

Management Strategies for the Wandering COVID-19 Patient in Acute Care

Background

- Wandering is not an uncommon symptom in patients with cognitive impairment
- Majority of these patients are usually redirectable and manageable
- Wandering can pose a major risk for spreading COVID-19 to other residents and care staff
- Treatment of these patients can be challenging for physicians and the healthcare team
- There are multiple contributing factors to restlessness and wandering
- Currently there is limited evidence for symptomatic treatments in dementia
- Non-pharmacological approaches should be considered the mainstay of therapy, complemented by psychotropic medications only when unavoidable
- Agitation is defined as a state of excessive psychomotor activity accompanied by increased tension and irritability and may include aberrant hyperactive motor behavior such as wandering
- It is accompanied by emotional distress and excess emotional lability
- Behaviors may be worse in evening hours "sun downing"
- There will be conflicting needs between best practice in senior and dementia care whilst balancing infection control considerations to contain transmission and spread

Ethical Considerations

Patients with cognitive issues, including those with dementia, deserve our careful consideration of the ethical values at stake in our efforts to continue to provide quality care during COVID-19.

The COVID-19 pandemic has precipitated a shift from care focused on individual patients to care from a **public** health ethics perspective centering on minimizing illness, death, and community disruption.

Pandemic ethics frameworks seek to **protect the greatest number** of community members while not placing overly burdensome restrictions on individuals. This **utilitarian** perspective is justified in a public health emergency.

Given the limitations to individual liberty that come with pandemic restrictions, we need to be particularly careful to preserve a sphere of **individual autonomy** and **dignity** for our patients.

Though we are making community protection our foremost goal, treatments, interventions, and care plans should reflect **least restrictive measures**. Restrictions should be carefully considered, **proportionate** to the risks involved, and **fair**. To promote fairness, we need to treat patients in equal proportion to their individual need so that patients with equivalent needs will receive equivalent care.

Within the context of restrictions, we should continue to seek opportunities to **enhance patient well-being**. Providing quality care for COVID-19-positive patients with cognitive issues will require **collaborative multidisciplinary teamwork**. To maintain **trusting relationships** with patients and their loved ones, clinicians, staff and administrators should be able to explain the reasons for restrictions in **transparent communication** and to provide clarification to facilitate understanding.

Health care professionals have a **duty to care**, i.e., to use their knowledge and skills for the betterment of patients. With COVID-19, the duty to care for patients must be balanced with the duty to self, family and others but must not lead to patient abandonment.

Our leaders in administration owe a **reciprocal duty of care** to staff to ensure that they do not experience harm to physical, emotional, or mental health while caring for COVID+ patients with cognitive issues. Given the complexity of this vulnerable population and the massive changes in health care caused by COVID-19, it is not unexpected that clinicians may experience moral distress. Ethics, Spiritual Care, and Wellness resources are available to all staff.

The risk of COVID-19 affects all people in an institutional setting. We are guided in our endeavor to care for the vulnerable population of COVID-19-positive patients with cognitive issues by upholding these values:

- Common good
- Respect for individuals
- Proportionality (risk/benefit)
- Duty to care
- Fairness
- Trust
- Transparency
- Collaboration and reciprocity

Management Begins with Assessment

Causes

- Modifiable factors: unmet needs, acute medical problems, knowledge about the condition, caregiver distress, over/under stimulation, lack of routine, caregiving quality, caregiving quantity, caregiving knowledge, family dynamics
- Unmodifiable factors: medical comorbidities, stage of dementia, type of dementia, brain changes, personality, life history, infrastructure of care facility

Describe, measure and document assessment of wandering and restlessness

- When and how severe
- Associated with depression
- Emotional dysregulation and insomnia
- Safety issues
- Any identifiable triggers
- Family dynamics

Analyze - what do we know about the patient, and what could be contributing

• Medical, psychological, or social factors

Identification of Triggers

- What event(s) are creating anxiety and/or wandering behavior?
- Is toileting required?
- Is the patient experiencing pain?

- Is there withdrawal from substances e.g. nicotine?
- Have any medications been stopped or started in the past 2 4 weeks?
- Have any medication dosages been changed in the past 2 4 weeks?
- Are there sensory barriers or losses to consider vision, hearing?
- Is there social isolation without family presence?
- Is PPE worn by staff creating fear and worry?

Immediate Identification of COVID-19 Risk or Suspect Positive

- Monitor all patients for signs and symptoms of COVID-19 at a minimum of twice daily (<u>https://www.albertahealthservices.ca/frm-21616.pdf</u>).
- Promptly place symptomatic patients on contact and droplet isolation (<u>https://www.albertahealthservices.ca/assets/info/ppih/if-ppih-covid-19-expanded-testing.pdf</u>)
 - Staff providing care to COVID-19-positive or suspect COVID-19 patients must protect themselves and wear appropriate PPE for contact and droplet precautions (<u>https://www.albertahealthservices.ca/assets/healthinfo/ipc/hi-ipc-contact-and-droplet-sign.pdf</u>).
 - Staff not providing patient care and are at risk for being exposed to wandering COVID-19positive or suspect COVID-19 patients to wear PPE based on a risk assessment (<u>https://www.albertahealthservices.ca/ipc/hi-ipc-routine-practices-algorithim-cc.pdf</u>). Contact IPC for direction.
 - \circ $\,$ Provide education on when and how to don and doff PPE.
 - Also suggest adding "establish a buddy system" and/or have assigned officers (i.e., staff dedicated to observe individual staff donning and doffing practices) to ensure correct donning and doffing procedures are followed to prevent self-contamination.
- Expedient placement in private accommodation with up to one-to-one care
- Unit should immediately be considered high risk
- Initiate enhanced environmental cleaning immediately

Staffing Considerations

- Constant care with up to one-to one support
- Consistency in staffing assignment and caregivers
- · Engagement with family as much as possible virtually
- Are there cultural considerations that should be embraced?
- Calm and supportive approach for all care provision
- Ensure there is a detailed written plan of care
- Engage consultation and advice of a behavioral therapist if available

Environmental Considerations

- Cohorting COVID-19-positive patients
- Can the unit be secured?
- What can be modified within the environment wayfinding, organizing furniture?
- Pictures of loved ones
- Assess noise level

- Mirrors can be helpful in some cases distracting in others
- With staff masked picture of staff person on their identification
- Eliminate clutter
- Visual communication methods such as images and posters
- Consideration to placing a stop sign/signage on the entrance to an area they should not enter
- Bold line on the floor that creates visual separation
- Try to have patients in rooms with a window to outside
- Camouflage the door knob
- Instillation of chime device when door opens
- Ensure lighting within the room is not too bright or dark to create shadows
- Door closures, pony doors or dividers to redirect

Non Pharmacological Strategies

- Are there unmet care needs hunger, pain, feeling hot/cold, needing social connection?
- Is there medical decompensation chronic diseases or the COVID-19 illness in and of itself?
- What type of redirection can be tried?
- Adherence to a routine to reduce uncertainty for the patient
- Increase recreational activities within the patient's room (e.g., coloring). Dedicate recreational items to a single patient. Ensure items used for recreational activities are cleanable and cleaned between single-patient use. If recreational items are not cleanable, discard after use.
- OT/PT to have exercise programs within the room to help use up energy
- Reminiscence therapy
- PPE with staff need to focus more on tone of voice, eye contact and body language
- Communication needs to be simple and repeated often avoid asking questions
- Accompany tasks that are different within pandemic context with storytelling, soft music
- Consultation to Psychiatry or Geriatrics Services as required
- If patient can be masked take for escorted walks if on a cohorted unit
- Try to avoid excessive napping during the day
- Reduce distractions or unplanned activities
- If patient does not have capacity, conversation early with alternate decision maker
- Having family come in and deemed designated family support person/essential visitor screened, accompaniment, PPE

Pharmacological Intervention or Chemical Restraints

- Are there PRN medications that can reduce agitation and anxiety
- Consult specialists as required to determine options
- For recommendations refer to the Seniors Delirium Protocol: <u>https://www.albertahealthservices.ca/assets/about/scn/ahs-scn-bjh-hf-seniors-delirium-protocol.pdf</u>

Mechanical Restraints

- Always last resort if all other interventions and strategies have failed
- Should only be considered when there is imminent harm
- Consider involvement of Clinical Ethics
- Consult specialties such as Geriatric Services or Psychiatry to review options

Response and Containment Algorithm/Checklist for Wandering COVID-19 Patient in Acute Care

Wandering patient has symptoms of COVID-19 or has been in close contact of a Confirmed COVID-19 patient



Task Force Membership – Alberta Health Services and Covenant Health Edmonton Zone

Alison Morin – Acting Senior Operating Officer, Misericordia Hospital (Co-Chair) Gail Aguillon – Director Adult Rehabilitation, Glenrose Hospital (Co-Chair) Candice Keddie – Interim Senior Director Operations, Misericordia Hospital Dan Slabu – Physician, Internal Medicine, Misericordia Community Hospital, Grey Nuns Hospital Bonnie Launhardt – Program Manager Medicine and Geriatrics, Misericordia Hospital Dr. Alexa Bertagnolli-Hansen – Site Lead Geriatric Division, Glenrose Rehabilitation Hospital Dr. Candice Walker – Psychiatry Lead, Villa Caritas Dr. Kimberley Watson – Physician, Misericordia Hospital Dr. Paul Kivi – Care of the Elderly Physician, Supportive Living, Misericordia Community Hospital Angela Morgan – Unit Manager, University of Alberta Hospital Geoff Hoeppner – Corporate Director OHS&W, Covenant Health Eleanor Stewart, Clinical Ethicist, Covenant Health Itohowo Ekpe – Unit Manager, Royal Alexandra Hospital Dr. James Silvius- Senior Medical Director Provincial Seniors Health & Continuing Care Dr. Jamil Kanii – Infectious Disease, Internal Medicine, Medical Microbiology Lyndon Ocampo – Unit Manager, Neurosurgery and Trauma, Royal Alexandra Hospital Marian Anderson - Project Manager, Facility and Supportive Living Marie Anderson – Manager Transition Services, University of Alberta Hospital Michelle Wallace – Patient Care Manager Brain and Spinal Cord Injury, Glenrose Hospital Nicole Henderson – Infection Control Practitioner, Community Philana Choo – Infection Control Practitioner, Covenant Health Scott Aylwin - Senior Director, Addiction & Mental Health, Covenant Health

Guidance Documents & References

BC Centre of Disease Control. Ministry of Health (March 28, 2020). COVID-19 Ethical Decision-Making Framework. Retrieved from

https://www2.gov.bc.ca/assets/gov/health/about-bc-s-health-care-system/office-of-theprovincial-health-officer/covid-19/ethics framework for covid march 28 2020.pdf

Wang, H. (March 16, 2020). Dementia care during the COVID-10 outbreak. Alzheimer's Disease Chinese. Retrieved from <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zM6cd1QSSFo</u>

Gareri P, Segura-García C, Manfredi VG, et al. Use of atypical antipsychotics in the elderly: a clinical review. Clin Interv Aging. 2014;9:1363–1373. Published 2014 Aug 16. doi:10.2147/CIA.S63942

Deberdt W, Dysken MW, Rappaport SA, et al. Comparison of olanzapine and risperidone in the treatment of psychosis and associated behavioral disturbances in patients with dementia. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry. 2005;13(8):722–730.

Burke AD, Tariot PN. Atypical antipsychotics in the elderly: a review of therapeutic trends and clinical outcomes. Expert Opin Pharmacother. 2009;10(15):2407–2414.

Kuehn BM. Questionable antipsychotic prescribing remains common, despite serious risks. JAMA. 2010;303(16):1582–1584.

Vigen CL, Mack WJ, Keefe RS, et al. Cognitive effects of atypical antipsychotic medications in

patients with Alzheimer's disease: outcomes from CATIE-AD. Am J Psychiatry. 2011;168(8):831–839.

Gareri P, De Fazio P, Stilo M, Ferreri G, De Sarro G. Conventional and atypical antipsychotics in the elderly: a review. Clin Drug Investig. 2003;23(5):287–322.

Katz R, Jeste DV, Mintzer JE, Clyde C, Napolitano J, Brecher M. Comparison of risperidone and placebo for psychosis and behavioral disturbance associated with dementia: a randomized, double-blind trial. J Clin Psychiatry. 1999;60(2):107–115.

Lavretsky H, Sultzer D. A structured trial of risperidone for the treatment of agitation in dementia. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry. 1998;6(2): 127–135.

Gareri P, Marigliano NM, De Fazio S, et al. Antipsychotics and dementia. BMC Geriatr. 2010;10(Suppl 1):A93.

Dorey JM, Beauchet O, Thomas Antérion C, et al. Behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia and bipolar spectrum disorders: review of the evidence of a relationship and treatment implications. CNS Spectr. 2008;13(9):796–803.

Maher AR, Theodore G. Summary of the comparative effectiveness review on off-label use of atypical antipsychotics. J Manag Care Pharm. 2012;18(5 Suppl B):S1–S20.

Ballard CG, Gauthier S, Cummings JL, et al. Management of agitation and aggression associated with Alzheimer disease. Nat Rev Neurol. 2009;5(5):245–255

Jeste DV, Eastham JH, Lohr JB. Treatment of behavioral disorders and psychosis. In: Salzman C, editor. Clinical Geriatric Psychopharmacology. Baltimore, MD: Williams and Wilkins; 1998:106–149.

Tible, O. P., Riese, F., Savaskan, E., & von Gunten, A. (2017). Best practice in the management of behavioural and psychological symptoms of dementia. Therapeutic advances in neurological disorders, 10(8), 297–309. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/1756285617712979</u>

Ethical issues in geriatrics: a guide for clinicians. Mueller PS1, Hook CC, Fleming KC. Mayo Clin Proc. 2004 Apr;79(4):554-62.

British Columbia. Ministry of Health (2012). British Columbia's Pandemic Influenza Response Plan: An ethical framework for Decision-Making: Supporting British Columbia's pandemic influenza planning and response. Retrieved from:

https://www2.gov.bc.ca/assets/gov/health/about-bc-s-health-care-system/office-of-the-provincial -health-officer/reports-publications/bc-pandemic-influenza-ethics-framework-2012.pdf

British Columbia. Ministry of Health. Office of the Provincial Health Officer. (2015). Ebola Virus Disease Ethical Decision-Making Framework. Retrieved from:

https://www2.gov.bc.ca/assets/gov/health/about-bc-s-health-care-system/office-of-the-provincial -health-officer/reports-publications/special-reports/ebola-virus-disease-ethical-decision-making-f

ramework.pdf

British Columbia. (2017). Responding to British Columbia's overdose public health emergency -An ethics framework. Retrieved from: <u>https://www2.gov.bc.ca/assets/gov/health/about-bc-s-health-care-system/office-of-the-provincial-health-officer/overdose-public-health-emergency-ethics-framework-march-2017.pdf</u> Centers for Disease Control (CDC), <u>https://www.cdc.gov/os/integrity/phethics/index.htm</u> (accessed April 16, 2020)

Jiwani, B. (2015). Ethically justified decisions. Healthcare Management Forum, 28(2), 86-89. https://doi.org/10.1177/0840470414562663

Jiwani, B. (2013). Ethics-based decision process: An evidence and values-based process for working through specific issues. Retrieved from: <u>http://incorporatingethics.ca/wp-content/uploads/2016/11/Fraser-Health-Ethics-Based-Decision-</u>