

Restraint as a Last Resort: Information for Prescribing Practitioners

The Restraint as a Last Resort [provincial policy suite](#) came into effect Feb. 1, 2018, replacing all legacy zone policies.

What Has Changed?

- **Informed consent is required** for all types of restraint in non-emergencies.
 - [AHS Consent Policy](#) states *the Most Responsible Health Practitioner (MRHP) providing a Treatment/Procedure to a Patient has a duty to inform the Patient of the nature of the Treatment/Procedure, its risks, benefits and alternatives, and consequences.* MRHPs include prescribing practitioners, nurses and other regulated health professionals.
 - The MRHP will discuss with the patient and/or alternate decision-maker and, whenever possible, the family, anticipated needs for restraint and/or observed safety risks, and alternate strategies to restraint. Printable resources to support informed consent conversations can be found on [MyHealth.Alberta](#).
 - Document the consent conversation on the health record or "[Consent to Treatment Plan or Procedure](#)".
- **Alternatives to restraint must be tried first**, unless it is an emergency. Examples include:
 - Conversations between the care team, patient and family to develop a care/safety plan
 - [Behaviour mapping](#) to identify trends and triggers, and effective strategies
 - [Medication review](#) to reduce anticholinergic burden, drug side effects and fall risk
 - Modify staff approach (e.g. [for persons with dementia](#), [trauma informed care](#), [de-escalation techniques](#)).
 - Address [underlying causes of agitation](#), [support sleep](#), comfort rounds (e.g. regular toileting, hydration, pain assessment, walking).
- **Physician or Nurse Practitioner orders are required for all non-emergency restraint**, and any pharmacologic restraint. If restraint is required, the **least restrictive restraint** is used for the **shortest time**. Consider intended outcomes, potential effects, frequency of monitoring, frequency of documentation review, conditions for use of restraint, and criteria and timelines for discontinuation.
- **Mechanical restraints are no longer considered safety devices.** Restraints such as lap belts introduce many risks including hospital-acquired disability, agitation, skin breakdown, infection and delirium. Restraints are not effective for preventing falls/fall injuries; consider non-pharmacologic strategies such as regular assistance to the bathroom along with medication review ([3 or more medications increases risk of falls](#)). Restraints are associated with increased morbidity and mortality, and [triple the risk of self-extubation](#)..
- **Pharmacologic restraint:**
 - Antipsychotics are not a treatment for delirium; they contribute to [anticholinergic burden which may cause or worsen delirium](#). Dosages for older adults are much lower (e.g. 0.25 to 0.50 mg haloperidol bid). See Delirium Clinical Knowledge Topic on Insite: Avoid parenteral antipsychotics, mixing/combining different antipsychotics, ordering range doses
 - Antipsychotics can worsen Behavioural and Psychological Symptoms of Dementia (BPSD), and are often not effective for aggression: see also [Appropriate Use of Antipsychotics Guideline](#) and [Clinical Indications for Prescribing Antipsychotic Medication](#).

How are AHS staff being supported?

This policy represents significant practice change for care teams. Education resources have been developed for a variety of practice settings. Strategies for provincial implementation have been developed, and local programs are currently working to apply these changes.

For more information, see the [Restraint as a Last Resort Toolkit](#) website, or contact policy@ahs.ca.

- [Restraint as a Last Resort in Acute Care: What's Changed?](#)
- [Restraint as a Last Resort FAQs](#)