients to identify potential factors contributing to non-adherence with treatment regimens.⁸ It is recommended that adherence assessment and monitoring occur at every clinic visit.^{25,26} (*Level of Evidence: V, Strength of Recommendation: A*)
- g) All AYAs should be provided access to systematic and standardized symptom management for side effects related to cancer treatment.⁶ (*Level of Evidence: V, Strength of Recommendation: A*)

(4) Oncofertility

- a) All patients of reproductive potential requiring cancer treatment, regardless of prognosis, gender, sexual orientation, parity, or relationship status, must be informed of fertility risk and preservation options as early as possible before treatment begins.²⁷ (*Level of Evidence: V, Strength of Recommendation: B*)
- Discussion can occur simultaneously with staging and the formulation of a treatment plan and should be documented in the medical record.
 - Involving family members in these discussions may be beneficial.
 - If the clinician is unable to discuss risks and treatment options, a referral to another member of the healthcare team, or an AYA oncology specialist should be initiated for further discussion. A referral directly to the fertility clinic may also be initiated.
- b) If appropriate, any healthcare professional involved in cancer diagnosis should be prepared to have a conversation with a patient and their family/caregivers, about fertility risk and preservation

options.^{28,29} This conversation should cover:^{28,29} (*Level of Evidence: V, Strength of Recommendation: B*)

- The anticipated gonadotoxic risk from the proposed treatment plan. [Appendix B](#) provides information on the effect of radiotherapy and systemic therapy on fertility.
- The anticipated impact of the proposed treatment on a patient's reproductive organs (e.g., early-onset of menopause).
- The impact that fertility preservation may have on the patient's timeline for starting treatment, based on diagnosis and staging information.
- A brief overview of the fertility preservation options available, including the need for chemotherapy-naïve tissue for some reproductive procedures (e.g., embryo and oocyte cryopreservation). See [Appendix C](#) for more information on preservation options available in Alberta (for Albertan patients and for those patients from Northwest Territories).
- The anticipated costs associated with fertility preservation. While fertility preservation consultations with a fertility specialist are covered by provincial health insurance, fertility preservation procedures and future fertility treatments, along with associated medications, are not covered. This is an important concept to introduce as there are significant financial barriers for patients to access these services.
- That the completion of fertility preservation procedures prior to starting treatment does not necessarily guarantee pregnancy post-treatment.

c) Referral to a fertility clinic should be offered to AYAs as soon as possible following diagnosis unless there is no risk of infertility from the cancer or treatment. This should be documented as part of a progress note. (*Level of Evidence: V, Strength of Recommendation: B*)

- All patients should be referred to a fertility specialist for further discussion of fertility preservation options, including patients who may be ambivalent or uncertain towards having children.^{29,30}
- A discussion and/or referral related to fertility should be considered when the patient returns for follow up after completion of therapy and/or if pregnancy is being considered.²⁹
 - Re-referral to a fertility clinic may be warranted for follow up patients who are interested in exploring their options for fertility treatments.

d) Oncofertility care should include physical, psychosocial, cultural and spiritual assessments and support and be multidisciplinary in nature. Patients seen with Cancer Care Alberta facilities have access to the following referrals for additional support in making fertility preservation decisions: (*Level of Evidence: V, Strength of Recommendation: B*)

- AYA Cancer Patient Navigators – can provide specialized support for AYAs throughout the cancer continuum, including support for fertility preservation decisions. Clinicians can formally

submit a referral through Connect Care or patients may self-refer. See the [AYA Cancer Patient Navigator pamphlet](#) for more information.

- A resource social worker – for financial counselling can support patients with financial counselling and accessing potential health benefits through employee plans and/or funding supports.³¹
 - A counsellor through Psychosocial Oncology – emotional support for fertility concerns is a key component of oncofertility care as infertility concerns are associated with long-term grief, depressive symptoms and lower quality of life. This may be especially important for patients as they transition to follow-up and survivorship. Counselling supports can also help patients make decisions about fertility preservation.
- e) Discussion about contraceptive methods based on teratogenic and fertility risk should occur at diagnosis and be revisited at multiple points along the cancer care continuum, including during active treatment and after the treatment is complete. These discussions should be documented in the medical record. (*Level of Evidence: V, Strength of Recommendation: B*)
- f) Negative pregnancy testing for all post-pubertal AYA females should be considered prior to teratogenic treatments and based on therapy risk repeated throughout treatment. (*Level of Evidence: V, Strength of Recommendation: B*)

(5) Psychosocial

- a) All AYAs should receive psychosocial screening as part of the new patient intake process.³² When indicated, a full psychosocial assessment is recommended. The goal of this process is to identify, assess, support, and intervene to address common concerns associated with having cancer during the AYA years. While there are numerous scales to assess psychosocial outcomes in cancer, few have been specifically validated for AYAs with cancer.³³ Screening should include practical issues (housing, transport, finances), and for educational, family/social, emotional, physical, sexual, spiritual, and informational concerns.^{32,34,35}
- b) Based on results from the psychosocial screening and/or initial comprehensive assessment, healthcare professionals should: (*Level of Evidence: V, Strength of Recommendation: B*)
- Provide AYAs and their families with information about psychosocial supports and services.^{6,8}
 - Provide information about and encourage the use of about peer support to assist AYAs establishing and maintaining relationships with their peers, as well as other AYAs with cancer through mediums such as face-to-face meetings, camp and retreat programs, online support groups, and social networking opportunities.^{5,6,8,36}
 - Address any physical/medical issues that may impact psychosocial wellbeing, including alcohol and drug use during treatment, the impact of treatment on fertility, sexuality and sexual function, physical function, and appearance.^{6,8,16,37}

- AYAs experiencing coping, transition, mood or other mental health issues, should be referred early to a psychosocial clinician (social worker, psychologist, or spiritual care provider) and/or psychiatrist.⁶
 - Refer AYAs to a social worker and/or occupational therapist to ensure they have access to the full range of educational, vocational, and employment support services for which they are eligible.^{6,36,38}
 - Refer AYAs experiencing challenges with their spirituality/faith to faith-based resources or activities, including to spiritual care providers.^{6,8}
- c) Healthcare professionals should routinely reassess the psychosocial supports of their AYA patients as they are likely to change over the patient cancer experience.^{5,39-41} (*Level of Evidence: III, Strength of Recommendation: B*)
- d) Care teams must attend to the cultural diversity (language, religious values, and diverse racial identities) within the AYA population that is required for patients and providers to work together to establish clinical care goals that address mutual concerns.⁴² (*Level of Evidence: V, Strength of Recommendation: B*)

(6) Survivorship

- a) Risk stratification for late effects should be assessed for all AYA cancer survivors at the end of their treatment based on their cancer diagnosis and treatments received to help determine which member(s) of the healthcare team should be most responsible to follow-up with AYA patients.^{6,43} (*Level of Evidence: V, Strength of Recommendation: B*)
- b) Care of all AYA cancer survivors should include (*Level of Evidence: V, Strength of Recommendation: B, unless otherwise noted*):
- Evidence-based surveillance for cancer spread or recurrence, and screening for subsequent cancers.^{6,44-50} (*Level of Evidence: IV, Strength of Recommendation: B*)
 - Risk-based, exposure-related screening for late effects.^{24,51} (*Level of Evidence: I, Strength of Recommendation: A*)
 - Identifying emotional distress.^{39,41,52-54} (*Level of Evidence: III, Strength of Recommendation: B*)
 - Intervention for consequences of cancer and treatment (e.g., medical problems, symptoms, emotional distress, financial and social concerns).
 - Coordination of care between primary care providers and specialists to ensure that all the survivor's health needs are met.⁵⁵
 - Survivorship care planning.⁵⁶
 - A consistent primary care physician for ongoing primary healthcare, health maintenance, and treatment of intercurrent illness.^{6,53,57}

- Assessment of sexuality and fertility related to the cancer and treatment should be considered part of routine follow up care.^{6,58,59}
 - Offer of referral to an occupational therapy or a vocational specialist who can support reentering the workforce or returning to school.^{36,38,60}
- c) Develop and provide to AYA cancer survivors and key healthcare professionals an individualized survivorship plan that includes^{6,8} (*Level of Evidence: V, Strength of Recommendation: B*):
- Summary of treatment received.
 - Information regarding follow-up care, surveillance, and screening recommendations.
 - Information on post-treatment needs, including information regarding treatment-related effects and health risks when possible.
 - Delineation regarding roles of oncologists, primary care physicians in survivorship care and the timing of transfer if appropriate.
 - Healthy lifestyle recommendations (e.g., smoking cessation, physical activity).

(7) Palliative Care

Alberta Health Services (AHS) adopts the World Health Organization (WHO) definition of palliative care that is, “an approach that improves the quality of life of patients and their families facing the problem associated with life-threatening illness, through the prevention and relief of suffering by means of early identification and impeccable assessment and treatment of pain and other problems, physical, psychosocial and spiritual.”⁶¹

- a) Clinicians caring for AYAs should screen for those who may benefit from an early, integrated palliative approach to care. Early, systematic integration of palliative care into standard oncology practice is recommended.⁶²⁻⁶⁴ Opportunities for screening include: symptom burden and patient concern, transitions points in care or indicators of advanced disease trajectory, requests from patient or family/caregiver for palliative care services or information, and based on clinical judgement.⁶⁵ (*Level of Evidence: V, Strength of Recommendation: B*)
- b) All AYAs with advanced cancer should receive palliative care services, which may include a referral to a palliative care provider. Essential components of palliative care may include:⁶⁶ (*Level of Evidence: V, Strength of Recommendation: B*)
- Rapport and relationship building with patient and family caregiver(s).
 - Symptom, distress, and functional status management (e.g., pain, dyspnea, fatigue, sleep disturbance, mood, nausea, or constipation).
 - Exploration of understanding and education about illness and prognosis.
 - Clarification of treatment goals.
 - Assessment and support of coping needs.

- Assistance with medical decision making.
 - Coordination with other care providers.
 - Provision of referrals to other care providers as indicated.
- c) All AYAs should be given the opportunity to participate in Advance Care Planning as a part of routine care, started early in a longitudinal relationship with a healthcare provider and revisited when the health or wishes of the AYA change.⁶⁶⁻⁶⁸ (*Level of Evidence: IV, Strength of Recommendation: B*)
- d) Goals of Care Designations should be used to establish and communicate general care directions, locations of care and transfer of the current most responsible health practitioner.⁶⁶ (*Level of Evidence: IV, Strength of Recommendation: B*)
- e) Strategies to support an AYA must be individualized in the context of the family dynamic, including maturity of the patient and level of independence (desired and actual).^{6,69} (*Level of Evidence: IV, Strength of Recommendation: B*)

(8) End-of-Life Care

- a) Clinicians should be comfortable discussing death and other end-of-life issues with AYAs.⁶ (*Level of Evidence: IV, Strength of Recommendation: B*)
- b) Given that many AYAs with cancer die in hospitals,⁶ non-oncology clinicians should be knowledgeable about AYA end-of-life best practices. There should be clear communication between specialty centre clinicians initially caring for these AYA patients and the non-specialty centre clinicians that are providing end-of-life care.⁷⁰ (*Level of Evidence: IV, Strength of Recommendation: B*)
- c) The caregiver burden associated with the provision of AYA palliative care should be recognized, prioritized, and proactively addressed. A family-centered approach to care may help to engage with family members, supporting them in the important role they play in end-of-life care.⁷¹ (*Level of Evidence: IV, Strength of Recommendation: B*)
- d) The burden that care delivery imposes on healthcare professionals should not be underestimated.⁷² Resources should be in place to ensure adequate staff training in self-care as well as bereavement support and counselling. (*Level of Evidence: IV, Strength of Recommendation: B*)

References

1. Canadian Partnership Against Cancer. *Adolescents & Young Adults with Cancer: A System Performance Report*. 2017. Accessed July 7, 2020. <https://s22457.pcdn.co/wp-content/uploads/2019/01/Adolescents-and-young-adults-with-cancer-EN.pdf>
2. Ferrari A, Thomas D, Franklin AR, et al. Starting an adolescent and young adult program: some success stories and some obstacles to overcome. *J Clin Oncol*. Nov 10 2010;28(32):4850-7.
3. Kaal SEJ, Husson O, van Duivenboden S, et al. Empowerment in adolescents and young adults with cancer: Relationship with health-related quality of life. *Cancer*. Oct 15 2017;123(20):4039-4047.
4. Zebrack BJ. Psychological, social, and behavioral issues for young adults with cancer. *Cancer*. May 15 2011;117(10 Suppl):2289-94.
5. Canadian Partnership Against Cancer. *Canadian Framework for the Care and Support of Adolescents and Young Adults with Cancer*. Toronto, ON: Canadian Partnership Against Cancer; 2019.
6. National Comprehensive Care Network. Adolescent and Young Adult (AYA) Oncology. Version 1.2020. 2019.
7. McVeigh TP, Sundar R, Diamantis N, et al. The role of genomic profiling in adolescents and young adults (AYAs) with advanced cancer participating in phase I clinical trials. *Eur J Cancer*. May 2018;95:20-29.
8. Australia PMoACPWGCOSo. Psychosocial management of AYAs diagnosed with cancer: Guidance for health professionals. Summary of Good Practice Points. Accessed July 7, 2020. https://wiki.cancer.org.au/australiawiki/index.php?title=COSA:Psychosocial_management_of_AYA_cancer_patients/Summary_of_good_practice_points&printable=yes
9. Chisholm J, Hough R, Soanes L., editors. *A Practical Approach to the Care of Adolescents and Young Adults with Cancer*. Springer International Publishing; 2018.
10. Zebrack B, Bleyer A, Albritton K, Medearis S, Tang J. Assessing the health care needs of adolescent and young adult cancer patients and survivors. *Cancer*. Dec 15 2006;107(12):2915-23.
11. Collins CL, Malvar J, Hamilton AS, Deapen DM, Freyer DR. Case-linked analysis of clinical trial enrollment among adolescents and young adults at a National Cancer Institute-designated comprehensive cancer center. *Cancer*. Dec 15 2015;121(24):4398-406.
12. Sironi G, Barr RD, Ferrari A. Models of Care-There Is More Than One Way to Deliver. *Cancer J*. Nov/Dec 2018;24(6):315-320.
13. Kim B, White K, Patterson P. Understanding the experiences of adolescents and young adults with cancer: A meta-synthesis. *Eur J Oncol Nurs*. Oct 2016;24:39-53.
14. DeAngelo DJ, Stevenson KE, Dahlberg SE, et al. Long-term outcome of a pediatric-inspired regimen used for adults aged 18-50 years with newly diagnosed acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Leukemia*. Mar 2015;29(3):526-34.
15. Gerber NK, Wexler LH, Singer S, et al. Adult rhabdomyosarcoma survival improved with treatment on multimodality protocols. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. May 1 2013;86(1):58-63.
16. Reed D, Block RG, Johnson R. Creating an adolescent and young adult cancer program: lessons learned from pediatric and adult oncology practice bases. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw*. Oct 2014;12(10):1409-15.
17. Womer RB, West DC, Krailo MD, et al. Randomized controlled trial of interval-compressed chemotherapy for the treatment of localized Ewing sarcoma: a report from the Children's Oncology Group. *J Clin Oncol*. Nov 20 2012;30(33):4148-54.

18. Kirchhoff AC, Spraker-Perlman HL, McFadden M, et al. Sociodemographic Disparities in Quality of Life for Survivors of Adolescent and Young Adult Cancers in the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System. *J Adolesc Young Adult Oncol*. Jun 1 2014;3(2):66-74.
19. Richardson DP, Daly C, Sutradhar R, et al. Hospitalization Rates Among Survivors of Young Adult Malignancies. *J Clin Oncol*. Aug 20 2015;33(24):2655-9.
20. Rugbjerg K, Olsen JH. Long-term Risk of Hospitalization for Somatic Diseases in Survivors of Adolescent or Young Adult Cancer. *JAMA Oncol*. Feb 2016;2(2):193-200.
21. Tai E, Buchanan N, Townsend J, Fairley T, Moore A, Richardson LC. Health status of adolescent and young adult cancer survivors. *Cancer*. Oct 1 2012;118(19):4884-91.
22. Woodward E, Jessop M, Glaser A, Stark D. Late effects in survivors of teenage and young adult cancer: does age matter? *Ann Oncol*. Dec 2011;22(12):2561-2568.
23. Zhang Y, Lorenzi MF, Goddard K, Spinelli JJ, Gotay C, McBride ML. Late morbidity leading to hospitalization among 5-year survivors of young adult cancer: a report of the childhood, adolescent and young adult cancer survivors research program. *Int J Cancer*. Mar 1 2014;134(5):1174-82.
24. Children's Oncology Group. Long-Term Follow-Up Guidelines for Survivors of Childhood, Adolescent, and Young Adult Cancers. Version 5.0. 2018.
25. Butow P, Palmer S, Pai A, Goodenough B, Luckett T, King M. Review of adherence-related issues in adolescents and young adults with cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. Nov 10 2010;28(32):4800-9.
26. Zebrack B, Mathews-Bradshaw B, Siegel S. Quality cancer care for adolescents and young adults: a position statement. *J Clin Oncol*. Nov 10 2010;28(32):4862-7.
27. Association of Pediatric Hematology/Oncology Nurses, Canadian Association of Nurses in Oncology/Association canadienne des infirmières en oncologie, Oncology Nursing Society. Fertility Preservation in Individuals with Cancer: A Joint Position Statement from APHON, CANO/ACIO & ONS. Accessed November 22, 2024. https://cdn.ymaws.com/www.cano-acio.ca/resource/resmgr/position_statements/fertility_preservation_in_in.pdf
28. Dolmans MM, Lambertini M, Macklon KT, et al. European REcommendations for female FERtility preservation (EU-REFER): A joint collaboration between oncologists and fertility specialists. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol*. Jun 2019;138:233-240.
29. Oktay K, Harvey BE, Partridge AH, et al. Fertility Preservation in Patients With Cancer: ASCO Clinical Practice Guideline Update. *J Clin Oncol*. Jul 1 2018;36(19):1994-2001.
30. Johnson RH, Kroon L. Optimizing Fertility Preservation Practices for Adolescent and Young Adult Cancer Patients. *Journal of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network J Natl Compr Canc Netw*. 01 Jan. 2013 2013;11(1):71-77.
31. Fertility preservation in patients undergoing gonadotoxic therapy or gonadectomy: a committee opinion. *Fertil Steril*. Dec 2019;112(6):1022-1033.
32. CanTeen. Adolescent and Young Adult Oncology Psychosocial Care Manual. 2011.
33. Wakefield C, Patterson P, McDonald F, Wilson H, Davis E, Sansom-Daly UM. Assessment of Psychosocial Outcomes in Adolescents and Young Adults with Cancer: A Systematic Review of Available Instruments. *Clinical Oncology in Adolescents and Young Adults*. 2013;3:13-27.
34. Rae C, Klassen AF, Tsangaris E, Breakey V, D'Agostino N. Distress Screening in Adolescents and Young Adults with Cancer: Development of Cut-Points for the Cancer Distress Scales-Adolescent and Young Adults. *J Adolesc Young Adult Oncol*. Oct 2019;8(5):560-565.
35. Palmer S, Patterson P, Thompson K. A national approach to improving adolescent and young adult (AYA) oncology psychosocial care: the development of AYA-specific psychosocial assessment and care tools. *Palliat Support Care*. Jun 2014;12(3):183-8.

36. Warner EL, Kent EE, Trevino KM, Parsons HM, Zebrack BJ, Kirchhoff AC. Social well-being among adolescents and young adults with cancer: A systematic review. *Cancer*. Apr 1 2016;122(7):1029-37.
37. Stanton AM, Handy AB, Meston CM. Sexual function in adolescents and young adults diagnosed with cancer: A systematic review. *J Cancer Surviv*. Feb 2018;12(1):47-63.
38. Stone DS, Ganz PA, Pavlish C, Robbins WA. Young adult cancer survivors and work: a systematic review. *J Cancer Surviv*. Dec 2017;11(6):765-781.
39. Husson O, Zebrack BJ, Aguilar C, Hayes-Lattin B, Cole S. Cancer in adolescents and young adults: Who remains at risk of poor social functioning over time? *Cancer*. Jul 15 2017;123(14):2743-2751.
40. Husson O, Zebrack BJ, Block R, et al. Health-Related Quality of Life in Adolescent and Young Adult Patients With Cancer: A Longitudinal Study. *J Clin Oncol*. Feb 20 2017;35(6):652-659.
41. Kwak M, Zebrack BJ, Meeske KA, et al. Trajectories of psychological distress in adolescent and young adult patients with cancer: a 1-year longitudinal study. *J Clin Oncol*. Jun 10 2013;31(17):2160-6.
42. Levin NJ, Zebrack B, Cole SW. Psychosocial issues for adolescent and young adult cancer patients in a global context: A forward-looking approach. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. Aug 2019;66(8):e27789.
43. Fidler MM, Frobisher C, Hawkins MM, Nathan PC. Challenges and opportunities in the care of survivors of adolescent and young adult cancers. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. Jun 2019;66(6):e27668.
44. Bright CJ, Reulen RC, Winter DL, et al. Risk of subsequent primary neoplasms in survivors of adolescent and young adult cancer (Teenage and Young Adult Cancer Survivor Study): a population-based, cohort study. *Lancet Oncol*. Apr 2019;20(4):531-545.
45. Chao C, Bhatia S, Xu L, et al. Incidence, Risk Factors, and Mortality Associated With Second Malignant Neoplasms Among Survivors of Adolescent and Young Adult Cancer. *JAMA Netw Open*. Jun 5 2019;2(6):e195536.
46. Bhuller KS, Zhang Y, Li D, et al. Late mortality, secondary malignancy and hospitalisation in teenage and young adult survivors of Hodgkin lymphoma: report of the Childhood/Adolescent/Young Adult Cancer Survivors Research Program and the BC Cancer Agency Centre for Lymphoid Cancer. *Br J Haematol*. Mar 2016;172(5):757-68.
47. Lee JS, DuBois SG, Coccia PF, Bleyer A, Olin RL, Goldsby RE. Increased risk of second malignant neoplasms in adolescents and young adults with cancer. *Cancer*. Jan 1 2016;122(1):116-23.
48. Schaapveld M, Aleman BM, van Eggermond AM, et al. Second Cancer Risk Up to 40 Years after Treatment for Hodgkin's Lymphoma. *N Engl J Med*. Dec 24 2015;373(26):2499-511.
49. Zhang Y, Goddard K, Spinelli JJ, Gotay C, McBride ML. Risk of Late Mortality and Second Malignant Neoplasms among 5-Year Survivors of Young Adult Cancer: A Report of the Childhood, Adolescent, and Young Adult Cancer Survivors Research Program. *J Cancer Epidemiol*. 2012;2012:103032.
50. Swerdlow AJ, Cooke R, Bates A, et al. Breast cancer risk after supradiaphragmatic radiotherapy for Hodgkin's lymphoma in England and Wales: a National Cohort Study. *J Clin Oncol*. Aug 1 2012;30(22):2745-52.
51. Tuzovic M, Wu PT, Kianmahd S, Nguyen KL. Natural history of myocardial deformation in children, adolescents, and young adults exposed to anthracyclines: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Echocardiography*. Jul 2018;35(7):922-934.

52. Zebrack BJ, Corbett V, Embry L, et al. Psychological distress and unsatisfied need for psychosocial support in adolescent and young adult cancer patients during the first year following diagnosis. *Psychooncology*. Nov 2014;23(11):1267-75.
53. Osborn M, Johnson R, Thompson K, et al. Models of care for adolescent and young adult cancer programs. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. Dec 2019;66(12):e27991.
54. Galán S, de la Vega R, Miró J. Needs of adolescents and young adults after cancer treatment: a systematic review. *Eur J Cancer Care (Engl)*. Nov 2018;27(6):e12558.
55. Ke Y, Ng T, Chan A. Survivorship care models for breast cancer, colorectal cancer, and adolescent and young adult (AYA) cancer survivors: a systematic review. *Support Care Cancer*. Jul 2018;26(7):2125-2141.
56. Shay LA, Parsons HM, Vernon SW. Survivorship Care Planning and Unmet Information and Service Needs Among Adolescent and Young Adult Cancer Survivors. *J Adolesc Young Adult Oncol*. Jun 2017;6(2):327-332.
57. Nathan PC, Hayes-Lattin B, Sisler JJ, Hudson MM. Critical issues in transition and survivorship for adolescents and young adults with cancers. *Cancer*. May 15 2011;117(10 Suppl):2335-41.
58. Mütsch J, Friedrich M, Leuteritz K, et al. Sexuality and cancer in adolescents and young adults - a comparison between reproductive cancer patients and patients with non-reproductive cancer. *BMC Cancer*. Aug 22 2019;19(1):828.
59. Shliakhtsitsava K, Romero SAD, Dewald SR, Su HI. Pregnancy and child health outcomes in pediatric and young adult leukemia and lymphoma survivors: a systematic review. *Leuk Lymphoma*. Feb 2018;59(2):381-397.
60. Parsons HM, Harlan LC, Lynch CF, et al. Impact of cancer on work and education among adolescent and young adult cancer survivors. *J Clin Oncol*. Jul 1 2012;30(19):2393-400.
61. World Health Organization. WHO Definition of Palliative Care. Accessed July 7, 2020. <https://www.who.int/cancer/palliative/definition/en/>
62. Wiener L, Weaver MS, Bell CJ, Sansom-Daly UM. Threading the cloak: palliative care education for care providers of adolescents and young adults with cancer. *Clin Oncol Adolesc Young Adults*. Jan 9 2015;5:1-18.
63. Donovan KA, Knight D, Quinn GP. Palliative Care in Adolescents and Young Adults With Cancer. *Cancer Control*. Oct 2015;22(4):475-9.
64. Rosenberg AR, Wolfe J. Palliative care for adolescents and young adults with cancer. *Clin Oncol Adolesc Young Adults*. 2013;2013(3):41-48.
65. Alberta Health Services. Integrating an Early Palliative Approach into Advanced Colorectal Cancer Care. 2020.
66. Alberta Health Services. Advance Care Planning and Goals of Care Designation. Policy. HCS-38. 2016.
67. Fletcher S, Hughes R, Pickstock S, Auret K. Advance Care Planning Discussions with Adolescent and Young Adult Cancer Patients Admitted to a Community Palliative Care Service: A Retrospective Case-Note Audit. *J Adolesc Young Adult Oncol*. Feb 2018;7(1):112-119.
68. Wiener L, Zadeh S, Wexler LH, Pao M. When silence is not golden: Engaging adolescents and young adults in discussions around end-of-life care choices. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. May 2013;60(5):715-8.
69. Pritchard S, Cuvelier G, Harlos M, Barr R. Palliative care in adolescents and young adults with cancer. *Cancer*. May 15 2011;117(10 Suppl):2323-8.

70. Johnston EE, Alvarez E, Saynina O, Sanders LM, Bhatia S, Chamberlain LJ. Inpatient utilization and disparities: The last year of life of adolescent and young adult oncology patients in California. *Cancer*. Apr 15 2018;124(8):1819-1827.
71. Ngwenya N, Kenten C, Jones L, et al. Experiences and Preferences for End-of-Life Care for Young Adults with Cancer and Their Informal Carers: A Narrative Synthesis. *J Adolesc Young Adult Oncol*. Jun 2017;6(2):200-212.
72. Kenten C, Ngwenya N, Gibson F, et al. Understanding care when cure is not likely for young adults who face cancer: a realist analysis of data from patients, families and healthcare professionals. *BMJ Open*. Jan 28 2019;9(1):e024397.

Appendix A. Literature Search Strategies and Results

| Database | Date | Search Strategy | Limits | Results |
|----------|--------------|--|--|--------------|
| Pubmed | Oct 22, 2019 | Comprehensive Assessment (((((neoplasms[MeSH Major Topic]) OR cancer*[Title/Abstract] OR oncolog*[Title/Abstract])) AND (((needs assessment[MeSH Major Topic] OR "comprehensive assessment"[Title/Abstract]) OR "needs assessment"[Title/Abstract] OR assessment*[Title/Abstract])) AND (((AYA[Title/Abstract] OR ("adolescent[Title/Abstract] AND young adult"[Title/Abstract])) OR "emerging adult"[Title/Abstract] OR adolescent[MeSH Major Topic]) OR young adult[MeSH Major Topic])) | Clinical Trial, Phase III; Clinical Trial, Phase IV; Comparative Study; Controlled Clinical Trial; Guideline; Meta-Analysis; Multicenter Study; Observational Study; Practice Guideline; Randomized Controlled Trial, Systematic Reviews; published in the last 10 years; Humans; English Further excluded: single institution, retrospective, avg. age ≤18 | 10 |
| Pubmed | Oct 23, 2019 | Treatment-Related Issues 1. (((((((treatment*[Title/Abstract] OR therap*[Title/Abstract] OR issue*[Title/Abstract] OR "special consideration"[Title/Abstract] OR "toxicit*" [Title/Abstract] OR "dos*" [Title/Abstract])) AND ((neoplasms[MeSH Major Topic] OR cancer*[Title/Abstract])) AND (((("adolescent[Title/Abstract] AND young adult"[Title/Abstract])) OR AYA*[Title/Abstract] OR adolescent[MeSH Major Topic] OR young adult[MeSH Major Topic] OR "emerging adult"[Title/Abstract])) 2. (((((((adolescent[MeSH Major Topic] OR young adult[MeSH Major Topic] OR "emerging adult"[Title/Abstract] OR ("adolescent[Title/Abstract] AND young adult"[Title/Abstract])) OR AYA[Title/Abstract])) AND (((medication adherence[MeSH Major Topic] OR patience compliance[MeSH Major Topic] OR adherence[Title/Abstract])) AND ((neoplasms[MeSH Major Topic] OR cancer*[Title/Abstract])) | 1. Clinical Trial, Phase III; Clinical Trial, Phase IV; Comparative Study; Controlled Clinical Trial; Guideline; Meta-Analysis; Multicenter Study; Observational Study; Practice Guideline; Randomized Controlled Trial, Systematic Reviews; published in the last 10 years; Humans; English Further excluded: single institution, retrospective, avg. age ≤18 2. Last 10 yrs., English, human | 69 20 |
| PubMed | Sep 25, 2019 | Fertility (((((((((((fertility preservation[MeSH Terms] OR fertility[MeSH Terms] OR infertility[MeSH Terms] OR sexual behavior[MeSH Terms] OR reproductive technique, assisted[MeSH Terms] OR Gonadotropin-Releasing | Filters applied: Clinical Trial, Phase III, Clinical Trial, Phase IV, Controlled Clinical Trial, Guideline, Meta-Analysis, Multicenter Study, Observational Study, Practice Guideline, Randomized Controlled Trial, Systematic Review, in the last | 327 |

| Database | Date | Search Strategy | Limits | Results |
|----------|--------------|---|---|---------|
| | | Hormone/agonists[MeSH Terms]) OR primary ovarian insufficiency[MeSH Terms]) OR "fertility preservation"[Title/Abstract]) OR fertility[Title/Abstract]) OR infertility[Title/Abstract]) OR "sexual behaviour"[Title/Abstract]) OR "gonadotropin-releasing hormone"[Title/Abstract]) OR reproduction[Title/Abstract])) AND ((neoplasms[MeSH Terms]) OR cancer*[Title/Abstract])) AND (((((adolescent[MeSH Terms]) OR young adult[MeSH Terms]) OR adolescent[Title/Abstract]) OR "young adult"[Title/Abstract]) OR "emerging adult"[Title/Abstract]) | 10 years, Humans, English, Young Adult: 19-24 yrs., Adult: 19-44 yrs. From these limitations excluded study protocols, guidelines older than 5 yrs., average age <18 yrs. and >39 yrs., retrospective, single institution, studies re survivors of childhood cancer, studies re outcomes of fertility-sparing surgery | |
| PubMed | Dec 16, 2019 | Psychosocial Behavioural Considerations ((((((((work[Title/Abstract]) OR school[Title/Abstract]) OR behavior*[Title/Abstract]) OR behaviour*[Title/Abstract]) OR psycholog*[Title/Abstract]) OR relationship*[Title/Abstract]) OR emotion*[Title/Abstract]) OR social*[Title/Abstract]) OR identi*[Title/Abstract]) OR "social support"[Title/Abstract])) AND ((cancer[Title/Abstract]) OR oncology[MeSH Terms])) AND (((adolescent*[Title/Abstract]) OR "young adult"[Title/Abstract]) OR "emerging adult"[Title/Abstract]) | Clinical Trial, Phase III; Guideline; Randomized Controlled Trial; Systematic Reviews; Multicenter Study; Meta-Analysis; Controlled Clinical Trial; Comparative Study; published in the last 10 years; Humans; English; Adult: 19-44 yrs. Further excluded trials if mean age ≤18 yrs., studies re survivors of childhood cancer. Notes: Some Rosenberg studies with "adolescent and young adults" in the title were identified, but excluded b/c they seemed to fall more in the pediatric territory (age range 12-25) (e.g., PRISM RCT, "Resilience in Adolescents and Young Adults with Cancer") | 225 |
| PubMed | Jan 22, 2020 | Survivorship ((((((survivor*[Title/Abstract]) OR survivorship[Title/Abstract]) OR cancer survivor[MeSH Terms]) OR aftercare[MeSH Terms])) AND (((((adolescent[MeSH Terms]) OR young adult[MeSH Terms]) OR AYA[Title/Abstract]) OR "emerging adult"[Title/Abstract]) OR ("adolescent[Title/Abstract] AND young adult"[Title/Abstract])) AND ((neoplasms[MeSH Terms]) OR cancer*[Title/Abstract]) | Clinical Trial, Phase III; Clinical Trial, Phase IV; Controlled Clinical Trial; Guideline; Meta-Analysis; Practice Guideline; Randomized Controlled Trial; Systematic Reviews; Observational Study; Multicenter Study; published in the last 10 years; Humans Further exclude articles re. childhood cancer survivors, study populations w diagnosis at mean age <18 yrs. | 686 |
| | Jul 23, 2020 | (((neoplasms[MeSH Terms]) OR cancer*[Title/Abstract])) AND | Meta-Analysis, Randomized Controlled Trial, in the last 10 | 629 |

| Database | Date | Search Strategy | Limits | Results |
|----------|--------------|---|--|---------|
| | | ((((adolescent[MeSH Terms]) OR (young adult[MeSH Terms])) OR (AYA*[Title/Abstract])) OR ("emerging adult*[Title/Abstract]) OR (adolescent*[Title/Abstract])) OR ("young adult*[Title/Abstract])) AND (((((((survivor*[Title/Abstract]) OR (survivorship[Title/Abstract])) OR (aftercare[MeSH Terms])) OR ("late effect*[Title/Abstract])) OR ("secondary malignant neoplasm*[Title/Abstract])) OR ("secondary malignan*[Title/Abstract])) OR ("long-term"[Title/Abstract])) | yrs., Humans, English, Adult: 19+ years, Adult: 19-44 yrs., Young Adult: 19-24 yrs. | |
| PubMed | Feb 21, 2020 | Palliative/End-of-Life (((((((adolescent[MeSH Terms]) OR young adult[MeSH Terms]) OR AYA[Title/Abstract]) OR "emerging adult*[Title/Abstract]) OR ("adolescent[Title/Abstract] AND young adult"[Title/Abstract])) AND (((neoplasm[MeSH Terms]) OR cancer*[Title/Abstract]) OR oncology[Title/Abstract])) AND (((((((palliative care[MeSH Terms]) OR palliative medicine[MeSH Terms]) OR terminal care[MeSH Terms]) OR "terminal care"[Title/Abstract]) OR "palliative care"[Title/Abstract]) OR "end of life"[Title/Abstract]) OR "end-of-life"[Title/Abstract]) OR "advanced care plan*[Title/Abstract]) | Clinical trials, controlled clinical trials, guideline, meta-analysis, multicenter study, practice guideline, randomized controlled trial, systematic review, last 10 yrs., human., English language | 171 |

Appendix B. Fertility Risk Classification

Providing patients with an estimate of fertility risk associated with different cancer treatments, while desirable, is challenging. Besides treatment related factors, which include modality, delivery, intensity and combinations of treatments, clinicians must also consider patient related factors such as age, baseline fertility, body mass index, smoking status, hereditary conditions, type of cancer, and previous treatments when estimating fertility risk.

In this appendix, common examples of effect of radiotherapy and systemic therapy on fertility in patients of reproductive age are provided. This is by no means a comprehensive list and should not be confused with treatments that are teratogenic.

Healthcare professionals referring to this appendix should exercise independent clinical judgment in the context of case-specific circumstances to frame discussions about fertility risk related to cancer treatment, including whether there is potential for recovery of fertility over time.

Radiation Therapy

Temporary sterilization can occur in females of reproductive age at single-fraction doses to the ovary of 1.7-6.4 Gy with permanent sterilization occurring after 3.2-10 Gy. The effect of fractionated RT on ovarian function is shown in Table 1. Ovarian damage is also associated with whole abdomen dosages of 20-30 Gy (primary or premature secondary ovarian failure), as is direct or scattered irradiation from the spinal part of craniospinal irradiation.

Table 1. Effect of fractionated irradiation on ovarian function^{i ii}

| Minimum Ovarian Dosage (Gy) | Effect |
|-----------------------------|---|
| 0.6 | No deleterious effect |
| 1.5 | No deleterious effect in most young women Age >40 yrs. some risk of sterilization |
| 2.5-5.0 | Variable Age 15-40 yrs. about 60% permanent sterilization Age >40 yrs. 100% permanent sterilization |
| 5-8 | Variable Age 15-40 yrs. about 70% permanent sterilization Age 15-40 yrs. about 30% temporary amenorrhea |
| >8 | 100% permanent sterilization |

In males, multiple small fractions of radiation therapy are more toxic to spermatogenesis than a large, single fraction. Table 2 summarize the fractionated dose-related effect of spermatogenesis and Leydig cell function. In addition to testicular irradiation, the testes may be affected (transient elevation in FSH and oligospermia) by scatter from abdominal RT (>20 Gy).

Table 2. Effect of fractionated testicular irradiation on spermatogenesis and Leydig cell function^{i ii}

| Testicular Dosage (Gy) | Effect on spermatogenesis and Leydig cell function |
|------------------------|---|
| <0.1 | No effect on spermatogenesis. No effect on Leydig cell function. |
| 0.1-0.3 | Temporary oligospermia with complete recovery by 12 mos. No effect on Leydig cell function. |
| 0.3-0.5 | Temporary azoospermia at 4-12 mos. after radiation with 100% recovery by 48 mos. Variable effect on Leydig cell function. |
| 0.5-1.0 | 100% temporary azoospermia for 3-17 mos. after radiation with recovery beginning at 8-26 mos. Transient rise in FSH with eventual normalization. |
| 1-2 | 100% azoospermia from 2 to ≥9 mos. with recovery beginning at 11-20 mos., and return of sperm counts at 30 mos. Transient rise in FSH and LH |
| 2-3 | 100% azoospermia from 1-2 mos. Some patients suffer permanent azoospermia, while other patients may begin recovery at 12-14 mos. Prolonged rise in FSH with some recovery and slight increase in LH. |
| 3-4 | Reduced testicular volume. No change in testosterone. Permanent elevation in FSH and transient rise in LH. Reduced testosterone response to HCG stimulation. |
| 12 | Reduced testicular volume. Permanent azoospermia. Elevated FSH and LH. Low testosterone. Decreased or absent testosterone response to HCG stimulation. Testosterone replacement may be needed to ensure pubertal changes. |
| >24 | Reduced testicular volume. Permanent azoospermia. Effects more severe and profound than at 12 Gy. Prepubertal testes appear more sensitive to the effects of radiation. Replacement hormone treatment probably needed in all prepubertal cases. |

FSH, follicle-stimulating hormone; LH, luteinizing hormone; HCG, human chorionic gonadotropin.

Systemic Therapy

The tables below list patients as being at high risk (>70%), intermediate risk (30-70%), or low risk (<30%) of infertility based on different types of systemic therapy.ⁱⁱⁱ Very low risk can be considered <10%. This classification, while not precise, acts a critical starting point to promote uniform discussions with patients and families.^{iv}

Table 3. Known risk of effects of systemic cancer therapies on **male** fertility (adapted with permission from the Oncofertility Consortium, date unknown)^v

| Risk Level | Treatment |
|-------------------|--|
| High risk | ChIVPP, BEACOPP |
| | High dose alkylating chemotherapy for transplant conditioning |
| | Any alkylating agent (e.g., procarbazine, nitrogen mustard, cyclophosphamide) + TBI, pelvic RT, or testicular RT |
| | Total cyclophosphamide > 5 g/m ² |
| Intermediate risk | BEP x 2-4 cycles |
| | Cumulative cisplatin, dose >400 mg/m ² |
| | Cumulative carboplatin, dose >2 mg/m ² |

| Risk Level | Treatment |
|--------------------|---|
| | Hormone treatments (prostate cancer) |
| | Oxaliplatin ^{vi} |
| | CHOP/CVP |
| Lower risk | Nonalkylating agents: ABVD, multiagent therapies for leukemia |
| | Anthracycline + cytarabine (acute leukemia) |
| | Bevacizumab |
| Very low / No risk | Vincristine |
| | Radioactive iodine |
| Unknown risk | Immunotherapies |
| | Tyrosine kinase inhibitors (e.g., erlotinib, imatinib) |

ABVD, doxorubicin/bleomycin/vinblastine/dacarbazine; BEACOPP, bleomycin/etoposide/doxorubicin/cyclophosphamide/vincristine/procarbazine/prednisone; BEP, bleomycin/etoposide/cisplatin; ChIVPP, chlorambucil/vinblastine/procarbazine/prednisolone; CHOP, cyclophosphamide/hydroxydaunomycin/vincristine/prednisone; CVP, cyclophosphamide/vincristine/prednisone; RT, radiotherapy; TBI, total body irradiation

Table 4. Known risk of effects of systemic cancer therapies on **female** fertility (adapted with permission from the Oncofertility Consortium, date unknown)^{vii}

| Risk Level | Treatment Type/Agent/Regimen |
|-------------------|---|
| High risk | CMF, CEF, or CAF x 6 cycles in women >40 yrs. |
| | Total cyclophosphamide <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • $\geq 5 \text{ g/m}^2$ in women >40 yrs. • $>7.5 \text{ g/m}^2$ <20 yrs. |
| | Alkylating chemotherapy (e.g., cyclophosphamide, busulfan, melaphan) conditioning for transplant |
| | Any alkylating agent (e.g., cyclophosphamide, ifosfamide, busulfan, carmustine, lomustine) + TBI or pelvic RT |
| | ChIVPP, BEACOPP |
| Intermediate risk | CMF, CEF, or CAF x 6 cycles in women 30-40 yrs. |
| | Bevacizumab |
| | CHOP in women ≥ 35 yrs. ^v |
| | Total cyclophosphamide <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 5 g/m^2 in women 30-40 yrs. |
| Lower risk | CMF, CEF or CAF x 6 cycles in women <30 yrs. |
| | CHOP in women < 35 yrs. ^v |
| | Nonalkylating chemotherapy: ABVD |
| | Anthracycline + cytarabine |
| | Oxaliplatin ^{viii} |
| | BEP ^v |
| | Radioactive iodine ^v |
| Very low/ no risk | MF |
| | Methotrexate, dactinomycin ^{ix} |
| | Vincristine |

| Risk Level | Treatment Type/Agent/Regimen |
|--------------|--|
| Unknown risk | Immunotherapies |
| | Tyrosine kinase inhibitors (e.g., erlotinib, imatinib) |

ABVD, doxorubicin/bleomycin/vinblastine/dacarbazine; BEACOPP, bleomycin/etoposide/doxorubicin/cyclophosphamide/vincristine/procarbazine/prednisone; BEP, bleomycin/etoposide/cisplatin; CAF, cyclophosphamide/doxorubicin/fluorouracil; CEF, cyclophosphamide/epirubicin/fluorouracil; ChIVPP, chlorambucil/vinblastine/procarbazine/prednisolone; CHOP, cyclophosphamide/hydroxydaunomycin/vincristine/prednisone; CMF, cyclophosphamide/methotrexate/fluorouracil; MF, methotrexate/5-fluorouracil; RT, radiotherapy; TBI, total body irradiation

ⁱ Dhakal S, Bates JE, Friedman DL, and Constine LS. Late Effects of Cancer Treatment. In: Constine LS, Tarbell NJ, Halperin EC, et al. *Pediatric Radiation Oncology*. 6th Ed. Wolters Kluwer; 2016.

ⁱⁱ Ash P. The influence of radiation on fertility in man. *Br J Radiol*. 1980;53(628):271-278.

ⁱⁱⁱ Chung EH, Acharya CR, Harris BS, and Acharya KS. Development of a Fertility Risk Calculator to Predict Individualized Chance of Ovarian Failure after Chemotherapy. *J Assist Reprod Genet*. 2021; 38(11): 3407-3055.

^{iv} Meacham LR, Burns K, Orwig KE, and Levine J. Standardizing Risk Assessment for Treatment-Related Gonadal Insufficiency and Infertility in Childhood Adolescent and Young Adult Cancer: The Pediatric Initiative Network Risk Stratification System. *J Adolesc Young Adult Oncol*. 2020;9(6): 662-666.

^v The Oncofertility Consortium. SaveMyFertility. Provider Pocket Guide: Fertility Preservation for Men Diagnosed with Cancer. Publish date unknown. Accessed April 10, 2023. <https://www.savemyfertility.org/pocket-guides/providers/fertility-preservation-men-diagnosed-cancer>

^{vi} Lambertini M, Peccatori FA, Demeestere I, et al. Fertility Preservation and Post-Treatment Pregnancies in Post-Pubertal Cancer Patients: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Ann Oncol*. 2020;31(12): 1664-1678.

^{vii} The Oncofertility Consortium. SaveMyFertility. Provider Pocket Guide: Fertility Preservation for Women Diagnosed with Cancer. Publish date unknown. Accessed April 10, 2023. <https://www.savemyfertility.org/pocket-guides/providers/fertility-preservation-women-diagnosed-cancer>

^{viii} Levi M, Shalgi R, Brenner B, et al. The Impact of Oxaliplatin on the Gonads: From Bedside to the Bench. *Mol Hum Reprod*. 2015;21(12):885-93.

^{ix} Jonebord U, Coopmans L, van Trommel N, Seckl M, and Lok CAR. Fertility and Pregnancy Outcome in Gestational Trophoblastic Disease. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. 2021;31(3):399-411.

Appendix C. Fertility Preservation Options

| Fertility Preservation Options I, II, III, IV | Ovarian Suppression | Embryo Cryopreservation | Egg Cryopreservation | Ovarian Tissue Cryopreservation & Transplantation | Sperm Cryopreservation |
|--|--|---|--|---|---|
| Offered By | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> PCRM ARC RFP | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> PCRM ARC RFP | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> PCRM ARC RFP | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> RFP | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> PCRM ARC – no surgical retrieval RFP |
| Definition | Injection of GnRH agonist medication every 1-3 months until completion of treatment | Hormonal stimulation, harvesting of eggs, IVF and cryopreservation of embryos | Hormonal stimulation, harvesting and cryopreserving of eggs for future fertilization/transfer | Removal and cryopreservation of ovarian tissue with re-implantation after treatment | Sperm sample analysis to confirm viability; sample cryopreserved, and storage |
| Timing | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Prior to first treatment If duration is >6 months, consideration given to risks (e.g., osteopenia) | Prior to starting treatment | | | |
| Considerations for Starting Treatment | Takes approximately 7-10 days until suppression reached – will not delay treatment start | Approximately 2 weeks, not cycle dependent | | Requires OR time – delay may depend on OR availability | May be able to complete same-day or next-day for urgent cases |
| Potential Benefits | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Possible option if proven methods (egg/embryo cryopreservation) are not feasible May be able to start treatment immediately | Best option for patients with high likelihood of ovarian failure | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does not require a partner, sperm provider, or sperm donor Best option for patients with high likelihood of ovarian failure | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Only method for prepubertal patients May restore global ovarian function Option if cannot delay for egg/embryo cryopreservation | |
| Important Considerations | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Conflicting evidence to support Should not be relied on as sole means of fertility preservation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Requires sperm provider or donor Important to inform patients this does not guarantee future pregnancy | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Important to inform patients this does not guarantee future pregnancy | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Important to inform patients this does not guarantee future pregnancy in the future |
| Cost Estimates (Can vary by clinic, costs stated here are before any funding supports) | Approx. \$400 per month | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Between \$4500-\$13000 for the procedure Medications may cost between \$3000-\$8000 Annual storage fee of \$400-720 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Between \$4500-\$11000 for procedure Medications may cost between \$3000-\$8000 Annual storage fee of \$400-720 | Approx. \$2300 plus annual storage fee of \$720 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Approx. \$300-\$500 for collection/processing for samples provided in the lab Approx. \$2300 for surgical retrieval of a sample Annual storage fee of \$400-720 |
| Funding Options | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Initial consultations with a fertility specialist are covered by provincial health insurance for Alberta and Northwest Territories patients Fertility preservation and treatment procedures/medications are not covered by provincial health insurance Patients may have existing benefits through their employer or private health plans that offer funding assistance for fertility preservation/treatment Some medications may be covered through compassionate drug access programs – this is arranged by the fertility specialist/clinic Some expenses related to fertility preservation or treatments may be submitted for a non-refundable federal tax credit Financial assistance to pursue fertility preservation treatments may be available to patients – referral to a Cancer Care Alberta Social Worker is recommended to explore funding opportunities through the ACF, medical loans, and other programs available for Alberta patients (e.g., OneBall for testicular patients in Southern Alberta, Generations of Hope, Fertile Future) | | | | |

ACR, Alberta Reproductive Centre, Edmonton; ACF, Alberta Cancer Foundation; IVF, in-vitro fertilization; OR, operating room; PCRM, Pacific Centre for Reproductive Medicine, Edmonton; RFP, Regional Fertility Program, Calgary.

^I Clinical Oncology Society of Australia (COSA) Fertility Preservation Guidelines Working Group. COSA Guidelines for Fertility Preservation for People with Cancer. May 2022. Accessed November 25, 2024. <https://www.cancer.org.au/clinical-guidelines/cancer-fertility-preservation/options-for-treatment>

^{II} Oktay K, Harvey BE, Partridge AH, et al. Fertility Preservation in Patients with Cancer: ASCO Clinical Practice Guideline Update. *J Clin Oncol*. Jul 1, 2018;36(19):1994-2001.

^{III} Glazer, T.S. & Schulte, F. (2022). Barriers to Oncofertility Care among Female Adolescent Cancer Patients in Canada. *Curr Onc*. Mar 3; 29(3), 1583-1593.

^{IV} Practice Committee of the American Society for Reproductive Medicine. (2019). Fertility Preservation in Patients Undergoing Gonadotoxic Therapy or Gonadectomy: A Committee Opinion. *Fertil and Steril*. Dec;112(6): 1022-1033.

Development and Revision History

This guideline was reviewed and endorsed by the Alberta Provincial Tumour Teams. Members include surgical oncologists, radiation oncologists, medical oncologists, dermatologists, nurses, pathologists, and pharmacists. Evidence was selected and reviewed by a working group including members of the Alberta Provincial Tumour Teams, a Knowledge Management Specialist from the Guideline Resource Unit, and a Senior Change Management Consultant from Applied Research & Patient Experience. A detailed description of the methodology followed during the guideline development process can be found in the [Guideline Resource Unit Handbook](#).

This guideline was originally developed in 2020 with updates in July 2023 and November 2024.

Levels of Evidence

| | |
|------------|--|
| I | Evidence from at least one large randomized, controlled trial of good methodological quality (low potential for bias) or meta-analyses of well-conducted randomized trials without heterogeneity |
| II | Small randomized trials or large randomized trials with a suspicion of bias (lower methodological quality) or meta-analyses of such trials or of trials with demonstrated heterogeneity |
| III | Prospective cohort studies |
| IV | Retrospective cohort studies or case-control studies |
| V | Studies without control group, case reports, expert opinion |

Strength of Recommendations

| | |
|----------|---|
| A | Strong evidence for efficacy with a substantial clinical benefit; strongly recommended |
| B | Strong or moderate evidence for efficacy but with a limited clinical benefit; generally recommended |
| C | Insufficient evidence for efficacy or benefit does not outweigh the risk or the disadvantages (adverse events, costs, etc.); optional |
| D | Moderate evidence against efficacy or for adverse outcome; generally, not recommended |
| E | Strong evidence against efficacy or for adverse outcome; never recommended |

Maintenance

A formal review of the guideline will be conducted in 2026. If critical new evidence is brought forward before that time, however, the guideline working group members will revise and update the document accordingly.

Abbreviations

AHS, Alberta Health Services; AYA, adolescent and young adult; COG, The Children's Oncology Group; CPAC, Canadian Partnership Against Cancer; NCCN, National Comprehensive Cancer Network; WHO, World Health Organization

Disclaimer

The recommendations contained in this guideline are a consensus of the Alberta Provincial Tumour Teams and are a synthesis of currently accepted approaches to management, derived from a review of relevant scientific literature. Clinicians applying these guidelines should, in consultation with the patient, use independent medical judgment in the context of individual clinical circumstances to direct care.

Copyright © (2024) Alberta Health Services

This copyright work is licensed under the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivative 4.0 International license](#). You are free to copy and distribute the work including in other media and formats for non-commercial purposes, if you attribute the work to AHS, do not adapt the work, and abide by the other license terms. To view a copy of this license, see <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>. The license does not apply to AHS trademarks, logos or content for which AHS is not the copyright owner.

Funding Source

Financial support for the development of Cancer Care Alberta's evidence-based clinical practice guidelines and supporting materials comes from the Cancer Care Alberta operating budget; no outside commercial funding was received to support the development of this document.

All cancer drugs described in the guidelines are funded in accordance with the Outpatient Cancer Drug Benefit Program, at no charge, to eligible residents of Alberta, unless otherwise explicitly stated. For a complete list of funded drugs, specific indications, and approved prescribers, please refer to the [Outpatient Cancer Drug Benefit Program Master List](#).

Conflict of Interest Statements

Dr. Sarah McKillop, Pediatric, Adolescent and Young Adult Oncologist, has nothing to disclose.

Dr. Jan-Willem Henning, Medical Oncologist, has nothing to disclose.

Dr. Fiona Schulte, Psychologist, has nothing to disclose.

April Wales, Senior Change Management Consultant, has nothing to disclose.

Brae Surgeoner, Knowledge Management Specialist, has nothing to disclose.

Citation

McKillop S, Henning JW, Schulte F, Wales A, Surgeoner B. Cancer Care Alberta, Alberta Health Services (2024). Adolescent and Young Adult (AYA) Cancer Guideline. Available from: www.ahs.ca/guru