

President's Excellence Awards – Innovation & Research Excellence Scoring Worksheet

INNOVATION & RESEARCH EXCELLENCE – Individual and Team Award

This award recognizes an individual and team who demonstrates outstanding improvements to quality care, patient experience, patient or population health outcomes and/or health system performance through the successful introduction of an innovation or research project. Innovation means new or improved ways of doing things of high value. In the context of health and health care, an innovation can be a device, drug, technique and method, new model of care, system or service. Innovations can be obtained from outside a particular team's context or they can be developed within.

Research projects may address biomedical, clinical, health systems and services, and/or social, cultural and environmental determinants of health. Each innovation or research project application needs to clearly demonstrate the impact to patients and/or health care system, and demonstrate value over what is currently being done. Improvements which also enable the discontinuation or de-adoption of methods, treatments, approaches or products of lower value in the health system will be given higher weighting.

The nomination must demonstrate:

- Addressed an identified opportunity within the health-care system
- Was informed by evidence: that is, information derived from a range of sources that was subjected to testing and is found to be credible (e.g. evaluation, research, analytics, leading practices)
- Effective use of research: evidence informed leading practices and analytics in the creation, implementation, adoption and evaluation of the innovation
- Collaboration by ensuring engagement and involvement across multiple areas, programs, teams and, if required, with external partners to achieve the desired outcomes
- Involved patients, health-care providers, physicians and partner organizations in decision making
- Achieved a positive impact on quality of care, patient experience, patient or population health outcomes and/or health system performance
- Reduced or eliminated a device, drug, technique, method, system or service of low value
- Had a sustainability plan to 'maintain the gains' with the ability to spread and scale if required

1) Achievement

How well did this nomination describe the identified opportunity for the innovation or research project? How did this individual or team involve stakeholders, patients, health-care providers, physicians and partner organizations to provide input into decision making and directly contribute to the desired results?

10	9	8	7	6	5	4-3	2-1
Preferred			Very Good			Good	Fair
This nomination exemplifies a high degree of opportunity for an innovation or research project. There was effective input into decision making and contribution by all the identified stakeholders to achieve the desired results successfully.			This nomination demonstrates a moderate degree of opportunity and impact for improvement This innovation demonstrates a moderate degree of input into decision making by some of the stakeholders at certain times with a moderate degree of success in reaching desired results.			This nomination demonstrates little or no significant degree of impact from this innovation This innovation demonstrates little or no evidence that there was input into the decision making by the identified stakeholders and desired results were minimal or not reached.	

2) Impact of Achievement

How did the opportunity show improvement to quality care, patient experience, patient or population health outcomes and/or health system performance? How well does the nomination describe how the innovation or research project was implemented, spread and scaled and supported by credible evidence, research and analytics?

10	9	8	7	6	5	4-3	2-1
Preferred			Very Good			Good	Fair
The nomination clearly described the implementation with a high degree of spread and scale in the innovation or research project; challenges were identified and overcome. This nomination exemplifies a high degree of outstanding improvements in research or innovation.			This nomination described to a moderate degree, the implementation plan with evidence provided of research and analytics used during some of the aspects of the creation, implementation, adoption and evaluation. This demonstrates a moderate degree of opportunity and impact for improvement.			This nomination showed little or no implementation plan described, spread and scale were not included and there was little to no evidence provided resulting in no significant degree of impact.	

3) Alignment with AHS Values: Compassion, Accountability, Respect, Excellence, Safety

How well does this nomination exemplify the AHS Values – what specific values are described in this nomination?

10	9	8	7	6	5	4-3	2-1
Preferred			Very Good			Good	Fair
This nomination exemplifies a high degree of alignment with the AHS Values describing linkages to the majority of the five values.			This nomination demonstrates a moderate degree of alignment with the AHS Values describing linkages with some of the five values.			This nomination provides little to no evidence that there is alignment to the AHS values.	

4) Sustainability

How well does this innovation or research project identify a sustainability plan to maintain the gains and provide the ability to spread and scale? How is continued evaluation and knowledge sharing approaches identified?

10	9	8	7	6	5	4-3	2-1
Preferred			Very Good			Good	Fair
This innovation exemplifies a high degree of sustainability with a strong plan to maintain and support ongoing improvement with the ability to spread and scale if required with evaluation and knowledge sharing built in.			This innovation demonstrates a moderate degree of sustainability with a partial plan to maintain and or support ongoing improvement, some ability to spread and scale if required, evaluation and knowledge sharing may or may not be included.			This innovation demonstrates little or no significant degree for sustainability.	